Ori Lahav

Yoni Zohar

Tel Aviv University

WoLLIC 2014

- A propositional logic is called sub-classical if:
 - Its language is contained in the language of classical logic.
 - It is weaker than classical logic.
- A classical rule is considered too strong, and is replaced by weaker rules.
- Examples:
 - Intuitionistic logic
 - Relevance logics
 - Many-valued logics
 - Paraconsistent logics
- Our goal: Construct effective proof systems for sub-classical logics.

- Sequent calculi are a prominent proof-theoretic framework, suitable for a variety of logics.
- Sequents are objects of the form $\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta$, where Γ and Δ are finite sets of formulas.

$$A_1, \dots, A_n \Rightarrow B_1, \dots, B_m \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad A_1 \wedge \dots \wedge A_n \supset B_1 \vee \dots \vee B_m$$

- Special instance: $\Gamma \Rightarrow A \ (\Delta \text{ has one element})$
- Pure sequent calculi are propositional sequent calculi that include all
 usual structural rules, and a finite set of pure logical rules.
- Pure logical rules are logical rules that allow any context [Avron '91].

$$\frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow B, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \supset B, \Delta} \qquad \text{but not} \qquad \frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow B}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \supset B}$$

The Propositional Fragment of LK [Gentzen 1934]

Structural Rules:

$$(id) \qquad \frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow A, \Delta}{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow \Delta} \qquad (cut) \qquad \frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta} \qquad (W \Rightarrow) \qquad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow \Delta} \qquad (P \Rightarrow W) \qquad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A, \Delta}$$

Logical Rules:

$$(\neg \Rightarrow) \quad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A, \Delta}{\Gamma, \neg A \Rightarrow \Delta} \qquad (\Rightarrow \neg) \quad \frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \neg A, \Delta}$$

$$(\land \Rightarrow) \quad \frac{\Gamma, A, B \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, A \land B \Rightarrow \Delta} \qquad (\Rightarrow \land) \quad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A, \Delta \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow B, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \land B, \Delta}$$

$$(\lor \Rightarrow) \quad \frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow \Delta \quad \Gamma, B \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, A \lor B \Rightarrow \Delta} \qquad (\Rightarrow \lor) \quad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A, B, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \lor B, \Delta}$$

$$(\Rightarrow \lor) \quad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A, \Delta \quad \Gamma, B \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow B, \Delta} \qquad (\Rightarrow \lor) \quad \frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow B, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \Rightarrow B, \Delta}$$

$$(\Rightarrow \lor) \quad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A, B, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \Rightarrow B, \Delta} \qquad (\Rightarrow \supset) \quad \frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow B, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \Rightarrow B, \Delta}$$

Definition

A calculus is *analytic* if $\vdash \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta$ implies that there is a derivation of $\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta$ using only subformulas of $\Gamma \cup \Delta$.

- If a pure calculus is analytic then it is decidable.
- Proof search can be focused on a finite space of proofs.
- LK is analytic (traditionally follows from cut-elimination).
- Sequent Calculi provide a natural way to define many sub-classical logics:
 - Begin with LK.
 - Discard some of its (logical) rules.
 - Add other (logical) rules, that are derivable in LK.

What general conditions guarantee the analyticity of the obtained calculus?

• Consider the following applications of $\frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow B, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \supset B, \Delta}$:

$$\begin{array}{c} A \Rightarrow A \\ \Rightarrow A \supset A \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} A, A \land B \Rightarrow A, B \\ A \Rightarrow (A \land B) \supset A, B \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} B \lor C, A \Rightarrow B \\ B \lor C \Rightarrow A \supset B \end{array}$$

These applications constitute new (weaker) rules:

$$\frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow A, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \supset A, \Delta} \qquad \frac{\Gamma, A, A \land B \Rightarrow A, B, \Delta}{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow (A \land B) \supset A, B, \Delta} \qquad \frac{\Gamma, B \lor C, A \Rightarrow B, \Delta}{\Gamma, B \lor C \Rightarrow A \supset B, \Delta}$$

Definition (Safe Application)

An application of an **LK** rule is *safe* if all its context formulas are subformulas of the principal formula.

Theorem

A calculus whose rules are all safe applications of **LK**-rules is analytic.

• Consider the following applications of $\frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow B, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \supset B, \Delta}$:

• These applications constitute new (weaker) rules:

$$\frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow A, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \supset A, \Delta} \qquad \frac{\Gamma, A, A \land B \Rightarrow A, B, \Delta}{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow (A \land B) \supset A, B, \Delta} \qquad \frac{\Gamma, B \lor C, A \Rightarrow B, \Delta}{\Gamma, B \lor C \Rightarrow A \supset B, \Delta}$$

Definition (Safe Application)

An application of an **LK** rule is *safe* if all its context formulas are subformulas of the principal formula.

Theorem

A calculus whose rules are all safe applications of **LK**-rules is analytic.

