

The Marriage of Bisimulations and Kripke Logical Relations

Technical Appendix

Chung-Kil Hur Derek Dreyer Georg Neis Viktor Vafeiadis
Max Planck Institute for Software Systems (MPI-SWS)
{gil,dreyer,neis,viktor}@mpi-sws.org

January 2012

Contents

I	Relation Transition Systems for the Full Language	3
1	Language	3
1.1	Syntax	3
1.2	Dynamic Semantics	3
1.3	Static Semantics	3
1.4	Contextual Equivalence	5
2	Model	6
3	Soundness	10
3.1	Basic Properties	10
3.2	Compatibility	21
3.3	Soundness	33
3.4	Symmetry	34
4	Examples	37
4.1	World Generator	37
4.2	Substitutivity	40
4.3	Expansion	40
4.4	Beta Law	41
4.5	Awkward Example	41
4.6	Well-Bracketed State Change	43
4.7	Twin Abstraction	45
II	A Relational Model for a Pure Sub-Language	48
5	Language	48

6	Model	48
7	Soundness	49
7.1	Basic Properties	49
7.2	Compatibility	53
7.3	Soundness	58
7.4	Symmetry	59
8	Transitivity	60

Part I

Relation Transition Systems for the Full Language

1 Language

We define the language $F^{\mu!}$.

1.1 Syntax

$$\begin{aligned} \ell &\in \text{Loc} \\ x &\in \text{Var} \\ \alpha &\in \text{TyVar} \\ \sigma &\in \text{Typ} ::= \alpha \mid \text{unit} \mid \text{int} \mid \text{bool} \mid \sigma_1 \times \sigma_2 \mid \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 \mid \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2 \mid \mu\alpha. \sigma \mid \forall\alpha. \sigma \mid \exists\alpha. \sigma \mid \text{ref } \sigma \\ v &\in \text{Val} ::= x \mid \langle \rangle \mid n \mid \text{tt} \mid \text{ff} \mid \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle \mid \text{inj}^1 v \mid \text{inj}^2 v \mid \text{roll } v \mid \\ &\quad \text{fix } f(x). e \mid \Lambda. e \mid \text{pack } v \mid \ell \\ e &\in \text{Exp} ::= v \mid \text{if } e_0 \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2 \mid \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle \mid e.1 \mid e.2 \mid \text{inj}^1 e \mid \text{inj}^2 e \mid \\ &\quad (\text{case } e \text{ of } \text{inj}^1 x \Rightarrow e_1 \mid \text{inj}^2 x \Rightarrow e_2) \mid \text{roll } e \mid \text{unroll } e \mid e_1 e_2 \mid e[] \mid \text{pack } e \mid \\ &\quad \text{unpack } e_1 \text{ as } x \text{ in } e_2 \mid \text{ref } e \mid !e \mid e_1 := e_2 \mid e_1 == e_2 \\ K &\in \text{Cont} ::= \bullet \mid \text{if } K \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2 \mid \langle K, e \rangle \mid \langle v, K \rangle \mid K.1 \mid K.2 \mid \text{inj}^1 K \mid \text{inj}^2 K \mid \\ &\quad \text{case } K \text{ of } [\text{inj}^i x \Rightarrow e_i] \mid \text{roll } K \mid \text{unroll } K \mid K e \mid v K \mid K[] \mid \text{pack } K \mid \\ &\quad \text{unpack } K \text{ as } x \text{ in } e \mid \text{ref } K \mid !K \mid K := e \mid v := K \mid K == e \mid v == K \\ p &\in \text{Prog} ::= x \mid \langle \rangle \mid n \mid \text{tt} \mid \text{ff} \mid \text{if } p_0 \text{ then } p_1 \text{ else } p_2 \mid \langle p_1, p_2 \rangle \mid p.1 \mid p.2 \mid \text{inj}^1_\sigma p \mid \text{inj}^2_\sigma p \mid \\ &\quad (\text{case } p \text{ of } \text{inj}^1 x \Rightarrow p_1 \mid \text{inj}^2 x \Rightarrow p_2) \mid \text{roll}_\sigma p \mid \text{unroll } p \mid \text{fix } f(x:\sigma_1):\sigma_2. p \mid p_1 p_2 \mid \Lambda\alpha. p \mid \\ &\quad p[\sigma] \mid \text{pack } \langle \sigma, p \rangle \text{ as } \exists\alpha. \sigma' \mid \text{unpack } p_1 \text{ as } \langle \alpha, x \rangle \text{ in } p_2 \mid \text{ref } p \mid !p \mid p_1 := p_2 \mid p_1 == p_2 \\ h &\in \text{Heap} ::= \text{Loc} \stackrel{\text{fin}}{\rightrightarrows} \text{CVal} \end{aligned}$$

