Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.ScienceDirect.com/)

# Theoretical Computer Science

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/tcs](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/tcs)





Valérie Berthé<sup>a</sup>, Toghrul Karimov<sup>b</sup>, Joris Nieuwveld<sup>b</sup>, Joël Ouaknine<sup>b</sup>,

Mihir Vahanwala <sup>b</sup>*,*∗, James Worrell <sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *Université Paris Cité, IRIF, CNRS, Paris, F-75013, France*

<sup>b</sup> *Max Planck Institute for Software Systems, Saarland Informatics Campus, Saarbrücken, 66123, Germany*

<sup>c</sup> *University of Oxford, Department of Computer Science, Oxford, OX1 3QG, United Kingdom*

# ARTICLE INFO ABSTRACT

*Keywords:* Monadic second-order logic Morphic words Toric words Pisot conjecture Dynamical systems Linear recurrence sequences

For which unary predicates  $P_1, \ldots, P_m$  is the MSO theory of the structure  $\langle \mathbb{N}; \langle P_1, \ldots, P_m \rangle$ decidable? We survey the state of the art, leading us to investigate combinatorial properties of almost-periodic, morphic, and toric words. In doing so, we show that if each  $P_i$  can be generated by a toric dynamical system of a certain kind, then the attendant MSO theory is decidable. We give various applications of toric words, including the recent result of [\[1\]](#page-14-0) that the MSO theory of  $\langle \mathbb{N}; <, \{2^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}, \{3^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}\rangle$  is decidable.

# **1. Introduction**

In 1962, Büchi proved in his seminal work [\[2](#page-14-0)] that the monadic second-order (MSO) theory of the structure ⟨ℕ;*<*⟩ is decidable. Shortly afterwards, in 1966, Elgot and Rabin [\[3](#page-14-0)] showed how to decide the MSO theory of  $\langle \mathbb{N}; <, P \rangle$  for various interesting unary predicates *P*. On the other hand, it was known already in the 1960s that extending  $\langle \mathbb{N}; \langle \rangle$  with the addition or even the doubling function yields a structure with an undecidable MSO theory [\[4,5](#page-14-0)]. In this paper, we focus on the following question: which unary predicates  $P_1, \ldots, P_m$  can one add to  $\langle \mathbb{N}; \langle \rangle$  whilst maintaining decidability of the MSO theory? We give an overview of the state of the art and provide some new answers. In particular, we identify a class of predicates generated by rotations on a torus, any number of which can be adjoined to ⟨ℕ;*<*⟩ and still preserve decidability of the attendant monadic theory.

By a predicate P we mean a function with type  $\mathbb{N} \to \Sigma$ , where Σ is a finite alphabet. When  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$ , we identify P with  ${n \in \mathbb{N}: P(n) = 1} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ . The *characteristic word* of *P* is the string  $\alpha \in \Sigma^{\omega}$  whose *n*th letter is  $P(n)$ . Let us take the primes predicate as an example, defined by  $P(n) = 1$  if *n* is prime and  $P(n) = 0$  otherwise. Recall that in a monadic second-order language we have access to the membership relation  $\in$  and quantification over elements (written Qx for a quantifier Q) as well as subsets of the universe (written  $QX$ ), which is ℕ in our case. Consider the sentence  $\psi$  given by

$$
\varphi(X) := 1 \in X \land 0, 2 \notin X \land \forall x. \ x \in X \Longleftrightarrow s(s(s(x))) \in X
$$

 $\psi := \exists X : \varphi(X) \land \forall y. \exists z > y : z \in X \land P(z)$ 

where  $s(\cdot)$  is the successor function defined by  $s(x) = y$  if and only if

✩ This article belongs to Section C: Theory of natural computing, Edited by Lila Kari. Corresponding author.

*E-mail address:* [mvahanwa@mpi-sws.org](mailto:mvahanwa@mpi-sws.org) (M. Vahanwala).

#### <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2024.114959>

Received 14 December 2023; Received in revised form 29 October 2024; Accepted 7 November 2024

### Available online 14 November 2024

0304-3975/© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)).



 $x < y \land \forall z. x < z \Rightarrow y \leq z.$ 

<span id="page-1-0"></span>The formula  $\varphi$  defines the subset { $n : n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ } of  $\mathbb{N}$ , and  $\psi$  is the sentence "there are infinitely many primes congruent to 1 modulo 3", which is true. Another example of a number-theoretic statement expressible in our setting would be the twin prime conjecture, which is given by the first-order sentence

$$
\forall x. \exists y > x: P(y) \land P(s(s(y))).
$$

Unsurprisingly, the decidability of the MSO theory of the structure  $\mathbb{N} \times$ ,  $P$ ), where P is the primes predicate, remains open. Conditional decidability is known subject to Schinzel's hypothesis H, a number-theoretic conjecture which implies, in particular, the existence of infinitely many twin primes [\[6](#page-14-0)].

The MSO theory of ℕ equipped with the order relation is intimately connected to the theory of finite automata. The *acceptance problem* for a word  $\alpha \in \Sigma^{\omega}$ , denoted Acc<sub>a</sub>, is to decide, given a deterministic (e.g., Muller) automaton *A* over Σ, whether *A* accepts  $\alpha$ . In order for this algorithmic problem to be well defined, we assume that the word  $\alpha$  is computable; in other words, there is a Turing machine which, upon receiving  $n$  as input, prints the  $n$ th letter of  $\alpha$ . The previously mentioned result of Büchi establishes that the MSO theory of  $\langle \mathbb{N}; \langle P_1, \ldots, P_m \rangle$  is decidable if and only if  $Acc_{\alpha}$  is decidable for the word  $\alpha = \alpha_1 \times \cdots \times \alpha_m$ , where each  $\alpha_i$  is the characteristic word of  $P_i$ .<sup>1</sup> Hence our central question can be reformulated as follows: for which classes of words  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m$  is Acc<sub>a</sub> decidable?

In this work we consider the classes of *almost-periodic*, *morphic*, and *toric* words. Almost-periodic words were introduced by Semënov in [\[7](#page-14-0)]. He showed that for an *effectively* almost-periodic word  $\alpha$ , the MSO theory of the structure  $\langle \mathbb{N}; <, P_{\alpha} \rangle$  is decidable, where  $P_{\alpha}$  is the predicate whose characteristic word is  $\alpha$ . We discuss almost-periodic words in Section [3.](#page-2-0) We then move on to morphic words (Section [4](#page-3-0)), focussing on the result of Carton and Thomas [\[8](#page-14-0)] that for a morphic word  $\alpha$ , the MSO theory of  $\langle \mathbb{N}; \langle P_{\alpha} \rangle$ is decidable. These two works provide answers to our main question for a single predicate, i.e., in the case of  $m = 1$ .

In Section [5](#page-4-0), we introduce the class of toric words, which are codings of a rotation with respect to target sets consisting of finitely many connected components. In Theorem [5.12,](#page-8-0) we give a large class  $K$  of toric words such that the MSO theory of the structure  $\langle \mathbb{N}; \langle P_1, \ldots, P_m \rangle$  is decidable for any number *m* of predicates with characteristic words belonging to  $\mathcal{K}$ . We also study almost periodicity and closure properties of toric words (Section [5.3](#page-7-0)), and give an account of the overlap between toric words and various other well-known families of words. Below is a summary of how we apply the theory of toric words.

- (a) Sturmian words are toric. In Section [6.1](#page-9-0) we use the theory of toric words to show that for Sturmian words  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m$  that satisfy a certain effectiveness assumption, the MSO theory of  $\langle N; \langle P_{\alpha_1}, \dots, P_{\alpha_m} \rangle$  is decidable. This answers a question posed in [\[8\]](#page-14-0).
- (b) One of the central problems in symbolic dynamics is to understand the morphic words for which the associated *shift space* has a representation as a *geometric dynamical system* [\[9](#page-15-0)]. A slightly different (but similar in spirit) question is: which morphic words are toric? The Pisot conjecture identifies a class of morphic words for which a representation as a simple geometrical dynamical system is believed to exist. We discuss the conjecture and how it relates morphic and toric words in Section [6.2.](#page-10-0)
- (c) Recently, we used the machinery of toric words to show that for a large class of predicates given by linear recurrence sequences with a single, non-repeated real dominant root, the attendant MSO theory is decidable [\[1](#page-14-0)]. Let integers  $k_1, \ldots, k_m > 1$ , and  $P_i = \{k_i^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  for  $1 \le i \le m$ . We showed that the MSO theory of  $\langle \mathbb{N}, \langle P_1, P_2 \rangle$  is (unconditionally) decidable, and the MSO theory of  $\langle \mathbb{N}; \langle P_1, \ldots, P_m \rangle$  is decidable assuming Schanuel's conjecture in transcendental number theory. We discuss this result in Section [6.3](#page-10-0).
- (d) Toric words arise naturally in the study of linear recurrence sequences. In fact, specialised classes of toric words have already been used in the literature [10-12] to study *sign patterns* of linear recurrence sequences, discussed in Section [6.4](#page-11-0). We construct sign patterns of linear recurrence sequences (LRS) that prove that the product of an almost-periodic word with a toric word that is almost-periodic need not be almost-periodic.
- (e) Finally, in Section [6.5](#page-13-0) we give an overview of how modelling sign patterns of LRS using toric words yield decision procedures for the *model-checking problem* for linear dynamical systems.

# **2. Mathematical background**

By an alphabet  $\Sigma$  we mean a non-empty finite set. For a word  $\alpha \in \Sigma^+ \cup \Sigma^{\omega}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we let  $\alpha(n)$  denote the *n*th letter of  $\alpha$ . For  $\alpha \in \Sigma^{\omega}$  we let  $P_{\alpha}$  denote the predicate defined by  $P_{\alpha}(n) = \alpha(n)$  for all *n*. We write  $\alpha[n,m)$  for the finite word  $u = \alpha(n) \cdots \alpha(m-1)$ . Such a *u* is called a *factor* of  $\alpha$ . We write  $\alpha[n,\infty)$  for the infinite word  $\alpha(n)\alpha(n+1)\cdots$ .

Let  $\alpha_i \in \sum_i^{\omega}$  for  $0 \le i < L$ . The *product*  $\alpha_0 \times \cdots \times \alpha_{L-1}$  of  $\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_{L-1}$  is the word  $\alpha$  over the product alphabet  $\Sigma_0 \times \cdots \times \Sigma_{L-1}$ defined by  $\alpha(n) = (\alpha_0(n), \ldots, \alpha_{L-1}(n))$ . The *merge* (alternatively, the *shuffling* or the *interleaving*) of  $\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_{L-1}$  is the word  $\alpha$  defined by  $\alpha(nL+r) = \alpha_r(n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $0 \le r < L$ . Let  $\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2$  be two alphabets. A *morphism*  $\tau : \Sigma_1^* \to \Sigma_2^*$  is a map satisfying  $\tau(a_1 \cdots a_l)$  $\tau(a_1) \cdots \tau(a_l)$  for all  $a_1, \ldots, a_l \in \Sigma_1$ .

We write Log for the principal branch of the complex logarithm. That is,  $\text{Im}(\text{Log}(z)) \in (-\pi, \pi]$  for all non-zero  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . For  $z =$  $(z_1, \ldots, z_d) \in \mathbb{C}^d$  and  $p \ge 1$ , we let  $||z||_p$  denote the  $\mathcal{C}_p$  norm  $\sqrt[p]{|z_1|^p + \cdots + |z_d|^p}$ .

 $1$  The original formulation by Büchi was given in terms of nondeterministic Büchi automata. The formulations involving deterministic automata with a Muller, Rabin, or parity acceptance condition are equivalent.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>By a *K-semialgebraic* subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , where K ⊆ ℝ, we mean a set that can be defined by polynomial inequalities with coefficients belonging to  $\mathbb{K}$ ; recall that  $p(\mathbf{x})=0 \Longleftrightarrow p(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0 \land p(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0$ . A set  $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}^d$  is  $\mathbb{K}$ -semialgebraic if

$$
\{(x_1,y_1,\ldots,x_d,y_d):\, (x_1+y_1i,\ldots,x_d+y_d i)\in X\}
$$

is a  $\mathbb K$ -semialgebraic subset of  $\mathbb R^{2d}$ , where *i* denotes the imaginary unit  $\sqrt{-1}$ .

A sequence  $(u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  over a ring R is a *linear recurrence sequence* (LRS) over R if there exist  $d > 0$  and  $(a_0, \ldots, a_{d-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that the linear recurrence

$$
u_{n+d} = a_0 u_n + \dots + a_{d-1} u_{n+d-1}
$$

holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Here, *d* is the *order* of the linear recurrence, and the *order* of an LRS  $(u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  is the smallest number *d* such that  $(u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  satisfies a linear recurrence of order *d*. An LRS  $(u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  over *R* of order *d* can be written in the form  $u_n = c^T M^n s$  for some  $c, s \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ . If R is an integral domain, then for every  $p \in R[x_1, \ldots, x_d]$ ,  $u_n = p(M^n s)$  defines an LRS over R. This is a consequence of Fatou's lemma [\[13](#page-15-0), Chapter 7.2].

The most famous problem about LRS is the Skolem problem (over ℚ): given an LRS  $(u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  over ℚ, decide whether there exists n such that  $u_n = 0$ . The Skolem problem has been open for some ninety years, counting from the seminal work [\[14](#page-15-0)] of Skolem, and is currently known to be decidable for LRS (over ℚ) of order 4 or less [\[15,16\]](#page-15-0). A related result is the celebrated Skolem-Mahler-Lech theorem  $[14,17,18]$ , which asserts that the set of zeros of an LRS over a field of characteristic zero is a union of a finite set F and finitely many arithmetic progressions  $a_1 + b_1 \mathbb{N}, \ldots, a_k + b_k \mathbb{N}$ , where  $0 \le a_i$  for all *i*. The values of *k*,  $a_i$ ,  $b_i$  can all be effectively computed, whereas determining whether  $F$  is empty is exactly the Skolem problem. Berstel and Mignotte showed in [\[19](#page-15-0)] that for an LRS  $(u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  there exists an effectively computable  $L \geq 1$  such that for all  $0 \leq r < L$ , the subsequence  $(u_{nL+r})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  has finitely many zeros or finitely many non-zero terms. Consequently, if we assume existence of an oracle for the Skolem problem, then we can effectively compute all elements of  $F$  in the Skolem-Mahler-Lech theorem: take  $L$  subsequences and repeatedly apply the Skolem oracle to each non-zero subsequence until all zeros have been found.