The Propositional Fragment of **LK** [Gentzen 1934]

$$(\neg \Rightarrow) \quad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A, \Delta}{\Gamma, \neg A \Rightarrow \Delta} \qquad (\Rightarrow \neg) \quad \frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \neg A, \Delta}$$

$$(\land \Rightarrow) \quad \frac{\Gamma, A, B \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, A \land B \Rightarrow \Delta} \qquad (\Rightarrow \land) \quad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A, \Delta \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow B, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \land B, \Delta}$$

$$(\lor \Rightarrow) \quad \frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow \Delta \quad \Gamma, B \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, A \lor B \Rightarrow \Delta} \qquad (\Rightarrow \lor) \quad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A, B, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \lor B, \Delta}$$

$$(\Rightarrow \neg) \quad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A, \Delta \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow B, \Delta}{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow B, \Delta} \qquad (\Rightarrow \neg) \quad \frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow B, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \Rightarrow B, \Delta}$$

Every rule is a trivial safe application of itself.

The Atomic Paraconsistent Logic P_1 [Sette '73, Avron '14]

$$(\neg \Rightarrow) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{F} \Rightarrow \mathsf{A} \Rightarrow \mathsf{A}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \neg A, \Delta}{\Gamma, \neg \neg A \Rightarrow \Delta} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \land B, \Delta}{\Gamma, \neg (A \land B) \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \lor B, \Delta}{\Gamma, \neg (A \lor B) \Rightarrow \Delta} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \supset B, \Delta}{\Gamma, \neg (A \supset B) \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

- Paraconsistency applies only in the atomic level.
- $\bullet \not\vdash_{P_1} p, \neg p \Rightarrow \varphi.$
- $\vdash_{P_1} \psi, \neg \psi \Rightarrow \varphi$ whenever ψ is compund.

$$(\land \Rightarrow) \quad \frac{\Gamma, A, B \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, A \land B \Rightarrow \Delta} \qquad (\Rightarrow \land) \quad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A, \Delta \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow B, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \land B, \Delta}$$

$$(\lor \Rightarrow) \quad \frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow \Delta \quad \Gamma, B \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, A \lor B \Rightarrow \Delta} \qquad (\Rightarrow \lor) \quad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A, B, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \lor B, \Delta}$$

$$(\Rightarrow \lor) \quad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A, \Delta \quad \Gamma, B \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow B, \Delta} \qquad (\Rightarrow \supset) \quad \frac{\Gamma, B, A \Rightarrow B, \Delta}{\Gamma, B \Rightarrow A \supset B, \Delta}$$

- An extremely efficient propositional logic.
- One of the main logical engines behind DKAL (Distributed Knowledge Authorization Language).
- Provides a balance between expressivity and efficiency.

$$(\land \Rightarrow) \quad \frac{\Gamma, A, B \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, A \land B \Rightarrow \Delta} \qquad (\Rightarrow \land) \quad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A, \Delta \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow B, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \land B, \Delta}$$

$$(\lor \Rightarrow) \quad \frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow \Delta \quad \Gamma, B \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, A \lor B \Rightarrow \Delta} \qquad (\Rightarrow \lor) \quad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A, B, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \lor B, \Delta}$$

$$(\Rightarrow \lor) \quad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A, \Delta \quad \Gamma, B \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, A \lor B \Rightarrow \Delta} \qquad (\Rightarrow \supset) \quad \frac{\Gamma, B \Rightarrow A \supset B, \Delta}{\Gamma, B \Rightarrow A \supset B, \Delta}$$

- An extremely efficient propositional logic.
- One of the main logical engines behind DKAL (Distributed Knowledge Authorization Language).
- Provides a balance between expressivity and efficiency.

Extended Primal Infon Logic $\Gamma \Rightarrow A, \Delta \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow B, \Delta$ $\frac{\Gamma, A, B \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, A \land B \Rightarrow \Delta}$ $(\Rightarrow \land)$ $(\land \Rightarrow)$ $\Gamma \Rightarrow A \wedge B, \Delta$ $(\lor\Rightarrow)$ $T,A\Rightarrow\Delta$ $\Gamma,B=$ $\Gamma \Rightarrow A, B, \Delta$ $(\Rightarrow \lor)$ $\Gamma \Rightarrow A \vee B, \Delta$ $(\supset \Rightarrow) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A, \Delta \quad \Gamma, B \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, A \supset B \Rightarrow \Delta}$ $(\Rightarrow\supset)$ $\Gamma, B \Rightarrow A \supset B, \Delta$ $\Gamma \Rightarrow A \supset A, \Delta$ $\Gamma \Rightarrow B \supset (A \supset B), \Delta$ $\Gamma \Rightarrow (A \land B) \supset A, \Delta$ $\Gamma \Rightarrow (A \land B) \supset B, \Delta$

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
\hline
\Gamma, A \lor A \Rightarrow A, \Delta & \hline
\hline
\Gamma, A \lor (A \land B) \Rightarrow A, \Delta & \hline
\hline
\Gamma, (A \land B) \lor A \Rightarrow A, \Delta
\\
\hline
\hline
\Gamma, \bot \Rightarrow \Delta & \hline
\hline
\Gamma, \bot \lor A \Rightarrow A, \Delta & \hline
\hline
\Gamma, A \lor \bot \Rightarrow A, \Delta
\\
\hline
Analytic & No cut-elimination
\end{array}$$

Semantics for Pure Calculi

- Pure calculi correspond to two-valued valuations [Béziau '01].
- Each pure rule is read as a semantic condition.
- **G**-legal valuations: satisfy all semantic conditions.