1.2 Dynamic Semantics

$$\begin{aligned} h, \text{if } \text{tt} \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2 &\hookrightarrow h, e_1 \\ h, \text{if } \text{ff} \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2 &\hookrightarrow h, e_2 \\ h, \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle.i &\hookrightarrow h, v_i \\ h, \text{case } \text{inj}^j v \text{ of } [\text{inj}^i x \Rightarrow e_i] &\hookrightarrow h, e_j[v/x] \\ h, (\text{fix } f(x). e) v &\hookrightarrow h, e[(\text{fix } f(x). e)/f, v/x] \\ h, (\Lambda. e)[] &\hookrightarrow h, e \\ h, \text{unpack } (\text{pack } v) \text{ as } x \text{ in } e &\hookrightarrow h, e[v/x] \\ h, \text{unroll } (\text{roll } v) &\hookrightarrow h, v \\ h, \text{ref } v &\hookrightarrow h \uplus [\ell \mapsto v], \ell \quad \text{where } \ell \notin \text{dom}(h) \\ h \uplus [\ell \mapsto v], !\ell &\hookrightarrow h \uplus [\ell \mapsto v], v \\ h \uplus [\ell \mapsto v], \ell := v' &\hookrightarrow h \uplus [\ell \mapsto v'], \langle \rangle \\ h, \ell == \ell &\hookrightarrow h, \text{tt} \\ h, \ell == \ell' &\hookrightarrow h, \text{ff} \quad \text{where } \ell \neq \ell' \\ h, K[e] &\hookrightarrow h', K[e'] \quad \text{where } h, e \hookrightarrow h', e' \end{aligned}$$

1.3 Static Semantics

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Type environments } \Delta &::= \cdot \mid \Delta, \alpha \\ \text{Term environments } \Gamma &::= \cdot \mid \Gamma, x:\sigma \end{aligned}$$

$\Delta \vdash \sigma$

$$\frac{\text{fv}(\sigma) \subseteq \Delta \quad \text{names}(\sigma) = \emptyset}{\Delta \vdash \sigma}$$

 $\Delta \vdash \Gamma$

$$\frac{\forall x:\sigma \in \Gamma. \Delta \vdash \sigma}{\Delta \vdash \Gamma}$$

 $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash p : \sigma$

$$\frac{\Delta \vdash \Gamma \quad x:\sigma \in \Gamma}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash x : \sigma} \quad \frac{\Delta \vdash \Gamma}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash c : \tau_{\text{base}}}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash p_1 : \sigma_1 \quad \Delta; \Gamma \vdash p_2 : \sigma_2}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \langle p_1, p_2 \rangle : \sigma_1 \times \sigma_2} \quad \frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash p : \sigma_1 \times \sigma_2}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash p.1 : \sigma_1} \quad \frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash p : \sigma_1 \times \sigma_2}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash p.2 : \sigma_2}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma, x:\sigma_1 \vdash p : \sigma_2}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \lambda x:\sigma_1. p : \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2} \quad \frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash p_1 : \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2 \quad \Delta; \Gamma \vdash p_2 : \sigma_1}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash p_1 p_2 : \sigma_2}$$

$$\frac{\Delta, \alpha; \Gamma \vdash p : \sigma}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \Lambda \alpha. p : \forall \alpha. \sigma} \quad \frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash p : \forall \alpha. \sigma_1 \quad \Delta \vdash \sigma_2}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash p[\sigma_2] : \sigma_1[\sigma_2/\alpha]}$$