Other well-known open decision problems on LRS include the Positivity problem (given  $(u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ , decide if  $u_n \ge 0$  for all *n*) and the Ultimate Positivity problem (given  $(u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ , decide if  $u_n \ge 0$  for all sufficiently large *n*). These decision problems were already encountered in the 1970s by Salomaa and others when studying growth and related problems in formal languages [\[20,21](#page-15-0)]. The Skolem problem for LRS over ℚ can be reduced to the Positivity problem for LRS over ℚ, but the latter is also, independently from the Skolem problem, hard with respect to certain open problems in Diophantine approximation [\[22\]](#page-15-0).

# **3. Almost-periodic words**

A word  $\alpha \in \Sigma^{\omega}$  is *almost-periodic* if for every finite word  $u \in \Sigma^*$ , there exists  $R(u) \in \mathbb{N}$  with the following property.

- (a) Either *u* does not occur in  $\alpha[R(u), \infty)$ , or
- (b) it occurs in every factor of  $\alpha$  of length  $R(u)$ .

The word  $\alpha$  is *effectively* almost-periodic if (i)  $\alpha(n)$  can be effectively computed for every n, and (ii) given u, we can effectively compute a value  $R(u)$  with the properties above. We represent an effectively almost-periodic word with two programs that compute  $\alpha(n)$  on  $n$  and  $R(u)$  on  $u$ , respectively. The word  $\alpha$  is *strongly almost-periodic* if it is almost-periodic and every finite word  $u$  either does not occur in  $\alpha$ , or occurs infinitely often. Strongly almost-periodic words are also known as *uniformly recurrent* words in the literature; see  $[23,24]$ . For such words,  $R(u)$  is an upper bound on the *return time* of u. We will see that certain morphic words, sign patterns of linear recurrence sequences, as well as large classes of toric words are almost-periodic. The characteristic word  $\alpha_{\rm n1} = 01100010000 \cdots$ of the set  $\{n! \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of all factorial numbers, on the other hand, is an example of a word that is not almost-periodic.

Remarkably, for an effectively almost-periodic word  $\alpha$  the acceptance problem Acc<sub>n</sub> and hence the MSO theory of the structure  $\langle \mathbb{N}; \langle P_{\alpha} \rangle$  are decidable. We refer to this result as **Semenov's theorem**.<sup>2</sup>

**Theorem 3.1.** Given a deterministic automaton A and an effectively almost-periodic word  $\alpha$ , it is decidable whether A accepts  $\alpha$ .

See [\[26](#page-15-0)] for an elegant proof, showing that the sequence of states  $A(\alpha)$  obtained when a deterministic automaton A reads an effectively almost-periodic word  $\alpha$  is also effectively almost-periodic. It remains to determine which states occur infinitely often in  $A(\alpha)$ . This can be done by computing  $R(q)$  for every state q and then checking whether q occurs in  $A(\alpha)[R(q), 2R(q))$ .

We next give a few closure properties of almost-periodic words, which are proven in [\[26](#page-15-0)].

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $\alpha \in \Sigma_1^{\omega}$  be (effectively) almost-periodic,  $\beta$  be ultimately periodic, and  $\gamma$  an infinite word output by a finite-state deterministic *transduces on input*  $\alpha$ *. Then the words*  $\tau(\alpha)$ *,*  $\alpha \times \beta$ *,* and  $\gamma$  are (effectively) almost-periodic.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See [\[25](#page-15-0)] for a characterisation of predicates *P* for which the MSO theory of  $\langle N; \langle , P \rangle$  is decidable, also due to Semënov.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

Fig. 1. Target sets for the Fibonacci and Tribonacci words. In (b), the pink, green, and blue sets correspond to  $S_1, S_2, S_3$ , respectively. (For interpretation of the colours in the figure(s), the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

On the other hand, by the result [\[7\]](#page-14-0) of Semënov, the product of two effectively almost-periodic words need not be effectively almost-periodic. This tells us that we cannot immediately use Semënov's theorem to show decidability of the MSO theory of the structure  $\langle \mathbb{N}; \langle P_\alpha, P_\beta \rangle$  for effectively almost-periodic words  $\alpha, \beta$ . In Section [6.4,](#page-11-0) we give explicit words  $\alpha, \beta$  that are sign patterns of linear recurrences sequences and effectively almost-periodic (in fact, one of these words is toric), whereas the product  $\alpha \times \beta$  is not almost-periodic. The proof obtained in [\[7\]](#page-14-0), in comparison, is indirect: it constructs two effectively almost-periodic words  $\alpha, \beta$  that encode information about Turing machines such that the MSO theory of  $\langle \mathbb{N}; <, P_{\alpha \times \beta} \rangle$  is undecidable. It follows that the word  $\alpha \times \beta$ cannot be *effectively* almost-periodic.

### **4. Morphic words**

By *substitution* we mean a non-erasing morphism  $\tau : \Sigma^* \to \Sigma^*$ . That is,  $\tau(a) \in \Sigma^+$  for all  $a \in \Sigma$ . Let  $\tau$  be a substitution and  $a \in \Sigma$ be a letter such that  $\tau(a) = aw$  for some  $w \in \Sigma^*$ . Iterating  $\tau$  on  $a$ , we obtain a sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of words given by  $x_0 = a$  and  $x_{n+1} = aw\tau(w)\tau^2(w)\cdots\tau^{n+1}(w)$ . For every  $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x_n$  is a prefix of  $x_{n+k}$ . If  $|\tau^n(a)| \to \infty$  as  $n \to \infty$ , then  $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  converges to an infinite word  $\alpha \in \Sigma^{\omega}$  that is a fixed point of  $\tau$ . Such  $\alpha$  is called a *substitutive* (alternatively, a *pure morphic*) word; see [\[24](#page-15-0)] for an account of the dynamics of these words. Substitutive words are similar to and subsumed by words generated by D0L systems; the latter are obtained by iteratively applying a morphism to a word  $w \in \Sigma^*$ , as opposed to a single letter [\[27](#page-15-0)]. We next give a few well-known examples of substitutive words.

- (a) The Thue-Morse sequence  $0110100110\cdots$  is generated by the substitution  $0 \rightarrow 01$  and  $1 \rightarrow 10$ , starting with the letter 0.
- (b) The Fibonacci word  $\alpha_F = 01001010010\cdots$ , generated by the substitution  $0 \rightarrow 01$  and  $1 \rightarrow 0$ . This famous sequence has many equivalent definitions, one of them as the coding of a rotation (Fig. 1 (a)). Let  $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ . Let  $\varphi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \approx 1.618$  and  $\Phi = \varphi - 1$  denote the golden ratio and its multiplicative inverse, respectively, and write  $\gamma = e^{i2\pi/\varphi}$ . The long-run ratio of zeros to ones in  $\alpha_F$  is equal to 1/Φ, and  $\alpha_F$  is the coding of  $(\gamma^n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  with respect to  $\{S_0, S_1\}$ , where  $S_0, S_1$  are open interval subsets of  $\mathbb T$  with lengths 2πΦ and 2πΦ<sup>2</sup>, respectively. That is, for all  $n \in \mathbb N$  and  $a \in \{0,1\}$ ,  $\alpha(n) = a \Leftrightarrow \gamma^n \in S_a$ . We will see in Section [6](#page-9-0) that  $\alpha_F$  is also a Sturmian word and a Pisot word.
- (c) The Tribonacci word  $\alpha_T = 121312112131\cdots$ , generated by the substitution  $1 \rightarrow 12$ ,  $2 \rightarrow 13$ ,  $3 \rightarrow 1$ . Let  $\beta \approx 1.839$  be the real root of  $x^3 - x^2 - x - 1$  and  $\Gamma = (e^{i2\pi/\beta}, e^{i2\pi/\beta^2}) \in \mathbb{T}^2$ . The word  $\alpha_T$  has a representation as the coding of  $(\Gamma^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  with respect to three open subsets  $S_1, S_2, S_3$  of  $\mathbb{T}^2$  with fractal boundaries [\[28](#page-15-0)]. For  $z \in \mathbb{T}$ , let  $f(z) = \frac{\text{Log}(z)}{i2\pi} + \frac{1}{2}$ . If we identify the multiplicative group  $\mathbb{T}^2$  with the additive group  $\mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2 = [0,1)^2$  via  $(z_1, z_2) \rightarrow (f(z_1), f(z_2))$ , the images of  $S_1, S_2, S_3$  form the *Rauzy fractal*. See Fig. 1 (b).
- (d) (Carton and Thomas [\[8\]](#page-14-0).) Consider the substitution  $\tau$  given by  $a \to ab$ ,  $b \to ccb$ ,  $c \to c$ , and let  $x_n = \tau^n(a)$ . We have that  $x_1 = ab$ ,  $x_2 = abccb$ ,  $x_3 = abcbccccccb$ , and so on, with the fixed point  $\alpha = abc^2bc^4bc^6bc^8 \cdots$  that is not almost-periodic.
- (e) (Salomaa, [\[29\]](#page-15-0).) Consider the morphism  $a \to aab, b \to a$ . The fixed point  $\alpha = aabaabaabaabaabaabaab \cdots$  is also a Sturmian (see Section [6.1](#page-9-0)) and hence a toric word [\[30\]](#page-15-0).

Let  $\tau$  be a substitution, and order the letters of the alphabet  $\Sigma$  as  $a_1, \ldots, a_k$ . The matrix  $M_{\tau}$ , where  $(M_{\tau})_{i,j}$  is the number of occurrences of  $a_j$  in  $\tau(a_i)$ , is called the *incidence matrix* of  $\tau$ . Observe that  $M_\tau^n$  counts the number of occurrences of each letter in  $\tau^n(a_i)$  for  $1 \le i \le k$ . A substitution is called *primitive* if there exists *n* such that all entries of  $M_\tau^n$  are strictly positive.

The factorial word  $\alpha_{n} \in \{0,1\}^{\omega}$ , i.e., the characteristic word of the set  $\{n! \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , is not substitutive. This can be shown by observing that every fixed point of a substitution  $\tau$  can be factorised as  $a\tau^0(w)\tau^1(w)\tau^2(w)\cdots$  where  $(|\tau^n(w)|_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  grows at most exponentially. The blocks of zeros of  $a_{n}$ , however, grow super-exponentially. Substitutive words need not be almost-periodic (see Example (d) above), but fixed points of primitive substitutions are strongly and effectively almost-periodic [\[23](#page-15-0), Chapter 10.9].

We say that a word  $\beta \in \Sigma_2^{\omega}$  is *morphic* if there exist a substitutive word  $\alpha \in \Sigma_1^{\omega}$  and a renaming of letters  $\mu : \Sigma_1 \to \Sigma_2$  such that  $\beta = \mu(\alpha)$ . As an example, if we apply the morphism  $\mu$  given by  $a \to 1$ ,  $b \to 1$ ,  $c \to 0$  to the word  $\alpha = abc^2bc^4bc^6 \cdots$  above, the word  $\beta = \mu(\alpha)$  we obtain is the characteristic word of the squares predicate:  $\beta(n) = 1 \Longleftrightarrow n = k^2$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Carton and Thomas [\[8\]](#page-14-0)

<span id="page-4-0"></span>showed that, in fact, for every integer  $m \ge 1$  and polynomial  $p \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  satisfying  $p(n) \ge 0$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the characteristic word of the set  $\{p(n)m^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}\$  is morphic. Morphic words moreover subsume the class of automatic words [\[23](#page-15-0), Chapter 6.3].

# *4.1. MSO decidability for morphic words*

In this section we discuss the semigroup approach used in  $[8]$  $[8]$  to show that, for a predicate P whose characteristic word is morphic, the MSO theory of  $\langle \mathbb{N}; \langle P \rangle$  is decidable. Let A be a deterministic automaton over an alphabet  $\Sigma$  with the set of states Q. We can associate a semigroup with A as follows. Two words  $u_1, u_2 \in \Sigma^*$  are equivalent with respect to A, written  $u_1 \equiv_A u_2$ , if for every state q, there exist  $R \subseteq Q$  and  $t \in Q$  with the following property. For  $i \in \{1,2\}$ , when  $u_i$  is read in the state q, the run visits exactly the states in R and ends in the state t. Observe that  $\Sigma^* / \equiv_A$  consists of finitely many equivalence classes. Let  $[u]_A$  denote the equivalence class of  $u \in \Sigma^*$ , noting that  $u \equiv_A v$  implies  $uw \equiv_A vw$  and  $wu \equiv_A uv$  for all finite words  $u, v, w$ . We define the semigroup  $G_A = \{ [u]_A : u \in \Sigma^* \}$  with  $[u]_A \cdot [v]_A := [uv]_A$ . The semigroup  $G_A$  associated with A has been known since the work of Büchi [\[2\]](#page-14-0). Carton and Thomas [\[8](#page-14-0)] define the class of *profinitely ultimately periodic* words for which the acceptance problem is decidable. A

word  $\alpha$  is profinitely ultimately periodic if it has a factorisation  $\alpha = u_0u_1u_2 \cdots$  into finite words  $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that for every morphism  $\sigma: \Sigma^* \to G$  into a finite semigroup G, the sequence  $(\sigma(u_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  is ultimately periodic. This property is *effective* if given  $\sigma$ , we can compute  $a, b \in G^*$  such that  $\sigma(\alpha) = \sigma(u_0)\sigma(u_1)\cdots = ab^{\omega}$ .

