

Concurrent separation logic and operational semantics

Viktor Vafeiadis



MPI-SWS

What is the paper about?

Soundness proof for CSL

- Simple
- Extensible
 - Permissions
 - RGSep
 - Storable locks [Buisse, Birkedal, Støvring, MFPS 2011]
 - Concurrent abstract predicates [Dinsdale-Young et al., ECOOP 2010]
- Explains precision & conjunction rule
- Fully mechanized in Isabelle/HOL

Hoare triples (partial correctness)

$\models \{P\} C \{Q\}$

$$\forall s h s' h'. s, h \models P \wedge (C, s, h) \rightarrow^* (\mathbf{skip}, s', h') \implies s', h' \models Q$$

Standard operational semantics

Judgment form: $(C, s, h) \rightarrow (C', s', h')$

$$(\mathbf{skip}; C, s, h) \rightarrow (C, s, h)$$

Rules for seq. composition:

$$\frac{(C_1, s, h) \rightarrow (C'_1, s', h')}{(C_1; C_2, s, h) \rightarrow (C'_1; C_2, s', h')}$$

Or equivalently...

$$\models \{P\} C \{Q\}$$

$$\forall s h. s, h \models P \Rightarrow \forall s' h'. (C, s, h) \xrightarrow{*} (\mathbf{skip}, s', h') \Rightarrow s', h' \models Q$$

$$\text{safe}(C, s, h, Q)$$



$$\forall s' h'. \forall m. (C, s, h) \xrightarrow{m} (\mathbf{skip}, s', h') \Rightarrow s', h' \models Q$$



$$\forall n. \forall s' h'. \forall m < n. (C, s, h) \xrightarrow{m} (\mathbf{skip}, s', h') \Rightarrow s', h' \models Q$$

$$\text{safe}_n(C, s, h, Q)$$

As an inductive definition...

$$\models \{P\} C \{Q\} \quad \text{iff} \quad \forall s h n. \ s, h \models P \Rightarrow \text{safe}_n(C, s, h, Q)$$

$$\text{safe}_0(C, s, h, Q) = \text{true}$$

$$\text{safe}_{n+1}(C, s, h, Q) =$$

$$(C = \mathbf{skip} \Rightarrow s, h \models Q)$$

$$\wedge (\forall C' s' h'. (C, s, h) \rightarrow (C', s', h') \Rightarrow \text{safe}_n(C', s', h', Q))$$

$$\forall s' h'. \forall m < n. (C, s, h) \xrightarrow{m} (\mathbf{skip}, s', h') \Rightarrow s', h' \models Q$$

$$\text{safe}_n(C, s, h, Q)$$

Fault-avoidance

$\models \{P\} C \{Q\}$ iff $\forall s h n. \ s, h \models P \Rightarrow \text{safe}_n(C, s, h, Q)$

$\text{safe}_0(C, s, h, Q) = \text{true}$

$\text{safe}_{n+1}(C, s, h, Q) =$

$(C = \mathbf{skip} \Rightarrow s, h \models Q)$

$\wedge (\neg (C, s, h) \rightarrow \mathbf{abort})$

$\wedge (\forall C' s' h'. (C, s, h) \rightarrow (C', s', h')$

$\Rightarrow \text{safe}_n(C', s', h', Q))$

- “Well-specified programs don’t go wrong”

“Bake in” the frame rule

$$\vdash \{P\} C \{Q\} \quad \text{iff} \quad \forall s h n. \ s, h \models P \Rightarrow \text{safe}_n(C, s, h, Q)$$

$$\text{safe}_0(C, s, h, Q) = \text{true}$$

$$\text{safe}_{n+1}(C, s, h, Q) =$$

$$(C = \mathbf{skip} \Rightarrow s, h \models Q)$$

$$\wedge (\forall h_F. \neg (C, s, h + h_F) \rightarrow \mathbf{abort})$$

$$\wedge (\forall h_F C' s' h'. (C, s, h + h_F) \rightarrow (C', s', h')$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists h''. h' = h'' + h_F \wedge \text{safe}_n(C', s', h'', Q))$$

- No safety monotonicity & frame property
- Same definition works for permissions
(every permission-heap can be extended to a normal heap)

Atomic blocks

$C ::= \dots \mid \mathbf{atomic} \ C$

Semantics:

$$\frac{(C, s, h) \rightarrow^* (\mathbf{skip}, s', h')}{(\mathbf{atomic} \ C, s, h) \rightarrow (\mathbf{skip}, s', h')}$$
$$\frac{(C, s, h) \rightarrow^* \mathbf{abort}}{(\mathbf{atomic} \ C, s, h) \rightarrow \mathbf{abort}}$$

$$\frac{\vdash \{ P * J \} C \{ Q * J \}}{J \vdash \{ P \} \mathbf{atomic} \ C \{ Q \}}$$

$$\frac{J * R \vdash \{ P \} C \{ Q \}}{J \vdash \{ P * R \} C \{ Q * R \}}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} J \vdash \{ P_1 \} C_1 \{ Q_1 \} \\ J \vdash \{ P_2 \} C_2 \{ Q_2 \} \end{array}}{J \vdash \{ P_1 * P_2 \} C_1 \parallel C_2 \{ Q_1 * Q_2 \}}$$

Atomic blocks

$J \models \{P\} C \{Q\}$ iff $\forall s h n. s, h \models P \Rightarrow \text{safe}_n(C, s, h, J, Q)$

$\text{safe}_0(C, s, h, J, Q) = \text{true}$

$\text{safe}_{n+1}(C, s, h, J, Q) =$

$(C = \mathbf{skip} \Rightarrow s, h \models Q)$

$\wedge (\forall h_J h_F. s, h_J \models J \Rightarrow \neg (C, s, h + h_J + h_F) \rightarrow \mathbf{abort})$