Example

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} A \Rightarrow & A \Rightarrow & \Rightarrow A \Rightarrow \neg A \\ \hline \Rightarrow \neg A & \neg \neg A \Rightarrow & \neg (A \land \neg A) \Rightarrow & \neg (A \land B) \Rightarrow \end{array}$$

Corresponding semantic conditions:

- If v(A) = F then $v(\neg A) = T$
 - 2 If v(A) = F then $v(\neg \neg A) = F$
 - If v(A) = T and $v(\neg A) = T$ then $v(\neg (A \land \neg A)) = F$
 - 4 If $v(\neg A) = F$ and $v(\neg B) = F$ then $v(\neg (A \land B)) = F$

This semantics is non-deterministic.

Soundness and Completeness

Theorem

The sequent $\Gamma\Rightarrow\Delta$ is provable in **G** iff every **G**-legal valuation is a model of $\Gamma\Rightarrow\Delta.$

Soundness and Completeness

Theorem

The sequent $\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta$ is provable in **G** using only formulas of \mathcal{F} iff every **G**-legal valuation whose domain is \mathcal{F} is a model of $\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta$.

Soundness and Completeness

Theorem

The sequent $\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta$ is provable in **G** using only formulas of \mathcal{F} iff every **G**-legal valuation whose domain is \mathcal{F} is a model of $\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta$.

Definition

G is semantically analytic if every **G**-legal partial valuation whose domain is closed under subformulas can be extended to a **full G**-legal valuation.

Example

Consider the rules $\frac{\Rightarrow A}{\neg A \Rightarrow}$ and $\frac{\Rightarrow A}{\Rightarrow \neg A}$.

The partial valuation $\lambda x \in \{p\}$. T cannot be extended.

Theorem

A calculus is analytic iff it is semantically analytic.

Extending Partial Valuations

- Classical logic enjoys a simple extension method: enumeration + step-by-step extension
- Does this work for other logics?

Example

The classical extension method works for calculi that consist of safe applications of rules of **LK**.

Liberal Analyticity

Definition (k-subformulas)

- A is a k-subformula of $\neg A$.
- $\neg^k A_i$ is a k-subformula of $A_1 \diamond A_2$.

Example

 $\neg \neg A$ is a 2-subformula of $A \wedge B$.

Liberal Analyticity

Definition (k-subformulas)

- A is a k-subformula of $\neg A$.
- $\neg^k A_i$ is a k-subformula of $A_1 \diamond A_2$.

Example

 $\neg \neg A$ is a 2-subformula of $A \wedge B$.

Definition (k-analyticity)

A calculus is k-analytic if $\vdash \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta$ implies that there is a derivation of $\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta$ using only k-subformulas of $\Gamma \cup \Delta$.

k-safe applications

$$A, A \land B \Rightarrow A, B$$

$$A \Rightarrow (A \land B) \supset A, B$$

Theorem

A calculus whose rules are k-safe applications of **LK**-rules is k-analytic.

Example: A 1-analytic Pure Calculus for da Costa's Paraconsistent Logic **C**₁ [Avron, Konikowska, Zamansky '12]

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \land B, \neg A, \neg B, \Delta}{\Gamma, \neg (A \land B) \Rightarrow \neg A, \neg B, \Delta}$$

Why LK?

What basic properties of the rules of LK were used?

- The conclusion has the form $\Gamma \Rightarrow A, \Delta$ or $\Gamma, A \Rightarrow \Delta$
- The rest of the formulas in the rule are k-subformulas of A
- Right and left rules "play well" together:

For any two contextless applications of the form

we have $s_1, \ldots, s_n, s'_1, \ldots, s'_m \vdash^{(cut)} \Rightarrow$

Generalizes coherence (Avron, Lev '01,'05).

• Every such calculus has a valuation extension method.

Corollary

Every calculus that admits the basic properties is k-analytic.

A Sequent Calculus for First-Degree Entailment [Anderson, Belnap 75']

Corollary

Every calculus that admits the basic properties is k-analytic.

- Each conclusion has the form $\Rightarrow A$ or $A \Rightarrow$.
- All other formulas are 1-subformulas of A.
- The rules "play well" together.

Therefore, this calculus is 1-analytic.

Conclusions and Further Work

- We provided a general sufficient condition for analyticity in pure calculi.
- Useful for:
 - Verifying analyticity
 - Introducing new analytic calculi
 - Augmenting analytic calculi with more useful rules
- Further work:
 - Cut-elimination
 - Non-pure calculi (context restrictions)
 - First order logics

Conclusions and Further Work

- We provided a general sufficient condition for analyticity in pure calculi.
- Useful for:
 - Verifying analyticity
 - Introducing new analytic calculi
 - Augmenting analytic calculi with more useful rules
- Further work:
 - Cut-elimination
 - Non-pure calculi (context restrictions)
 - First order logics

Thank you!