# **Theorem 4.1.** If  $\alpha \in \Sigma^*$  is effectively profinitely ultimately periodic, then the MSO theory of  $\langle \mathbb{N}; \langle A, P_\alpha \rangle$  is decidable.

**Proof.** Recall that decidability of the MSO theory is equivalent to decidability of the acceptance problem for  $\alpha$ : given a deterministic automaton A, decide if A accepts  $\alpha$ . Take  $\sigma$  to be the morphism that maps each  $u \in \Sigma^*$  to  $[u]_A$ . By the assumption on  $\alpha$ , we can effectively compute  $a, b \in (G_{\mathcal{A}})^*$  such that  $\sigma(\alpha) = ab^{\omega}$ . It remains to extract from a and b the set S of states that are visited infinitely often when A reads  $\alpha$ , and check S against the acceptance condition of A.  $\square$ 

All morphic words are effectively profinitely ultimately periodic [\[8](#page-14-0)]. (In fact, by a closer inspection of the Ramsey theory argument used in [\[31\]](#page-15-0) it can be shown that all words are profinitely ultimately periodic.) Hence the MSO theory of  $\langle \mathbb{N}; <, P_{\alpha} \rangle$  for a morphic word  $\alpha$  is decidable. Effectively profinitely ultimately periodic words also subsume all words  $\alpha$  for which Elgot and Rabin [\[3](#page-14-0)] showed decidability of the MSO theory of  $\langle \mathbb{N}; \langle P_{\alpha} \rangle$  using their *contraction method*. The factorial word  $\alpha_n$ , for example, is an effectively profinitely ultimately periodic word that is amenable to the approach of Elgot and Rabin. The factorisation of  $\alpha_{n}$ , that yields profinite ultimate periodicity is  $u_0 = 0$  and for  $n \ge 1$ ,  $u_{2n-1} = 1$  and  $u_{2n} = 0^{n!-(n-1)!}$ . Rabinovich ([\[31](#page-15-0)], see also [\[32](#page-15-0)]) showed that, in fact, the MSO theory of  $\langle \mathbb{N}; \langle P_1, \ldots, P_m \rangle$  is decidable *if* and only if  $\alpha = \alpha_1 \times \cdots \times \alpha_m$ , where each  $\alpha_i$  is the characteristic word of  $P_i$ , is effectively profinitely ultimately periodic. However, if we do not have any *a priori* information on the decidability of the MSO theory, this characterisation does not give us any means to determine whether the word  $\alpha$  is effectively profinitely ultimately periodic or not.

Effectively profinitely ultimately periodic words are not known to be closed under products, which makes the approach of [\[8\]](#page-14-0) inapplicable to the case of multiple predicates. Let Squares =  $\{n^2 : n \in \mathbb{N}\}\$ and Cubes =  $\{n^3 : n \in \mathbb{N}\}\$ . As discussed above, the characteristic words of both predicates are morphic and hence the MSO theories of the structures  $\langle \mathbb{N}; \langle \mathsf{Squares} \rangle$  and  $\langle \mathbb{N}; \langle \mathsf{Cubes} \rangle$  are decidable. However, decidability of the MSO theory of ⟨ℕ; <, Squares, Cubes⟩ is currently unknown. Decidability of the latter theory is connected to finding the solutions of the famous Mordell equation  $n^2 = m^3 + K$  for which Baker showed that when  $K \neq 0$ , the solutions satisfy  $n, m < \exp((10^{10}|K|)^{10^4})$  [\[33](#page-15-0)]. However, it is unclear whether Baker's result is sufficient to prove decidability of the full MSO theory.

**Open Problem.** Is the MSO theory of  $\langle \mathbb{N}; \prec, \mathsf{Squares}, \mathsf{Cubes} \rangle$  decidable?

# **5. Toric words**

Recall that  $\mathbb T$  is the set { $z \in \mathbb C$  :  $|z| = 1$ }, viewed as an abelian group under multiplication. A word  $\alpha \in \mathbb Z^{\omega}$  is *toric* if there exist  $d > 0$ , a collection  $S = \{S_a : a \in \Sigma\}$  of pairwise disjoint subsets of  $\mathbb{T}^d$ , and  $\Gamma \in \mathbb{T}^d$  with the following properties. Each  $S_a$  has finitely many connected components (in the Euclidean topology), and for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $a \in \Sigma$ ,

$$
\alpha(n) = a \Longleftrightarrow \Gamma^n \in S_a.
$$

In particular,  $\Gamma^n \in \bigcup_{a \in \Sigma} S_a$  for all *n*. We say that  $\alpha$  is generated by ( $\Gamma, S$ ). In the symbolic dynamics literature,  $\alpha$  is referred to as the *coding* of the orbit  $(\Gamma^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  with respect to the collection of sets *S*. We let  $\mathcal T$  denote the class of all toric words.

The purpose of the topological restriction that each  $S_a$  must have finitely many connected components is to avoid the situation where every word is toric with  $d = 1$ . Below we define further special subclasses of toric words that will help us better classify Sturmian words, certain morphic words, sign patterns of linear recurrence sequences, and so on.

- (a) We let  $\mathcal{T}_0$  denote the class of toric words that are generated by  $(\Gamma, S)$  where each set in S is open in the Euclidean topology on  $\mathbb{T}^d$ .
- (b) The class  $\mathcal{T}_{SA}$  comprises all toric words generated by (Γ, S) where each set in S is an ℝ-semialgebraic subset of  $\mathbb{T}^d$ .

<span id="page-5-0"></span>(c) Finally, we let  $\mathcal{T}_{SA(\mathbb{Q})}$  denote the set of all words generated by  $(\Gamma, \mathcal{S})$  such that  $\Gamma \in (\mathbb{T} \cap \overline{\mathbb{Q}})^d$ , i.e.,  $\Gamma$  has algebraic entries, and each set in  $S$  is  $\mathbb Q$ -semialgebraic.

Clearly,  $\mathcal{T}_{SA} \supseteq \mathcal{T}_{SA(O)}$ . A desirable property that the latter class has is that all operations we will need to perform on  $\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_{SA(O)}$  are effective, although  $\mathcal{T}_{SA(O)}$  is not the only subclass of  $\mathcal{T}_{SA}$  with this property.

We have already seen that the Tribonacci word, which is generated by the morphism  $1 \rightarrow 12, 2 \rightarrow 13, 3 \rightarrow 1$  and the starting letter 1, belongs to  $\mathcal{T}_O$ : it is generated by  $(\Gamma, \mathcal{S})$  where  $\Gamma \in \mathbb{T}^2$  and the sets in S constitute the Rauzy fractal. We will later show that Sturmian words belong to  $\mathcal{T}_{SA}$ , and the sign patterns of various linear recurrence sequences belong to  $\mathcal{T}_{SA(O)}$ .

*5.1. Orbits in*

In order to understand toric words, we have to understand the time steps at which the orbit  $\mathcal{O}(\Gamma) := (\Gamma^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\Gamma \in \mathbb{T}^d$  visits a given subset of  $\mathbb{T}^d$ . In this section we will show that unlike the discrete orbit  $\mathcal{O}(\Gamma)$ , its Euclidean closure  $\mathbb{T}_{\Gamma} := Cl(\mathcal{O}(\Gamma))$  is ℚ-semialgebraic and effectively computable under some assumptions on Γ. Moreover,  $\mathcal{O}(\Gamma)$  visits every open subset of  $\mathbb{T}_{\Gamma}$  infinitely often.

The key to proving these results is the notion of a *multiplicative relation*. We say that  $(a_1, \ldots, a_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  is a multiplicative relation of  $z = (z_1, ..., z_d)$ ,  $z \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^d$  if  $z_1^{a_1} \cdots z_d^{a_d} = 1$ . For such z,

$$
G(z) := \{ (a_1, \dots, a_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d \mid z_1^{a_1} \cdots z_d^{a_d} = 1 \}
$$

is called the *group* of *multiplicative relations* of z. For all z,  $G(z)$  is a free abelian group under addition with a basis containing at most d vectors from  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ . If the entries of z are algebraic, then such a basis can be effectively computed: by a theorem of Masser [\[34](#page-15-0)],  $G(z)$ has a basis  $v_1, \ldots, v_m$  of vectors satisfying  $||v_i||_2 < B$  for all *i*, where *B* is a bound that can be effectively computed from *z*. It remains to find a maximally linearly independent set of vectors of the form  $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  with the property that  $z_1^{a_1} \cdots z_d^{a_d} = 1$  and  $\|a\|_2 < B$  by enumeration.

To describe  $\mathbb{T}_{\Gamma}$  we will employ Kronecker's theorem in simultaneous Diophantine approximation. For  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ , let  $\llbracket x \rrbracket_{y}$  be the distance from x to a nearest integer multiple of y. Further write  $[[x]]$  for  $[[x]]$ . The following is a classical version of Kronecker's theorem [\[35](#page-15-0)].

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let*  $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  *and*  $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  *be such that for all*  $b \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ *,* 

$$
b\cdot x\in\mathbb{Z}\Rightarrow b\cdot y\in\mathbb{Z}.
$$

*For every*  $\epsilon > 0$  *there exist infinitely many values*  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  *satisfying* 

$$
\sum_{j=1}^d [[nx_j - y_j]] < \epsilon.
$$

Writing  $X = (e^{i2\pi x_1}, \ldots, e^{i2\pi x_d})$  and  $Y = (e^{i2\pi y_1}, \ldots, e^{i2\pi y_d})$ , the condition that for all  $b \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ ,  $b \cdot x \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow b \cdot y \in \mathbb{Z}$  is equivalent to  $G(X) \subseteq G(Y)$ . That is, "every multiplicative relation of X is also a multiplicative relation of Y". We can now prove the main result of this section.

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let*  $\Gamma = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_d) \in \mathbb{T}^d$ .

(a) If  $z \in \mathbb{T}^d$  is such that  $G(\Gamma) \subseteq G(z)$ , then for every open  $O \subset \mathbb{T}_{\Gamma}$  containing z there exist infinitely many values  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\Gamma^n \in O$ . (b)  $\mathbb{T}_{\Gamma}$  *is equal to* { $z \in \mathbb{T}^d$  :  $G(\Gamma) \subseteq G(z)$ }, *is Q*-semialgebraic, and *is effectively computable given a basis of*  $G(\Gamma)$ *.* 

**Proof.** Consider  $z = (z_1, ..., z_d) \in \mathbb{T}^d$  with  $G(\Gamma) \subseteq G(z)$ . Define  $x_j = \frac{\text{Log}(y_j)}{i2\pi}$  and  $y_j = \frac{\text{Log}(z_j)}{i2\pi}$  for  $1 \le j \le d$ . We have  $x_j, y_j \in G(z)$ .  $(-1/2, 1/2]$ . For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$
\begin{aligned} \|\Gamma^n - z\|_1 &= \sum_{j=1}^d |r_j^n - z_j| \\ &\le \sum_{j=1}^d |\text{Log}(r_j^n / z_j)| \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^d [\![n \text{Log}(x_j) / i - \text{Log}(z_j) / i ]\!]_{2\pi} \\ &= 2\pi \sum_{j=1}^d [\![nx_j - y_j]\!] \end{aligned}
$$

<span id="page-6-0"></span>where the last equality follows from the fact that  $[[x]]_{2\pi} = 2\pi [[x/(2\pi)]]$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Applying Kronecker's theorem, for each  $\epsilon > 0$ there exist infinitely many values *n* such that  $\|\Gamma^n - z\|_1 < \epsilon$ . This proves (a).

To prove (b), let  $V = \{v_1, \ldots, v_m\}$  be a basis of  $G(\Gamma)$ , where for all  $1 \le k \le m$ ,  $v_k = (v_{k_1}, \ldots, v_{k_d})$ . Since for  $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_d)$ ,

$$
G(\Gamma) \subseteq G(z) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \bigwedge_{k=1}^m z_1^{\nu_{k,1}} \cdots z_d^{\nu_{k,d}} = 1,
$$

the set  $\{z \in \mathbb{T}^d : G(\Gamma) \subseteq G(z)\}$  is closed and ℚ-semialgebraic. It moreover contains the orbit  $\mathcal{O}(\Gamma)$  as  $G(\Gamma) \subseteq G(\Gamma^n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Invoking (a), the orbit  $\mathcal{O}(\Gamma)$  is dense in { $z \in \mathbb{T}^d$ :  $G(\Gamma) \subseteq G(z)$ }. Hence the latter must be exactly the closure of  $\mathcal{O}(\Gamma)$ .  $\square$ 

#### *5.2. Closure properties of toric words*

We now investigate closure properties of toric words under various word operations. First we will show that unlike the class of almost-periodic words, all classes of toric words that we have defined are closed under products.

**Theorem 5.3.** Let  $\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_{L-1} \in \mathcal{K}$ , where  $\mathcal K$  is one of  $\mathcal T, \mathcal T_0, \mathcal T_{SA}, \mathcal T_{SA(0)}$ . The product word  $\alpha = \alpha_0 \times \cdots \times \alpha_{L-1}$  also belongs to  $\mathcal K$ .

**Proof.** Suppose each  $\alpha_i \in \sum_i^{\omega}$  and is generated by  $(\Gamma_i, \{S_a^{(i)} : a \in \Sigma_i\})$ , where  $\Gamma_i \in \mathbb{T}^{d_i}$ . Let  $\Sigma$  be the product alphabet  $\Sigma_0 \times \cdots \times \Sigma_{L-1}$ , noting that  $\alpha \in \Sigma^{\omega}$ . Further let  $d = d_0 + \dots + d_{L-1}$  and  $\Gamma = \prod_{r=0}^{L-1} \Gamma_i \in \mathbb{T}^d$ . For each letter  $b = (a_0, \dots, a_{L-1}) \in \Sigma$ , define  $S_b = \prod_{r=0}^{L-1} S_{a_r}^{(r)}$ . The word  $\alpha$  is toric and generated by ( $\Gamma$ , { $S_b$ :  $b \in \Sigma$ }). It remains to observe that if every  $S_a^{(i)}$  is open, or  $\mathbb{K}$ -semialgebraic for  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}$ or  $K = \mathbb{R}$ , then the same applies to  $S_b$  for every  $b \in \Sigma$ .  $\square$ 

The classes of toric words we consider are also closed under applications of k-uniform morphisms, i.e.,  $\tau : \Sigma_1 \to \Sigma_2$  for which  $|\tau(a)| = k$  for all  $a \in \Sigma_1$ .