$\wedge (\forall h_J h_F C' s' h'. (C, s, h + h_J + h_F) \rightarrow (C', s', h') \wedge s, h_J \models J$

$\Rightarrow \exists h'' h_J'. h' = h'' + h_J' + h_F \wedge s', h_J' \models J \wedge \text{safe}_n(C', s', h'', J, Q))$

No races

$J \models \{P\} C \{Q\}$ iff $\forall s h n. \ s, h \models P \Rightarrow \text{safe}_n(C, s, h, J, Q)$

$\text{safe}_0(C, s, h, J, Q) = \text{true}$

$\text{safe}_{n+1}(C, s, h, J, Q) =$

$(C = \mathbf{skip} \Rightarrow s, h \models Q)$

$\wedge (\forall h_J h_F. s, h_J \models J \Rightarrow \neg (C, s, h + h_J + h_F) \rightarrow \mathbf{abort})$

$\wedge \text{accesses}(C, s) \subseteq \text{dom}(h)$

$\wedge (\forall h_J h_F C' s' h'. (C, s, h + h_J + h_F) \rightarrow (C', s', h') \wedge s, h_J \models J$

$\Rightarrow \exists h'' h_J'. h' = h'' + h_J' + h_F \wedge s', h_J' \models J \wedge \text{safe}_n(C', s', h'', J, Q))$

Multiple resources

$C ::= \dots \mid \text{resource } r \text{ in } C \mid \text{with } r \text{ when } B \text{ do } C \mid \text{within } r \text{ do } C$

Semantics
(Extract)

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{B(s)}{(with \ r \ when \ B \ do \ C, s, h) \rightarrow (\text{within } r \ do \ C, s, h)}}{(C, s, h) \rightarrow (C', s', h') \quad r \notin L(C)} \\ (\text{within } r \ do \ C, s, h) \rightarrow (\text{within } r \ do \ C, s', h')} {(\text{within } r \ do \ \text{skip}, s, h) \rightarrow (\text{skip}, s, h)}$$

$L(C)$: set of locks currently acquired by C

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \{ (P * J) \wedge B \} C \{ Q * J \}}{\Gamma, r : J \vdash \{ P \} \text{with } r \text{ when } B \text{ do } C \{ Q \}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, r : J \vdash \{ P \} C \{ Q \}}{\Gamma \vdash \{ P * J \} \text{resource } r \text{ in } C \{ Q * J \}}$$

Multiple resources

$\Gamma \vdash \{P\} C \{Q\}$ iff $\forall s, h \in \text{state}. \quad s, h \models P \Rightarrow \text{safe}_n(C, s, h, \Gamma, Q)$

$\text{safe}_0(C, s, h, \Gamma, Q) = \text{true}$

$\text{safe}_{n+1}(C, s, h, \Gamma, Q) =$

$(C = \mathbf{skip} \Rightarrow s, h \models Q)$

$\wedge (\forall h_F. \neg (C, s, h + h_F) \rightarrow \mathbf{abort})$

$\wedge \text{accesses}(C, s) \subseteq \text{dom}(h)$

$\wedge (\forall h_R h_F C' s' h'. (C, s, h + h_R + h_F) \rightarrow (C', s', h') \wedge s, h_R \models \bigcirc_{r \in L(C') \setminus L(C)} \Gamma(r))$

$\Rightarrow \exists h'' h_R'. h'' = h'' + h_R + h_F \wedge s', h_R' \models \bigcirc_{r \in L(C) \setminus L(C')} \Gamma(r)$

$\wedge \text{safe}_n(C', s', h'', \Gamma, Q))$

locks acquired

locks released

$L(C)$: set of locks currently acquired by C

What is the paper about?

Soundness proof for CSL

- Simple
- Extensible
 - Permissions
 - RGSep
 - Storable locks [Buisse, Birkedal, Støvring, MFPS 2011]
 - Concurrent abstract predicates [Dinsdale-Young et al., ECOOP 2010]
- Explains precision & conjunction rule
- Fully mechanized in Isabelle/HOL

Precision & the conjunction rule

Prove: $\text{safe}_n(C, s, h, \Gamma, Q_1) \wedge \text{safe}_n(C, s, h, \Gamma, Q_2) \Rightarrow \text{safe}_n(C, s, h, \Gamma, Q_1 \wedge Q_2)$

$\text{safe}_{n+1}(C, s, h, \Gamma, Q) = [...]$
 $\wedge (\forall h_\Gamma h_F C' s' h'. [...] \Rightarrow \exists h'' h'_\Gamma. h' = h'' + h'_\Gamma + h_F \wedge s', h'_\Gamma \models \bigcirc_{r \in L(C) \setminus L(C')} \Gamma(r) \wedge \text{safe}_n(C, s', h'', \Gamma, Q))$

$\exists h''_1 h'_\Gamma_1. h' = h''_1 + h'_\Gamma_1 + h_F \wedge s', h'_\Gamma_1 \models \bigcirc_{r \in L(C) \setminus L(C')} \Gamma(r) \wedge \text{safe}_n(C, s', h''_1, \Gamma, Q_1)$

$\exists h''_2 h'_\Gamma_2. h' = h''_2 + h'_\Gamma_2 + h_F \wedge s', h'_\Gamma_2 \models \bigcirc_{r \in L(C) \setminus L(C')} \Gamma(r) \wedge \text{safe}_n(C, s', h''_2, \Gamma, Q_2)$

Definition. P precise iff $\forall s h_1 h_2 h'_1 h'_2. h_1 + h'_1 = h_2 + h'_2 \wedge s, h_1 \models P \wedge s, h_2 \models P \Rightarrow h_1 = h_2 \wedge h'_1 = h'_2$