**Theorem 5.4.** Let  $\alpha \in \mathcal{K}$ , where  $\mathcal{K}$  is one of  $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}_O, \mathcal{T}_{SA}, \mathcal{T}_{SA(Q)}$ . Suppose  $\alpha \in \Sigma^{\omega}_1$ , and let  $\tau : \Sigma_1 \to \Sigma_2$  be a k-uniform morphism. The word  $\beta := \tau(\alpha)$  *also belongs to*  $\mathcal{K}$ .

**Proof.** Suppose *α* is generated by (Γ, { $S_a$ :  $a \in \Sigma_1$ }). The idea is to "slow down Γ by a factor of k" and "add a counter modulo" k". Let  $\Gamma = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_d)$ ,  $\lambda_j = e^{i \text{Log}(\gamma_j)/k}$  for  $1 \le j \le d$ , and  $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_d)$ . Observe that  $\gamma_j = \lambda_j^k$  for all j. Further let  $\omega = e^{i2\pi/k}$  and  $B_i = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - \omega^i| < 1/k \}$ . The sets  $B_0, \ldots, B_{k-1}$  are open, **ℚ**-semialgebraic and pairwise disjoint. Moreover,  $\omega^n \in B_i$  if and only if  $n \equiv j \pmod{k}$ .

For a letter  $b \in \Sigma_2$ , define

$$
S_b = \bigcup_{\substack{a \in \Sigma_1, \ 0 \leq j < |\tau(a)| \\ \tau(a)(j) = b}} \Lambda^j S_a \times B_j.
$$

We will show that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $b \in \Sigma_2$ ,  $\beta(n) = b$  if and only if  $\Lambda_1^n \in S_b$ , where  $\Lambda_1 = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d, \omega)$ . Fix  $n = qk + r$  where  $0 \le r < k$ . By construction,

 $\Lambda_1^n \in S_b \Longleftrightarrow \exists a, j : \tau(a)(j) = b, \Lambda^n \in \Gamma^j S_a$ , and  $\omega^n \in B_j$ .

Recall that  $\omega^n \in B_i$  is equivalent to  $j = r$ . Hence

$$
\Lambda^n \in \Gamma^j S_a \iff \Gamma^{-j} \Lambda^n \in S_a \iff \Gamma^q \in S_a \iff \alpha(q) = a.
$$

Above we used the fact that  $\Gamma = \Lambda^k$ . We have thus shown that  $\Lambda_1^n \in S_b$  if and only if  $\alpha(q) = a$  for some  $a \in \Sigma_1$  satisfying  $\tau(a)(r) = b$ . Since  $\beta(n) = \tau(\alpha(q))(r)$ , it follows that  $\Gamma^n \in S_b$  if and only if  $\beta(n) = b$ . That is,  $\beta$  is the toric word generated by  $(\Lambda_1, {\{S_b : b \in \Sigma_2\}})$ .

**Corollary 5.5.** The merge  $\alpha$  of  $\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_{L-1} \in \mathcal{K}$ , where  $\mathcal{K}$  is one of the classes of toric words as above, also belongs to  $\mathcal{K}$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $\alpha_i \in \Sigma_i$ . Let  $\tau$  be the L-uniform morphism that maps each  $(a_0, \ldots, a_{L-1}) \in \Sigma_0 \times \cdots \times \Sigma_{L-1}$  to the concatenation of  $a_0, \ldots, a_{L-1}$ . Observe that  $\alpha = \tau(\alpha_0 \times \cdots \times \alpha_{L-1})$ .  $\Box$ 

Finally, we show that our classes of toric words are closed under taking suffixes. This property is shared with the classes of almost-periodic words.

**Theorem 5.6.** *All four classes of toric words are closed under taking suffixes.*

**Proof.** If  $\alpha$  is generated by  $(\Gamma, \{S_a : a \in \Sigma\})$ , then  $\alpha[N, \infty)$  is generated by  $(\Gamma, \{\Gamma^{-N}S_a : a \in \Sigma\})$ .  $\square$ 

# <span id="page-7-0"></span>*5.3. Almost-periodicity of toric words*

We will now show that toric words belonging to the classes  $T_0$  and  $T_{SA}$  are almost-periodic, albeit for somewhat different reasons. The proof for the former class is topological, whereas the proof for  $\mathcal{T}_{SA}$  relies on the Skolem-Mahler-Lech theorem for linear recurrence sequences. Combined with closure under products, almost periodicity of toric words will allow us to apply Semënov's theorem to the problem of deciding the MSO theory of  $\langle \mathbb{N}; \langle P_1, \ldots, P_m \rangle$ , where each  $P_i$  is a predicate associated with a toric word.

**Theorem 5.7.** *Every*  $\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_O$  *is strongly almost-periodic.* 

**Proof.** Consider  $\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_0$  that is generated by  $(\Gamma, \{S_a : a \in \Sigma\})$  where  $\Gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d) \in \mathbb{T}^d$  and each  $S_a$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{T}^d$ . Let  $\mathbb{T}_\Gamma$ denote the closure of  $(\Gamma^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , and consider a finite word  $w = w(0) \cdots w(l-1) \in \Sigma^l$ . The latter occurs at position *n* in  $\alpha$  if and only if

$$
\bigwedge_{i=0}^{l-1} \Gamma^{n+i} \in S_{w(i)}
$$

which is equivalent to  $\Gamma^n \in S_w$  where

$$
S_w := \mathbb{T}_{\Gamma} \cap \bigcap_{i=0}^{l-1} \Gamma^{-i} S_{w(i)}.
$$

Since each  $S_{w(i)} \subseteq \mathbb{T}^d$  is open,  $S_w$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{T}_\Gamma$ . If  $S_w$  is empty, then w does not occur in  $\alpha$ . Suppose therefore  $S_w$  is not empty.

For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $X_k = \{ z \in \mathbb{T} : \Gamma^k z \in S_w \}$ . Each  $X_k$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{T}$ <sub>Γ</sub>, and since  $(\Gamma^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  visits every open subset of  $\mathbb{T}$ <sub>Γ</sub> infinitely often,  $\{X_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is an open cover of  $\mathbb{T}_{\Gamma}$ . By compactness of  $\mathbb{T}_{\Gamma}$ , there exists  $K \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\bigcup_{k=0}^K X_k$  covers  $\mathbb{T}_{\Gamma}$ . That is, the orbit of any point in  $\mathbb{T}_{\Gamma}$  under the action of  $z \to \Gamma z$  visits  $S_{\nu}$  in at most K steps. Hence for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $0 \le k \le K$ such that  $\Gamma^{n+k} \in S_n$ . Therefore, the word w is guaranteed to occur in  $\alpha[n, n + K + l]$  for every n.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 5.8.** Suppose  $\alpha \in \Sigma^{\omega}$  is generated by  $(\Gamma, \{S_a : a \in \Sigma\})$  where  $\Gamma \in (\mathbb{T} \cap \overline{\mathbb{Q}})^d$  and each  $S_a$  is open and  $\mathbb{Q}$ -semialgebraic. Then  $\alpha$  is *strongly and effectively almost-periodic.*

**Proof.** As discussed in Section [5.1,](#page-5-0) we can compute the ℚ-semialgebraic set  $\mathbb{T}_{\Gamma}$  effectively. Hence, given w, we can effectively compute a representation of  $X_k$  (see the proof of Theorem 5.7) as a ℚ-semialgebraic set using tools of semialgebraic geometry. We can then determine K by checking for increasing values of m, starting with  $m = 0$ , whether  $\bigcup_{k=0}^{m} X_k$  covers  $\mathbb{T}_{\Gamma}$ . Hence given w, we can effectively compute  $K + l$  as a bound on between two consecutive occurrences of w in  $\alpha$ .

We now move on to the classes  $\mathcal{T}_{SA}$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{SA(O)}$ .

**Theorem 5.9.** *Let*  $\alpha \in \mathcal{K}$ *, where*  $\mathcal{K}$  *is either*  $\mathcal{T}_{SA}$  *or*  $\mathcal{T}_{SA(\mathbb{Q})}$ *.* 

- (a) *There exists a suffix*  $\beta := \alpha[N, \infty)$  *of*  $\alpha$  *such that*  $\beta \in \mathcal{T}_O \cap \mathcal{K}$ .
- (b) *The word*  $\alpha$  *is almost-periodic.*

**Proof.** Suppose  $\alpha$  is generated by  $((\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_d), \{S_a : a \in \Sigma\})$  where each  $S_a$  is a  $\mathbb{K}$ -semialgebraic subset of  $\mathbb{T}^d$ ; if  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{T}_{SA}$ , then  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ , and  $K = \mathbb{Q}$  otherwise. Recall the definition of a semialgebraic subset of  $\mathbb{C}^d$ . For each letter a and  $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_d) \in \mathbb{T}^d$ , we have that  $z \in S_a$  if and only if

$$
\bigvee_{i\in I_a}\bigwedge_{j\in J_a}p_{i,j}({\rm Re}(z_1),{\rm Im}(z_1),\dots,{\rm Re}(z_d),{\rm Im}(z_d))\,\Delta_{i,j}\,0
$$

where each  $p_{i,j}$  is a polynomial with real coefficients and  $\Delta_{i,j} \in \{\ge, >\}$ . Define

$$
u_n^{a,i,j} = p_{i,j}(\text{Re}(\gamma_1^n), \text{Im}(\gamma_1^n), \dots, \text{Re}(\gamma_d^n), \text{Im}(\gamma_d^n)).
$$

Observe that each  $(u_n^{a,i,j})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a linear recurrence sequence over ℝ. Applying the Skolem-Mahler-Lech theorem, for each *a*, *i*, *j* there exist  $v := N_{a,i,j}$  and  $\lambda := L_{a,i,j}$  such that for each  $0 \le r < \lambda$ , the subsequence  $(u_{v+n\lambda+r}^{a,i,j})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  is either identically zero or does not have any zero terms. Take  $N = \max_{a,i,j} N_{a,i,j}$  and  $L = \prod_{a,i,j} L_{a,i,j}$ . We have that for every  $a, i, j$  and  $0 \le r \le L$ , the subsequence  $(v_n^{a,i,j,r})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of  $(u_n^{a,i,j})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  given by

$$
v_n^{a,i,j,r} = u_{N+nL+r}^{a,i,j}
$$

is either identically zero or is never zero.

<span id="page-8-0"></span>Consider  $\beta = \alpha/N$ ,  $\infty$ ) and for  $0 \le r < L$ , define  $\beta$ , by

$$
\beta_r(n) := \beta(nL + r) = \alpha(N + nL + r)
$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We will show that  $\beta_r \in \mathcal{T}_O \cap \mathcal{K}$  for all r. Thereafter, from Theorem [5.3](#page-6-0) it follows that  $\beta \in \mathcal{T}_O \cap \mathcal{K}$ , proving (a). Invoking Theorem [5.7,](#page-7-0)  $\beta$  is strongly almost-periodic. Since  $\beta$  is a suffix of  $\alpha$ , we conclude that  $\alpha$  is almost-periodic.

Fix  $0 \le r < L$ . For every  $a \in \Sigma$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have that  $\beta_r(n) = a$  if and only if

$$
\bigvee_{i\in I_a}\bigwedge_{j\in J_a}v^{a,i,j,r}_n\,\Delta_{i,j}\,0
$$

where  $\Delta_{i,j} \in \{\geq,>\}\$ . By construction of *N*, *L*, for each  $i \in I_a$  and  $j \in J_a$ , the  $(i, j)$ th inequality above either holds for all *n* (in case  $v_n^{a,i,j,r}$  is identically zero and  $\Delta_{i,j}$  is equality), or holds if and only if  $v_n^{a,i,j,r} > 0$ . Hence there exist  $K_a \subseteq I_a$  and  $M_a \subseteq J_a$  such that for all *n*,  $\beta_r(n) = a$  if and only if

$$
\bigvee_{i\in K_a}\bigwedge_{j\in M_a}v_n^{a,i,j,r}>0.
$$

Let  $\lambda_k = \gamma_k^L$  for  $1 \le k \le d$ , and observe that we can write  $v_n^{a,i,j,r} > 0$  as

$$
q_{a,i,j,r}(\text{Re}(\lambda_1^n),\text{Im}(\lambda_1^n),\ldots,\text{Re}(\lambda_d^n),\text{Im}(\lambda_d^n))>0
$$

for a polynomial  $q_{a,i,j,r}$  with real coefficients. For each a, define  $S_a^{(r)} \subseteq \mathbb{T}^d$  by

$$
(z_1,\ldots,z_d)\in S_a^{(r)}\Longleftrightarrow \bigvee_{i\in K_a}\bigwedge_{j\in M_a}q_{a,i,j,r}({\rm Re}(z_1),{\rm Im}(z_1),\ldots,{\rm Re}(z_d),{\rm Im}(z_d))>0.
$$

We have that  $\beta_r$  is the toric word generated by  $((\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_d), \{S_a^{(r)} : a \in \Sigma\})$ . Since each  $S_a^{(r)}$  is open,  $\beta_r \in \mathcal{T}_O$ . As discussed above, it follows that  $\beta$  is strongly almost-periodic and  $\alpha$  is almost-periodic.  $\square$ 

# **Corollary 5.10.** Assuming decidability of the Skolem problem for LRS over  $\mathbb{R} \cap \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ , every  $\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_{\text{CALO}}$  is effectively almost-periodic.

**Proof.** Suppose  $\alpha$  is generated by  $(\Gamma, \{S_a : a \in \Sigma\})$ , where  $\Gamma \in (\mathbb{T} \cap \overline{\mathbb{Q}})^d$  and each  $S_a$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -semialgebraic. In this case, in the proof of Theorem [5.9](#page-7-0) each  $(u_n^{a,i,j})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an LRS over ℝ ∩ ℚ. If we assume decidability of the Skolem problem for LRS over ℝ ∩ ℚ, then using the Skolem-Mahler-Lech theorem (see Section [2](#page-1-0)) we can effectively compute the values of  $N_{a,i,j}, L_{a,i,j}$  and hence *N*, *L* in the proof above. We can therefore effectively compute ( $\Gamma_1$ ,  $S_1$ ) that generates the toric word  $\beta = \alpha[N, \infty)$ , where  $\Gamma_1 \in (\mathbb{T} \cap \overline{\mathbb{Q}})^d$  and each set in  $S_1$  is open and ℚ-semialgebraic. Invoking Corollary [5.8,](#page-7-0)  $\beta$  is strongly and effectively almost-periodic. Hence  $\alpha$  is effectively almost-periodic.  $\square$ 

Theorem [5.7](#page-7-0) tells us that words belonging to the class  $\mathcal{T}_{SA}$  are, in a sense, not too different from words in the class  $\mathcal{T}_O$ . In fact, we can combine words across the two classes by taking a product, while maintaining almost periodicity.

**Theorem 5.11.** Let  $\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_{L-1} \in \mathcal{T}_O$  and  $\beta_0, \ldots, \beta_{M-1} \in \mathcal{T}_{SA}$ . The word  $\delta := \prod_{i=0}^{L-1} \alpha_i \times \prod_{j=0}^{M-1} \beta_j$  is almost-periodic.

**Proof.** Let  $\alpha := \prod_{i=0}^{L-1} \alpha_i$  and  $\beta := \prod_{j=0}^{M-1} \beta_j$ . The word  $\delta$ , up to a renaming of letters, is equal to  $\alpha \times \beta$ . By Theorem [5.3](#page-6-0),  $\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_O$ and  $\beta \in \mathcal{T}_{SA}$ . By Theorem [5.9](#page-7-0), there exists  $N$  such that  $\beta[N,\infty) \in \mathcal{T}_{O}$ . By closure under taking suffixes (Theorem [5.6](#page-6-0)),  $\alpha[N,\infty) \in \mathcal{T}_{O}$ . Applying Theorem [5.3,](#page-6-0)  $\delta[N,\infty) = \alpha[N,\infty) \times \beta[N,\infty)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{T}_Q$  and hence is strongly almost-periodic. It follows that  $\delta$  is almostperiodic.  $\square$ 

We have thus uncovered a myriad of structures with potentially decidable MSO theories: Suppose  $P_1, \ldots, P_m$  are predicates with characteristic words  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m$  that belong to  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{O}} \cup \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}}$ . Then the word  $\alpha := \alpha_1 \times \cdots \times \alpha_m$  is almost-periodic by Theorem 5.11. Recall that by Semënov's theorem, a sufficient condition for decidability of the MSO theory of  $\langle \mathbb{N}; <, P_1, \ldots, P_m \rangle$  is *effective* almost periodicity of  $\alpha$ . Hence the question arises: for which toric predicates  $P_1, \ldots, P_m$  is it possible to prove effective almost periodicity of the product word? A similar open problem is decidability of the MSO theory of  $\langle \mathbb{N}; \langle \rangle$  extended with a morphic predicate  $P_1$  and a toric predicate  $P_2$ . In this case once again we can separately decide the MSO theories of  $\langle \mathbb{N}; <, P_1 \rangle$  and  $\langle \mathbb{N}; <, P_2 \rangle$  by [\[8](#page-14-0)] and Semënov's theorem, respectively.

We conclude this section by isolating a class of toric words which we can combine while maintaining effective almost periodicity of the product word and decidability of the resulting MSO theory. It turns out that this family of toric words is powerful enough for proving decidability of various subclasses of the model-checking problem for linear dynamical systems, discussed in Section [6.5](#page-13-0).

**Theorem 5.12.** Let  $\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_m$  be toric words such that each  $\alpha_i$  is generated by  $(\Gamma_i,S_i)$  where  $\Gamma_i\in(\mathbb{T}\cap\overline{\mathbb{Q}})^{d_i}$  and each  $S_i$  is a collection of *open and* ℚ*-semialgebraic sets. Then is strongly and effectively almost-periodic.*

<span id="page-9-0"></span>(a) *The product*  $\alpha = \alpha_1 \times \cdots \times \alpha_m$  *is effectively almost-periodic.* 

(b) *The MSO theory of the structure*  $\langle \mathbb{N}; <, P_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, P_{\alpha_m} \rangle$  *is decidable.* 

**Proof.** Apply the construction of Theorem [5.3](#page-6-0) and Corollary [5.8](#page-7-0) to prove (a). To prove (b), recall that by Büchi's construction, the decision problem for the MSO theory of the structure above reduces to the acceptance problem for  $\alpha$ . The latter is decidable by Corollary [5.8](#page-7-0) and Semënov's theorem.  $\square$ 

We can do better if we assume existence of a Skolem oracle.

**Theorem 5.13.** Let  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m \in \mathcal{T}_{SA(\mathbb{Q})}$ . Assuming decidability of the Skolem problem for LRS over  $\mathbb{R} \cap \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ , the MSO theory of  $\langle \mathbb{N}; <$  $, P_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, P_{\alpha_m}$  *is decidable.* 

**Proof.** Let  $\alpha = \alpha_1 \times \cdots \times \alpha_m$ . By Theorem [5.3,](#page-6-0)  $\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_{SA}$ , and by Corollary [5.10,](#page-8-0)  $\alpha$  is effectively almost-periodic under the assumption that the Skolem problem is decidable for real algebraic LRS. It remains to invoke Semënov's theorem. □

# **6. Applications**

In this section we discuss MSO decidability and almost periodicity properties of Sturmian words, Pisot words, sign patterns of linear recurrence sequences, certain sequences of arithmetic origin, and words arising from linear dynamical systems.

# *6.1. Sturmian words*

An infinite word over the alphabet  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$  is Sturmian if the number of its distinct factors of length *n* is equal to  $n + 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We refer the reader to [\[23](#page-15-0), Chapter 10.5] for a detailed discussion of Sturmian words. It is known that if a word has at most n distinct factors of length  $n$  for some  $n > 0$ , then it is ultimately periodic. Hence Sturmian words have the smallest factor complexity among words that are not ultimately periodic.

Sturmian words have many equivalent characterisations, including one as a family of toric words. For  $z \in \mathbb{T}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ , let  $\mathcal{I}(z, x)$  be the open interval subset of the unit circle  $\mathbb{T}$  generated by starting at z and rotating counter-clockwise until  $ze^{i\chi}$  is reached. Further define  $I(z, x) := \{z\} \cup I(z, x)$  and  $I(z, x) := I(z, x) \cup \{ze^{ix}\}\$ . A word  $\alpha$  is Sturmian if and only if there exist  $\gamma \in \mathbb{T}$  not a root of unity and  $\xi \in \mathbb{T}$  such that for all *n*,  $\alpha(n)=1$  if and only if  $\gamma^n \in \mathcal{I}(\xi,\theta)$ , where  $\theta = |Log(\gamma)|$ . That is, a Sturmian word is the coding of  $(\gamma^n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  for some  $\gamma$  that is not a root of unity with respect to a partition  $\{S_0, S_1\}$  of  $\mathbb T$  where  $S_1$  is a semi-open interval of length exactly  $\theta$ .<sup>3</sup> Hence all Sturmian words belong to  $\mathcal{T}_{SA}$ , and are almost-periodic by Theorem [5.9.](#page-7-0) In fact, they are strongly almost-periodic [\[23](#page-15-0)].

Carton and Thomas [\[8\]](#page-14-0) asked: Is the MSO theory of  $\langle \mathbb{N}; <, P_\alpha \rangle$ , where  $\alpha$  is a Sturmian word, decidable? Call the Sturmian word with parameters  $\gamma$  and  $\xi$  effective if there exists an algorithm for approximating  $\text{Log}(\xi)$  and  $\theta := |\text{Log}(\gamma)|$  to arbitrary precision. We will show that such  $\alpha$  is effectively almost-periodic and hence the MSO theory of  $\langle \mathbb{N}; \langle A, P_{\alpha} \rangle$  is decidable. Note that by the assumption that  $\gamma$  is not a root of unity, the equation  $\gamma^n = \xi$  can have at most one solution in *n*. Moreover,  $\gamma^n = \xi e^{i\theta}$  if and only if  $\gamma^{n+1} = \xi$  or  $\gamma^{n-1} = \xi$ . Hence for every effective Sturmian word  $\alpha$  there exists an algorithm that computes  $\alpha(n)$  given n. The algorithm simply stores the value N (if any) such that  $\gamma^N = \xi$ , as well as the values of  $\alpha(N - 1)$ ,  $\alpha(N)$ ,  $\alpha(N + 1)$ .<sup>4</sup> On  $n \notin \{N - 1, N, N + 1\}$ , it determines  $\alpha(n)$  by approximating  $\text{Log}(\gamma^n)$  to sufficient precision and comparing it to approximations of  $\text{Log}(\xi)$  and  $\text{Log}(\xi e^{i\theta})$ .

# **Theorem 6.1.** An effective Sturmian word  $\alpha$  is effectively almost-periodic.

**Proof.** Suppose  $\alpha$  is generated by  $\gamma$  and  $\xi$ . Define  $\theta$ ,  $S_0$  and  $S_1$  as above. As mentioned earlier, all Sturmian words are strongly almost-periodic. Moreover, under the assumption on  $\alpha$ , there exists a program that computes  $\alpha(n)$  given n. Hence we have to show existence of a program that, given a finite word  $u$ , determines whether  $u$  occurs in  $\alpha$ , and in case it does, computes an upper bound on the gaps between consecutive occurrences. If  $\gamma^N = \xi$  for some N, then let  $M = N + 2$ . Otherwise, let  $M = 0$ . For  $n \ge M$ ,  $\gamma^n \neq \xi, \xi e^{i\theta}$ . That is,  $\gamma^n$  does not hit the boundary of  $S_0, S_1$ . It suffices to prove effective almost periodicity of  $\beta := \alpha[M, \infty)$ . As in the proof of Theorem [5.7,](#page-7-0) a word  $w = w(0) \cdots w(l - 1)$  occurs at a position  $n \ge M$  in  $\alpha$  if and only if  $\gamma^n \in S_{\alpha}$ , where

$$
S_w = \bigcap_{i=0}^{l-1} \gamma^{-i} S_{w(i)}
$$

and each  $S_{w(i)}$  is the open interval  $\mathcal{I}(\xi, \xi e^{i\theta})$  if  $w(i) = 1$  and  $S_{w(i)} = \mathcal{I}(\xi e^{i\theta}, \xi)$  otherwise. Since  $\gamma$  is not a root of unity, no two distinct intervals  $\gamma^{-i} S_{w(i)}$  and  $\gamma^{-j} S_{w(j)}$  share an endpoint. Hence by approximating Log(z) to sufficient precision for every endpoint z of  $\gamma^{-i}S_{w(i)}$  for  $0 \le i < l$ , we can decide whether  $S_w$  is empty. If  $S(w) = \emptyset$ , then w does not occur in  $\beta$ . If  $S_w \ne \emptyset$ , then we can compute,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Note that  $\theta = |\text{Log}(\overline{\gamma})|$  and  $\gamma^n \in \mathcal{I}(\xi, \theta)$  if and only if  $\overline{\gamma}^n \in \mathcal{I}(\overline{\xi\gamma}, \theta)$ . Hence it suffices to only consider closed-open intervals when defining Sturmian words.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Here we only show existence of the desired algorithm. If we want to write such an algorithm down, we have to first determine, if any, the value of N. Techniques for accomplishing this depend on the values of  $\xi, \gamma$  and how they are presented.

<span id="page-10-0"></span>using the approximate positions of the endpoints, an open semialgebraic interval subset  $J$  of  $\mathbb T$  that is contained in  $S_{\mu\nu}$ . Similarly to the proofs of Theorem [5.7](#page-7-0) and Corollary [5.8](#page-7-0), let K be such that  $\bigcup_{i=0}^{K} \gamma^{-i} J$  covers  $\mathbb{T}$ ; such K can be computed using a trial-anderror method and tools of semialgebraic geometry. Thus for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $n \in [m, m + K]$  such that  $\gamma^n \in J$ , which implies  $\gamma^{n} \in S_{\nu}$ . It follows that for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  the word  $\nu$  occurs in  $\beta[m, m + K + l]$ .  $\Box$ 

What about decidability of the MSO theory of  $\langle N; \langle P_{\alpha_1},..., P_{\alpha_m} \rangle$ , where each  $\alpha_i$  is Sturmian? Suppose each  $\alpha_i$  is an effective Sturmian word with parameters  $\gamma_i$ ,  $\xi_i$  and  $\theta_i = |\text{Log}(\gamma_i)|$ . Suppose further that  $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m$  are multiplicatively independent. Importantly, under this assumption,  $\mathbb{T}_{\Gamma} = \mathbb{T}^d$  for  $\Gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d)$ .

**Theorem 6.2.** Under the assumptions above,  $\alpha := \alpha_1 \times \cdots \times \alpha_m$  is effectively almost-periodic and hence the MSO theory of  $\langle \mathbb{N}; <, P_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, P_{\alpha_m} \rangle$ *is decidable.*

*Proof sketch.* Let  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}^m$  and M be such that for all  $n \ge M$  and  $1 \le j \le m$ ,  $\gamma_j^n \ne \xi_j$  and  $\gamma_j^n \ne \xi_j e^{i\theta_j}$ . For each  $a \in \Sigma$ , there exists  $S_a \subset \mathbb{T}^m$  that is a product of open interval subsets of  $\mathbb{T}$  (henceforth called a *box*) such that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\alpha(n) = a$  if and only if  $\Gamma^n \in S_a$ . Let  $w \in \Sigma^l$ . For  $n \ge M$ , the word  $w$  occurs at the position  $n$  in  $\alpha$  if and only if  $\Gamma^n \in S_w$ , where  $S_w = \bigcap_{i=0}^{l-1} \Gamma^{-i} S_{w(i)}$  and each  $S_{w(i)}$  is of the form  $\prod_{j=1}^{m} T_j^{(i)}$  for open intervals  $T_1^{(i)}, \ldots, T_m^{(i)} \subset \mathbb{T}$ . Therefore,

$$
S_w = \prod_{j=1}^m \bigcap_{i=0}^{l-1} \gamma_j^{-i} T_j^{(i)}
$$

itself is an open box. As argued in the proof of Theorem [6.1](#page-9-0), using the oracles for approximating  $Log(y_i)$ ,  $Log(\xi_i)$  to sufficient precision we can decide whether each  $\bigcap_{i=0}^{l-1} \gamma_j^{-i} T_j^{(i)}$  is empty. In case  $S_w$  is non-empty, we compute an open semialgebraic box J such that  $J \subset S_w$ . It remains to bound the return time of  $(\Gamma^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $J$  by computing  $K$  such that  $\bigcup_{i=0}^K \Gamma^{-i} J$  covers  $\mathbb{T}_{\Gamma}$ , which is the whole of  $\mathbb{T}^d$ by the multiplicative independence assumption. Since  $J$  is ℚ-semialgebraic, such  $K$  can be computed effectively by trial-and-error. In the end, for every  $m \ge M$ , the word w occurs in  $\alpha[m, m + K + l)$ .  $\square$ 

We mention that for a *characteristic Sturmian word*  $\alpha$  generated by a quadratic irrational (see [\[23,](#page-15-0) Chap. 9]), the *first-order* theory of the structure  $\langle \mathbb{N}; \langle , +, n \mapsto \alpha(n) \rangle$  is decidable by the automata-theoretic methods of Hieronymi et al. [\[36,37\]](#page-15-0). Note that in this theory we have access to addition, but not to second-order quantification. Because the continued fraction expansions of quadratic irrationals are ultimately periodic, a word  $\alpha$  as above is, in fact, morphic [\[23](#page-15-0), Chap. 9].

#### *6.2. Pisot words*

We now discuss a class of morphic words called *Pisot words* and the related Pisot conjecture. The conjecture identifies a class of morphic words that are expected to have, in a specific sense, a toric representation.

A *Pisot–Vijayaraghavan number*, also called a *Pisot number*, is a real algebraic integer greater than 1 whose Galois conjugates all have absolute value less than 1. A *Pisot* substitution  $\tau : \Sigma^* \to \Sigma^*$  has the property that the incidence matrix  $M_{\tau}$  of  $\tau$  has a single real dominant eigenvalue that is a Pisot number. A morphic word generated by a Pisot substitution is called a *Pisot word*. The Fibonacci and Tribonacci words we encountered are both Pisot words that also belong to  $\mathcal{T}_O$ . The Fibonacci word is the coding of a rotation

with respect to two interval subsets of  $\mathbb{T}$ , whereas the Tribonacci word is the coding of  $(\Gamma^n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ , where  $\Gamma = (e^{i\frac{2\pi}{x}}, e^{i\frac{2\pi}{x^2}})$  and  $x \approx 1.839$ is the largest root of the polynomial  $x^3 - x^2 - x - 1$ , with respect to  $S = \{S_1, S_2, S_3\}$  with fractal boundaries (see Section [4](#page-3-0)).

To state the Pisot conjecture, we first need a few definitions. The *language*  $\mathcal{L}(\alpha)$  of  $\alpha \in \Sigma^{\omega}$  is the set of all factors of  $\alpha$ . Recall that a substitution  $\tau : \Sigma^* \to \Sigma^*$  is primitive if there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that starting from any letter  $a, \tau^k(a)$  contains all possible letters. Further recall that a fixed point of a primitive substitution is strongly and effectively almost-periodic. A substitution  $\tau$  is *unimodular* if det( $M_{\tau}$ ) =  $\pm$ 1. Finally,  $\tau$  is *irreducible* if the characteristic polynomial of  $M_{\tau}$  is irreducible. Now we are ready to state the Pisot conjecture.

Conjecture 6.3 (Pisot conjecture). If  $\alpha$  is a fixed point of a unimodular, primitive and irreducible Pisot substitution over a k-letter alphabet, *then there exists a word with the following properties.*

- (a)  $\mathcal{L}(\beta) = \mathcal{L}(\alpha)$ , and
- (b)  $\beta$  *is the toric word generated by some* (Γ*, S*) *where*  $\Gamma \in \mathbb{T}^{k-1}$  *and each set in S is open.*

Statement (b) implies  $\beta \in \mathcal{T}_O$ . Note that by (a), the word  $\beta$  is also strongly and effectively almost-periodic. The Pisot conjecture is widely believed to be true but has only been proven for  $k = 2$ ; see [\[9\]](#page-15-0) for a detailed account.

# *6.3. Procyclic and sparse predicates*

The results of this section were recently obtained in [\[1\]](#page-14-0) using a combination of tools from number theory, automata theory, and symbolic dynamics. Let  $P = \{f(n): n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , where  $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  is strictly increasing. We say that P is *procyclic* if given  $m \ge 1$ , <span id="page-11-0"></span>we can effectively compute *N*, *p* such that  $f(n+p) \equiv f(n) \pmod{m}$  for all  $n \ge N$ . Now consider  $P_1, P_2$  given by  $P_i = \{f_i(n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  $\mathbb{N}$ , where each  $f_i$  is strictly increasing. The pair of predicates  $P_1, P_2$  is said to be *effectively sparse* if for every  $K \in \mathbb{N}$ , the set  $\{(n_1, n_2) : |f_1(n_1) - f_2(n_2)| \leq K\}$  is finite and can be effectively computed.

For predicates  $P_1, \ldots, P_m$  with respective characteristic words  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m$ , we write Ord( $P_1, \ldots, P_m$ ) for the word over  $({0,1}^m)$  $(0, \ldots, 0)$ <sup>o</sup>, called the *order word*, obtained by deleting all occurrences of the letter  $(0, \ldots, 0)$  from  $\alpha_1 \times \cdots \times \alpha_m$ . We have the following.

**Theorem 6.4.** Let  $P_1, \ldots, P_m$  be predicates with respective characteristic words  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m$ ,  $\alpha = \alpha_1 \times \cdots \times \alpha_m$ , and  $\beta = \text{Ord}(P_1, \ldots, P_m)$ . Suppose each  $P_i$  is procyclic and the pair  $P_i, P_j$  is effectively sparse for every  $i \neq j$ . Then Acc<sub>a</sub> reduces to Acc<sub>a</sub>.

This result is the first step in the proof of decidability of the MSO theory of  $\langle \mathbb{N}; \langle \cdot, \{2^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}, \{3^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \rangle$ . To state our decidability result in full, consider linear recurrence sequences

$$
u_n^{(i)} = c_i \rho_i^n + \sum_{k=1}^{K_i} p_{i,k}(n) \lambda_{i,k}^n
$$

over  $\mathbb Z$  for  $1 \le i \le m$  with the following properties. For all *i*, *j*, *k*,

- $\lambda_{i,k} \in \mathbb{Q}, c_i, \rho_i \in \mathbb{R} \cap \mathbb{Q}, p_{i,k} \in \mathbb{Q}[x],$
- $c_i > 0$ ,  $\rho_i > 1$ ,  $|\lambda_{i,k}| < \rho_i$ , and
- $c_i \rho_i^n = c_j \rho_j^n$  has finitely many solutions when  $i \neq j$ .

Write  $P_i$  for  $\{u_n^{(i)} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cap \mathbb{N}$ . We have the following.

**Theorem 6.5.** The MSO theory of  $\langle \mathbb{N}; P_1, \ldots, P_m \rangle$  is decidable assuming Schanuel's conjecture. The decidability is unconditional if either of *the following holds:*

- $1/\text{Log}(\rho_1), \ldots, 1/\text{Log}(\rho_m)$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ;
- *Every triple* of  $\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_m$  *is multiplicatively dependent, and*  $\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_m$  *are pairwise multiplicatively independent.*

By Theorem 6.5 the MSO of  $\langle N; \langle 2^N, 3^N, 6^N, 12^N \rangle$  is decidable, where we write  $k^N$  to denote  $\{k^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}\$ . The idea of the proof is to first reduce to the order word using Theorem 6.4. It turns out that the order word obtained from predicates of the form  $k^{\mathbb{N}}$  belongs to the class of *billiard words*, which are almost-periodic (in fact, uniformly recurrent) and belong to  $\mathcal{T}_0$ . If the second condition in Theorem 6.5 does not hold, Schanuel's conjecture is required for computing bounds on the window function. In contrast to our decidability result, Hieronymi and Schulz have recently shown that the *first-order* theory of ℕ equipped with addition and the predicates  $2^{\mathbb{N}}, 3^{\mathbb{N}}$  is undecidable [\[38\]](#page-15-0).

# *6.4. Sign patterns of linear recurrence sequences*

The *sign* pattern of a real-valued LRS  $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is the word  $\alpha \in \{+,0,-\}^\omega$  such that  $\alpha(n)$  is defined by sign( $u_n$ ) for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The Skolem, Positivity and Ultimate Positivity problems introduced in Section [2](#page-1-0) are all decision problems about such sign patterns. We will see that sign patterns of LRS can have distinctive combinations of toricity and almost periodicity properties.

We start with *simple* (also known as *diagonalisable*) sequences. An LRS  $(u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  over  $\overline{Q}$  is called simple if it can be expressed in the form  $u_n = c^\top M^n$ s where  $c, s \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^d$  and  $M \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{d \times d}$  is diagonalisable. Using a deep result [\[39](#page-15-0)] of Evertse on the sums of S-units, we can show that the sign pattern  $\alpha$  of a simple LRS  $(u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  has a suffix that belongs to  $\mathcal{T}_0$ .

**Theorem 6.6** (Theorem 11 in [\[10\]](#page-15-0)). Let  $(u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a simple LRS over  $\mathbb{R}\cap\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  with the sign pattern  $\alpha\in\{+,0,-\}^{\infty}$ .

- (a) There exist integers d, N, open semialgebraic subsets  $S_+, S_0, S_-$  of  $\mathbb{T}^d$ , and  $\Gamma \in (\mathbb{T} \cap \overline{\mathbb{Q}})^d$  such that  $\alpha[N, \infty) \in \mathcal{T}_O \cap \mathcal{T}_{SA(\mathbb{Q})}$  and is *generated by* ( $\Gamma$ , { $S_+, S_0, S_-$ }).
- (b) The value of N and representations of  $S_+, S_0, S_-$  can be effectively computed assuming decidability of the Positivity problem for simple *LRS over* ℚ*.*

Sign patterns of non-simple LRS, however, do not have such properties. We next give an example of a sign pattern of a non-simple LRS that is almost-periodic but provably does not belong to  $\mathcal{T}_0$  nor to  $\mathcal{T}_{SA}$ . Let  $\gamma = 0.6 + 0.8i \in \mathbb{T} \cap \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  and  $\theta = \text{Log}(\gamma)/i$ , noting that  $\gamma$ is not a root of unity. Consider the linear recurrence sequences  $u_n = \sin(n\theta)$  and  $v_n = n\sin(n\theta) - 7\cos(n\theta)$ . Write  $\alpha, \beta \in \{+,0,-\}^\omega$  for their sign patterns, respectively.

**Lemma** 6.7. *Both*  $\alpha$  *and*  $\beta$  *are effectively almost-periodic.* 



**Fig. 2.** Target intervals for  $(u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  and  $(v_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  in the proof of Lemma [6.7](#page-11-0).

**Proof.** Sequences  $(u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  and  $(v_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  are non-degenerate LRS of order 2 and 4, respectively. Hence by [\[19\]](#page-15-0) both sequences have finitely many zeros. In fact, we can identify all of them. Our sequences satisfy recurrence relations  $u_{n+2} = 1.2u_{n+1} + u_n$  and

$$
v_{n+4} = 2.4v_{n+3} - 3.44v_{n+2} + 2.4v_{n+1} - v_n.
$$

Since  $\gamma$  is not a root of unity, it is immediate that  $u_n = 0$  only for  $n = 0$ . We can determine all zeros of  $(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  either using the general algorithm for solving the Skolem problem for LRS over ℝ ∩ ℚ of order four [\[15,16](#page-15-0)], or a simple approach based on the *(absolute logarithmic) Weil height*. The Weil height  $h(z)$  of an algebraic number has the following properties:

- (a)  $h(z) > 0$  for every non-zero z that is not a root of unity;
- (b)  $h(k) = \text{Log } |k|$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\};$
- (c)  $h(z^n) = nh(z)$  for every  $z \in \overline{Q}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ;
- (d)  $h(z \cdot y), h(z + y) \leq h(z) + h(y) + \text{Log}(2)$  for all  $z, y \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

See [\[40\]](#page-15-0) for a detailed discussion of the Weil height. We have that  $v_n = 0$  if and only if  $z^n = y_n$ , where  $z = \gamma/\overline{\gamma}$  and  $y_n = \frac{7-ni}{7+ni}$ . Both z and  $y_n$  for all *n* are algebraic numbers of degree at most 2. From (c) and (d),  $h(y_n) < C \log n$  for an effectively computable constant C, whereas  $h(z^n) = nh(z)$  by (b). Since  $\gamma$  is non-zero and not a root of unity,  $h(\gamma) \neq 0$ . Therefore,  $h(z^n)$  grows linearly, whereas *h*( $y_n$ ) grows logarithmically in *n*. Equating *h*( $z^n$ ) to *h*( $y_n$ ), we conclude that  $v_n \neq 0$  for all  $n \geq N$ , where *N* is effectively computable. Checking all  $n \le N$  individually, we find that for  $n \ge 1$ ,  $v_n \ne 0$ . Therefore,  $z(n)$ ,  $y(n) \in \{+, -\}$  for all  $n \ge 1$ .

Fig. 2 (a) describes how  $\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_{SA}$  is generated. Both  $S_+$  and  $S_-$  are open subsets of  $\mathbb{T}$ , and  $S_0 = \{1\}$ . For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\alpha(n)$  is + if and only if  $\gamma^n \in S_+$  and  $\alpha(n)$  is  $-$  if and only if  $\gamma^n \in S_-$ . Since  $\alpha(n) \in \{+, -\}$  for  $n \ge 1$ ,  $\alpha[1, \infty)$  is generated by  $(\gamma, {\gamma^{-1}S_+}, {\gamma^{-1}S_-})$ . Applying Corollary [5.8,](#page-7-0)  $\alpha$ [1,  $\infty$ ) and hence  $\alpha$  are both effectively almost-periodic.

Let us consider  $\beta$  next. Let  $\delta_n = \arctan(7/n) \in (0, \pi/2)$ ,  $S_+(n) = e^{i\delta_n} S_+$ , and  $S_-(n) = e^{i\delta_n} S_-$ . We have that for  $n \ge 1$ ,  $v_n > 0$  if and only if  $\gamma^n \in S_+(n)$  and  $v_n < 0$  if and only if  $\gamma^n \in S_-(n)$ . Fig. 2 (b) depicts  $S_+(n)$  and  $S_-(n)$  for  $n = 30$ . Since  $(e^{-i\delta_n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to 1, as  $n \to \infty$ ,  $S_+(n)$  uniformly approaches the upper half  $S_+$  of the unit circle, whereas  $S_-(n)$  approaches  $S_-$ .

To prove effective almost periodicity of  $\beta$ , consider a finite word

$$
w = w(0) \cdots w(l-1) \in \{+, -\}^l.
$$

This word occurs at position  $n \geq 1$  in  $\beta$  if and only if

$$
\bigwedge_{j=0}^{l-1} \gamma^{n+j} \in S_{w(j)}(n+j) \Longleftrightarrow \gamma^n \in \bigcap_{j=0}^{l-1} \gamma^{-j} S_{w(j)}(n+j).
$$

Define  $S_w(n) = \bigcap_{j=0}^{l-1} \gamma^{-j} S_{w(j)}(n+j)$ . We will argue that either w occurs finitely often in  $\beta$ , or there exists an open interval subset K of  $\top$  such that *K* ⊂  $S$ <sub>*w*</sub>(*n*) for all sufficiently large *n*.

Recall that for distinct  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{T}_\Gamma$ ,  $\mathcal{I}(z_1, z_2)$  is the open interval subset of  $\mathbb{T}$  with endpoints  $z_1$  and  $z_2$ , generated by rotating counter-clockwise starting at  $z_1$ . Each  $\gamma^{-j}S_{w(j)}(n+j)$  is of the form  $e^{i\delta_{n+j}}\gamma^{-j}I_j$ , where  $I_j$  is  $S_+$  if  $w(j)$  is the letter + and  $I_j = S_+$ otherwise. Since  $\delta_n = \Theta(1/n)$ ,  $\gamma^{-j} S_{w(j)}(n + j)$  uniformly approaches the interval  $\gamma^{-j} I_j$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

The endpoints of  $\gamma^{-j} I_j$  are  $\gamma^{-j}$  and  $-\gamma^{-j}$ . As  $\gamma$  is not a root of unity, for every  $j_1 \neq j_2$ ,  $\gamma^{-j_1}$  is not equal to  $\gamma^{-j_2}$  and  $-\gamma^{-j_2}$ . Hence the limit intervals  $\gamma^{-j} I_j$  for  $0 \le j < l$  have 2*l* distinct endpoints in total. Therefore,

- <span id="page-13-0"></span>(a) either there exists N such that  $S_w(n)$  is empty for all  $n \ge N$  (which happens if and only if the "limit shape"  $\bigcap_{j=0}^{l-1} \gamma^{-j} I_j$  is empty), or
- (b) there exists *N* such that for all  $n \ge N$ ,  $S_w(n) = \mathcal{I}(z_1 e^{i\delta_n^{(1)}}, z_2 e^{i\delta_n^{(2)}})$  is non-empty, where  $z_1, z_2$  are distinct and of the form  $\pm \gamma^{-1}$ for some  $0 \le j < l$  and  $\delta_n^{(1)}, \delta_n^{(2)} = \Theta(1/n)$ .

Since all steps above are effective, we can effectively compute  $N$  in both cases, and in case (b), construct a Q-semialgebraic interval *J* such that for all  $n \ge N$ ,  $J \subset S_{\omega}(n)$ . In case (a) the word w does not occur in  $\beta[N,\infty)$  and we are done. Otherwise, observe that for  $n \ge N$ ,  $\gamma^n \in J \Rightarrow \beta[n, n+l) = w$ . Since the endpoints of J are algebraic, we can compute K such that for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\gamma^n \in J$  for some  $m \le n \le m + K$ ; see the proof of Theorem [5.7](#page-7-0) for the usual topological construction, or [\[41,](#page-15-0) Lemma 2] for a direct formula. We conclude that the word w occurs in every subword of  $\beta$  of length  $N + K + l$ .

The discussion above suggests to think of  $\beta$  as being "toric with moving targets". We next show that  $\alpha \times \beta$  is radically different from both  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , and far from belonging to  $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha}$  or  $\mathcal{T}_{\text{SA}}$ .

**Theorem 6.8.** For  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  as in Lemma [6.7](#page-11-0), the word  $\alpha \times \beta$  is not almost-periodic and hence does not belong to  $\mathcal{T}_Q \cup \mathcal{T}_{SA}$ .

**Proof.** Recall from Theorems [5.7](#page-7-0) and [5.9](#page-7-0) that all words belonging to  $\mathcal{T}_{\Omega}$  or  $\mathcal{T}_{SA}$  are almost-periodic. We therefore only need to prove the first statement. We will show that (a) the letter (+, -) occurs infinitely often in  $\alpha \times \beta$ , and (b) the length of the gaps between its consecutive occurrences is not bounded.

We start with (a). The letter (+, −) occurs at a position  $n > 0$  if and only if  $sin(n\theta) > 0$  and  $n sin(n\theta) - 7 cos(n\theta) < 0$ , which is equivalent to  $0 <$  Log( $\gamma^n$ )  $<$  arctan( $7/n$ ). We will show that  $0 <$  Log( $\gamma^n$ )  $<$  2 $\pi/n$  is satisfied for infinitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\arctan(7/n)$  *>*  $2\pi/n$  for  $n > 11$ , this proves that  $(+, -)$  occurs infinitely often in  $\alpha \times \beta$ .

Let  $t = \text{Log}(\gamma)/(2\pi i) \in (0,1) \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ . For  $n \ge 1$ , Log( $\gamma^n$ ) ∈ (0,2 $\pi/n$ ) if and only if  $nt - \lfloor nt \rfloor < 1/n$ . We find infinitely many values of *n* satisfying the latter inequality using the *continued fraction expansion* of :

$$
t = \cfrac{1}{a_1 + \cfrac{1}{a_2 + \cfrac{1}{a_3 + \ddots}}}
$$

where each  $a_i$  is a positive integer; see [\[42](#page-15-0)]. Let  $p_n/q_n$  be *nth convergent*. That is,  $p_n/q_n$  is the rational approximation of *t* obtained by truncating the expansion at the  $n$ th level. For all  $n$ , we have that

$$
q_{n+1}t - p_{n+1} = \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{a_{n+2}q_{n+1} + q_n}.
$$

In particular, the *n*th convergent is an over-approximation when  $n$  is odd and an under-approximation when  $n$  is even. Moreover,  $|p_n/q_n-t| < 1/q_n^2$  for all *n*, and  $(q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is strictly increasing. Therefore, for every even  $n \ge 1$ ,

$$
0 < t - \frac{p_n}{q_n} < \frac{1}{q_n^2}
$$

and hence  $q_n t - \lfloor q_n t \rfloor < 1/q_n$ .

We move on to proving (b). Let  $J_n = S_+ \cap S_-(n)$ . Recall that the letter  $(+,-)$  occurs at the position *n* in  $\beta$  if and only if  $\gamma^n \in J_n$ . and the length of  $J_n$  is  $\Theta(1/n)$ . Let  $B \in \mathbb{N}$ . We show how to construct *n* such that letter (+,−) does not occur in  $\beta[n, n + B)$ . Let *m* be sufficiently large that  $\int \bigcup_{i=0}^B \gamma^{-i} J_m$  contains a non-empty open subset O of  $\mathbb T$ . Further let  $n \geq m$  be such that  $\gamma^n \in O$ . By construction, for every  $0 \le i \le B$ ,  $\gamma^{n+i} \notin J_m$ . Since  $J_{m+i} \subset J_m$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have that for all  $0 \le i \lt B$ ,  $\gamma^{n+i} \notin J_{n+i}$ . That is, for all  $0 \le i \lt B$ ,  $(\alpha \times \beta)(n + i)$  is not the letter  $(+, -)$ . □

# **Corollary 6.9.** *The word*  $\beta$  *does not belong to*  $\mathcal{T}_O \cup \mathcal{T}_{SA}$ *.*

**Proof.** Recall that  $\alpha$  belongs to both  $\mathcal{T}_0$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{SA}$ , and both classes are closed under products. Since  $\alpha \times \beta$  does not belong to  $\mathcal{T}_0 \cup \mathcal{T}_{SA}$ neither does  $\beta$ .  $\square$ 

We mention that [\[43,](#page-15-0) Ex. 2] gives an example of two uniformly recurrent morphic words whose product is not almost-periodic.

#### *6.5. Characteristic words of linear dynamical systems*

One application of toric words and MSO decidability that has recently received significant attention is the *model-checking problem (MCP)* for linear dynamical systems (LDS) [\[11](#page-15-0)]. An LDS is given by a pair  $(M, s)$  where  $M \in \mathbb{Q}^{d \times d}$  is the update matrix and  $s \in$  $\mathbb{Q}^d$  is the starting configuration. The *orbit* of  $(M, s)$  is the infinite sequence  $(M^n s)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Let  $S = \{S_1, \ldots, S_m\}$  be a collection of  $\mathbb{Q}$ semialgebraic subsets of ℝ<sup>d</sup>. Writing  $\Sigma = 2^S$ , the *characteristic word* of  $(M, s)$  with respect to S is the word  $\alpha \in \Sigma^\omega$  defined by

<span id="page-14-0"></span> $S_i \in \alpha(n) \Longleftrightarrow M^n s \in S_i$  for all  $1 \le i \le m$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The model-checking problem is to decide, given  $(M, s)$  and a deterministic automaton A, whether A accepts  $\alpha$ . If we fix  $M$ , s, S, and only let A vary, by Büchi's result [2], the resulting problem is Turingequivalent to the decision problem for the MSO theory of  $\langle \mathbb{N}; \langle P_1, \ldots, P_m \rangle$ , where each  $P_i : \mathbb{N} \to \{0,1\}$  is the binary predicate defined by  $P_i(n)=1$  if and only if  $M^n s \in S_i$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let  $p_1, \ldots, p_K$  be all polynomials (with rational coefficients) appearing in the definition of S. For each  $1 \le j \le K$ , the sequence  $u_n = p_j(M^n s)$  is an LRS over Q. Denote its sign pattern by  $\alpha_j \in \{+,0,-\}^\omega$ . Since each  $S_i$  is generated by a Boolean combination of polynomial inequalities, we have that  $\alpha = \sigma(\alpha_1 \times \cdots \times \alpha_k)$ , where  $\sigma$  is a 1-uniform morphism. Hence understanding the characteristic word of an LDS with respect to a collection of semialgebraic sets  $S$  boils down to understanding sign patterns of a collection of linear recurrence sequences.

The model-checking problem for LDS subsumes, among many others, the Skolem problem, the Positivity problem, and the Ultimate Positivity problem for LRS over ℚ. Unsurprisingly, decidability of the full model-checking problem is currently open. However, decidability can be proven if we place certain restrictions on  $M, A$ , and S.

- (A) Call a ℚ-semialgebraic set *low-dimensional* if it either has intrinsic (i.e., semialgebraic) dimension 1, or is contained in a threedimensional linear subspace. The set T is *tame* if it can be obtained in finitely many steps from a collection of low-dimensional sets through the usual set operations. If all targets in S are tame, then the characteristic word  $\alpha$  of any LDS ( $M$ , s) with respect to *S* is effectively almost-periodic [\[11,44](#page-15-0)]. In particular,  $\alpha$  has a suffix belonging to the class  $\mathcal{T}_O \cap \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}(O)}$  that is fully effective. Hence the MCP with tame targets (but arbitrary  $(M, s)$  and  $A$ ) is decidable.
- (B) An automaton A is *prefix-independent* if for every infinite word  $\beta$ , whether A accepts  $\beta$  does not change if we perform finitely many insertions and deletions on  $\beta$ . It is shown in [\[12\]](#page-15-0) that the MCP is decidable if we assume M is diagonalisable and A is prefix-independent.

From (A) it follows that the MCP is decidable in dimension at most 3. On the other hand, (B) is closely related to Theorem [6.6](#page-11-0). To see this, suppose M is diagonalisable. Then  $u_n = p(M^n s)$  is a simple LRS for every polynomial p. From the connection between the characteristic word  $\alpha$  and the sign patterns of LRS defining  $S$  discussed above, the closure properties of toric words, as well as Theorem [6.6](#page-11-0) (a), it follows that  $\alpha$  has a suffix that belongs to  $\mathcal{T}_0 \cap \mathcal{T}_{SA}$ . Unfortunately, it is not known how to determine the starting position of such a suffix in  $\alpha$ , which is the reason why in (B) we impose the prefix-independence restriction. However, similarly to Theorem [6.6](#page-11-0) (b), it is shown in [\[10\]](#page-15-0) that the MCP is decidable for diagonalisable LDS if we assume decidability of the Positivity problem for simple LRS over ℚ.

# **CRediT authorship contribution statement**

**Valérie Berthé:** Investigation. **Toghrul Karimov:** Formal analysis, Writing -- review & editing. **Joris Nieuwveld:** Formal analysis, Writing -- review & editing. Joël Ouaknine: Investigation. Mihir Vahanwala: Formal analysis, Writing -- review & editing. James **Worrell:** Investigation.

# **Declaration of competing interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

#### **Acknowledgements**

Toghrul Karimov, Joris Nieuwveld, Joël Ouaknine, and Mihir Vahanwala were supported by the DFG grant 389792660 as part of TRR 248 (see [https://perspicuous-computing.science\)](https://perspicuous-computing.science). Joël Ouaknine is also affiliated with Keble College, Oxford as [emmy.network](https://emmy.network) Fellow. Valérie Berthé was supported by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche through the project "SymDynAr" (ANR-23-CE40- 0024-01). James Worrell was supported by EPSRC Fellowship EP/X033813/1.

# **References**

- [1] V. Berthé, T. Karimov, J. Nieuwveld, J. Ouaknine, M. Vahanwala, J. Worrell, On the decidability of monadic [second-order](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0304-3975(24)00576-0/bibD8B18C1DAE6172C4AC559145BC3BC0A2s1) logic with arithmetic predicates, in: [Proceedings](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0304-3975(24)00576-0/bibD8B18C1DAE6172C4AC559145BC3BC0A2s1) of the 39th Annual ACM/IEEE Symposium on Logic in Computer Science, Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 2024.
- [2] J. Büchi, On a decision method in restricted second order arithmetic, in: The Collected Works of J. Richard Büchi, Springer, New York, 1990, pp. 425-435.
- [3] C. Elgot, M. Rabin, Decidability and undecidability of extensions of second (first) order theory of (generalized) successor, J. Symb. Log. 31 (02) (1966) 169--181, <https://doi.org/10.2307/2269808>.
- [4] R. Robinson, Restricted set-theoretical definitions in arithmetic, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 9 (2) (1958) 238-242, [https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1958-0093479-](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1958-0093479-4) [4](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1958-0093479-4).
- [5] B. [Trahtenbrot,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0304-3975(24)00576-0/bibE2BE1D31B59A64D2E0DB721EF881431Bs1) Finite automata and the logic of one-place predicates, in Russian, Sib. Math. J. 3 (1962) 103-131.
- [6] P. Bateman, C. Jockusch, A. Woods, Decidability and undecidability of theories with a predicate for the primes, J. Symb. Log. 58 (2) (1993) 672--687, [https://](https://doi.org/10.2307/2275227) [doi.org/10.2307/2275227](https://doi.org/10.2307/2275227).
- [7] A. Semënov, Logical theories of one-place functions on the set of natural numbers, Math. USSR, Izv. 22 (3) (1984) 587-618, [https://doi.org/10.1070/](https://doi.org/10.1070/im1984v022n03abeh001456) [im1984v022n03abeh001456](https://doi.org/10.1070/im1984v022n03abeh001456).
- [8] O. Carton, W. Thomas, The monadic theory of morphic infinite words and generalizations, Inf. Comput. 176 (1) (2002) 51--65, [https://doi.org/10.1006/inco.](https://doi.org/10.1006/inco.2001.3139) [2001.3139](https://doi.org/10.1006/inco.2001.3139).

#### <span id="page-15-0"></span>*V. Berthé, T. Karimov, J. Nieuwveld et al.*

#### *Theoretical Computer Science 1025 (2025) 114959*

- [9] S. Akiyama, M. Barge, V. Berthé, J.-Y. Lee, A. Siegel, On the Pisot substitution conjecture, in: [Mathematics](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0304-3975(24)00576-0/bib2F1AECF5A29232E0CC2C944C28122909s1) of Aperiodic Order, Springer, Basel, 2015, pp. 33--72.
- [10] T. Karimov, E. Kelmendi, J. Nieuwveld, J. Ouaknine, J. Worrell, The power of positivity, in: [Proceedings](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0304-3975(24)00576-0/bib92915335A1493F2D3EB340E52130E82As1) of the 38th Annual ACM/IEEE Symposium on Logic in Computer Science, [Association](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0304-3975(24)00576-0/bib92915335A1493F2D3EB340E52130E82As1) for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 2023.
- [11] T. Karimov, E. Kelmendi, J. Ouaknine, J. Worrell, What's Decidable About Discrete Linear Dynamical Systems?, Springer Nature, [Switzerland,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0304-3975(24)00576-0/bibF0558E6B4EFD4D5B701F0BE29FE4233Es1) Cham, 2022, pp. 21-38.
- [12] S. Almagor, T. Karimov, E. Kelmendi, J. Ouaknine, J. Worrell, Deciding  $\omega$ -regular properties on linear recurrence sequences, Proc. ACM Program. Lang. 5 (POPL) (2021) 1-24, [https://doi.org/10.1145/3434329.](https://doi.org/10.1145/3434329)
- [13] J. Berstel, C. Reutenauer, [Noncommutative](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0304-3975(24)00576-0/bibBEADB881A890D77A8C9301A47A864A8As1) Rational Series with Applications, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications, Cambridge University Press, [2010.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0304-3975(24)00576-0/bibBEADB881A890D77A8C9301A47A864A8As1)
- [14] T. Skolem, Einige Sätze über p-adische Potenzreihen mit Anwendung auf gewisse exponentielle Gleichungen, Math. Ann. 111 (1) (1935) 399–424, [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01472228) [doi.org/10.1007/BF01472228](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01472228).
- [15] M. Mignotte, T. Shorey, R. Tijdeman, The distance between terms of an algebraic recurrence sequence, J. Reine Angew. Math. 349 (1984), [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1515/crll.1984.349.63) [1515/crll.1984.349.63.](https://doi.org/10.1515/crll.1984.349.63)
- [16] N. Vereshchagin, Occurrence of zero in a linear recursive sequence, Math. Notes Acad. Sci. USSR 38 (2) (1985) 609-615, <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01156238>.
- [17] K. Mahler, An arithmetic property of Taylor [coefficients](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0304-3975(24)00576-0/bib1DC8E3B74F5DE52A6CB53D29E265AF5Fs1) of rational functions (1935), in: M. Baake, Y. Bugeaud, M. Coons (Eds.), The Legacy of Kurt Mahler, EMS Press, 2019, pp. 437-448.
- [18] C. Lech, A note on recurring series, Ark. Mat. 2 (5) (1953) 417-421, [https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02590997.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02590997)
- [19] J. Berstel, M. Mignotte, Deux propriétés décidables des suites récurrentes linéaires, Bull. Soc. Math. Fr. 79 (1976) 175--184, <https://doi.org/10.24033/bsmf.1823>.
- [20] G. Rozenberg, A. Salomaa, Cornerstones of [Undecidability,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0304-3975(24)00576-0/bib2046E504CD0BFDA4DFB262D946A3F6CAs1) Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1995.
- [21] A. Salomaa, M. Soittola, [Automata-Theoretic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0304-3975(24)00576-0/bib0ABC2F9BD392759B38989E791F9EC10Fs1) Aspects of Formal Power Series, Springer, New York, 1978.
- [22] J. Ouaknine, J. Worrell, Positivity problems for low-order linear recurrence sequences, in: Proceedings of the [Twenty-Fifth](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0304-3975(24)00576-0/bibB4D37AEB1A7C5B7D08FB5E30B7F937D6s1) Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, SODA '14, Society for Industrial and Applied [Mathematics,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0304-3975(24)00576-0/bibB4D37AEB1A7C5B7D08FB5E30B7F937D6s1) USA, 2014, pp. 366-379.
- [23] J.-P. Allouche, J. Shallit, Automatic Sequences: Theory, Applications, [Generalizations,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0304-3975(24)00576-0/bibA2596E35810ACCC58B2D52EDF848FF9Cs1) Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [24] M. Queffélec, Substitution Dynamical [Systems—Spectral](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0304-3975(24)00576-0/bib18C97A9AA976B7CA9E93054439E8B043s1) Analysis, 2nd edition, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1294, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010. [25] T. Colcombet, Green's relations and their use in automata theory, in: Language and Automata Theory and [Applications:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0304-3975(24)00576-0/bib10D4B840E73FF0DBD4C1EF5146889495s1) 5th International Conference, LATA
	- 2011, Springer, 2011, pp. 1-21.
- [26] A. Muchnik, A. Semënov, M. Ushakov, Almost periodic sequences, Theor. Comput. Sci. 304 (1-3) (2003) 1-33, [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0304-3975\(02\)00847-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0304-3975(02)00847-2).
- [27] L. Kari, G. Rozenberg, A. Salomaa, L Systems, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, 1997, pp. 253-328.
- [28] G. Rauzy, Nombres algébriques et substitutions, Bull. Soc. Math. Fr. 110 (2) (1982) 147--178, <https://doi.org/10.24033/bsmf.1957>.
- [29] A. Salomaa, Jewels of Formal Language Theory, Computer Software [Engineering](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0304-3975(24)00576-0/bibDAEFB1A4806F019DD4BBF575C49DD0FFs1) Series, Computer Science Press, 1981.
- [30] J. Berstel, P. Séébold, A remark on morphic Sturmian words, RAIRO Theor. Inform. Appl. 28 (3-4) (1994) 255-263, [https://doi.org/10.1051/ITA/1994283-](https://doi.org/10.1051/ITA/1994283-402551) [402551](https://doi.org/10.1051/ITA/1994283-402551).
- [31] A. Rabinovich, On decidability of monadic logic of order over the naturals extended by monadic predicates, Inf. Comput. 205 (6) (2007) 870-889, [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ic.2006.12.004) [doi.org/10.1016/j.ic.2006.12.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ic.2006.12.004).
- [32] A. Rabinovich, W. Thomas, Decidable theories of the ordering of natural numbers with unary predicates, in: [International](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0304-3975(24)00576-0/bib62C79B1F8065D0D8E07A58E68DC0118Es1) Workshop on Computer Science Logic, Springer, 2006, pp. 562-574.
- [33] A. Baker, [Contributions](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0304-3975(24)00576-0/bib24B12529768C6778F1DBE84BA42400E9s1) to the theory of Diophantine equations II. The Diophantine equation  $y^2 = x^3 + k$ , Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A, Math. Phys. Sci. [263 \(1139\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0304-3975(24)00576-0/bib24B12529768C6778F1DBE84BA42400E9s1) (1968) 193--208.
- [34] D. Masser, Linear Relations on Algebraic Groups, Cambridge University Press, 1988, pp. 248-262.
- [35] S. Gonek, H. Montgomery, Kronecker's approximation theorem, Indag. Math. 27 (2) (2016) 506-523, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indag.2016.02.002,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indag.2016.02.002) in memoriam J.G. van der Corput (1890-1975) Part 2.
- [36] P. Hieronymi, A. Terry, Ostrowski [numeration](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0304-3975(24)00576-0/bib9FF7FA3BF75F27EC9E25448F27A11FB6s1) systems, addition, and finite automata, Notre Dame J. Form. Log. 59 (2) (2018) 215--232.
- [37] P. Hieronymi, D. Ma, R. Oei, L. Schaeffer, C. Schulz, J. Shallit, [Decidability](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0304-3975(24)00576-0/bib968E16B1A53A3A2ECBDCE374138BA17Fs1) for Sturmian words, Log. Methods Comput. Sci. 20 (2024).
- [38] P. Hieronymi, C. Schulz, A strong version of Cobham's theorem, in: STOC, ACM, 2022, [pp. 1172--1179.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0304-3975(24)00576-0/bib3B3EAF02CE938943CA6A45AC6C217080s1)
- [39] J.-H. Evertse, On sums of  $S$ -units and linear [recurrences,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0304-3975(24)00576-0/bib1EDDFF603346BB0DA7456AB13A6307BEs1) Compos. Math. 53 (2) (1984) 225-244.
- [40] M. [Waldschmidt,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0304-3975(24)00576-0/bibE9FBCE7C976856ED07FB8EA6371D4798s1) Heights of Algebraic Numbers, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2000, pp. 65-114.
- [41] T. Karimov, J. Ouaknine, J. Worrell, On LTL model checking for low-dimensional discrete linear [dynamicalsystems,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0304-3975(24)00576-0/bibC86D95EA77989C583D99E2EDF4053A78s1) in: J. Esparza, D. Kráˇ l (Eds.), 45th International Symposium on [Mathematical](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0304-3975(24)00576-0/bibC86D95EA77989C583D99E2EDF4053A78s1) Foundations of Computer Science (MFCS 2020), in: Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics (LIPIcs), vol. 170, Schloss [Dagstuhl–Leibniz-Zentrum](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0304-3975(24)00576-0/bibC86D95EA77989C583D99E2EDF4053A78s1) für Informatik, Dagstuhl, Germany, 2020, pp. 54:1--54:14.
- [42] J. Borwein, A. van der Poorten, J. Shallit, W. Zudilin, Neverending Fractions: An Introduction to Continued Fractions, Australian [Mathematical](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0304-3975(24)00576-0/bib8072B31AD7BEDBE70EA16F053DEFE978s1) Society Lecture Series, [Cambridge](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0304-3975(24)00576-0/bib8072B31AD7BEDBE70EA16F053DEFE978s1) University Press, 2014.
- [43] P. Salimov, On uniform [recurrence](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0304-3975(24)00576-0/bib78AEBCB854A6639C474811D438A7A04Bs1) of a direct product, Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci. 12 (2010).
- [44] T. Karimov, E. Lefaucheux, J. Ouaknine, D. Purser, A. Varonka, M.A. Whiteland, J. Worrell, What's decidable about linear loops?, Proc. ACM Program. Lang. 6 (POPL) (Jan 2022), [https://doi.org/10.1145/3498727.](https://doi.org/10.1145/3498727)