$$\frac{\Delta \vdash \sigma_1 \quad \Delta; \Gamma \vdash p : \sigma_2[\sigma_1/\alpha]}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \text{pack } \langle \sigma_1, p \rangle \text{ as } \exists \alpha. \sigma_2 : \exists \alpha. \sigma_2} \quad \frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash p_1 : \exists \alpha. \sigma_1 \quad \Delta, \alpha; \Gamma, x:\sigma_1 \vdash p_2 : \sigma_2 \quad \Delta \vdash \sigma_2}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \text{unpack } p_1 \text{ as } \langle \alpha, x \rangle \text{ in } p_2 : \sigma_2}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash p : \sigma[\mu\alpha. \sigma/\alpha]}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \text{roll}_{\mu\alpha. \sigma} p : \mu\alpha. \sigma} \quad \frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash p : \mu\alpha. \sigma}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \text{unroll } p : \sigma[\mu\alpha. \sigma/\alpha]}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash p : \sigma}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \text{ref } p : \text{ref } \sigma} \quad \frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash p_1 : \text{ref } \sigma \quad \Delta; \Gamma \vdash p_2 : \sigma}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash p_1 := p_2 : \text{unit}}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash p : \text{ref } \sigma}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash !p : \sigma} \quad \frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash p_1 : \text{ref } \sigma \quad \Delta; \Gamma \vdash p_2 : \text{ref } \sigma}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash p_1 == p_2 : \text{bool}}$$

...

 $\vdash C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \sigma')$

$$\frac{\Delta \subseteq \Delta' \quad \Gamma \subseteq \Gamma'}{\vdash \bullet : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \sigma)}$$

$$\frac{\vdash C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \sigma_1) \quad \Delta'; \Gamma' \vdash p_2 : \sigma_2}{\vdash \langle C, p_2 \rangle : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)}$$

$$\frac{\vdash C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)}{\vdash C.1 : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \sigma_1)} \quad \frac{\vdash C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)}{\vdash C.2 : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \sigma_2)}$$

$$\frac{\vdash C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma', x:\sigma_1; \sigma_2)}{\vdash \lambda x:\sigma_1. C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2)}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\vdash C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2) \quad \Delta'; \Gamma' \vdash p_2 : \sigma_1}{\vdash C p_2 : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \sigma_2)} \\
\\
\frac{\vdash C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \sigma_1) \quad \Delta'; \Gamma' \vdash p_1 : \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2}{\vdash p_1 C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \sigma_2)} \\
\\
\frac{\vdash C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta', \alpha; \Gamma'; \sigma_1)}{\vdash \Lambda \alpha. C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \forall \alpha. \sigma_1)} \quad \frac{\vdash C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \forall \alpha. \sigma_1)}{\vdash C[\sigma_2] : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \sigma_1[\sigma_2/\alpha])} \\
\\
\frac{\vdash C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \sigma_2[\sigma_1/\alpha])}{\vdash \text{pack } \langle \sigma_1, C \rangle \text{ as } \exists \alpha. \sigma_2 : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \exists \alpha. \sigma_2)} \\
\\
\frac{\vdash C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \exists \alpha. \sigma_1) \quad \Delta', \alpha; \Gamma', x:\sigma_1 \vdash p_2 : \sigma_2 \quad \Delta' \vdash \sigma_2}{\vdash \text{unpack } C \text{ as } \langle \alpha, x \rangle \text{ in } p_2 : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \sigma_2)} \\
\\
\frac{\Delta'; \Gamma' \vdash p_1 : \exists \alpha. \sigma_1 \quad \vdash C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta', \alpha; \Gamma', x:\sigma_1; \sigma_2) \quad \Delta' \vdash \sigma_2}{\vdash \text{unpack } p_1 \text{ as } \langle \alpha, x \rangle \text{ in } C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \sigma_2)} \\
\\
\frac{\vdash C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \sigma_1[\mu \alpha. \sigma_1/\alpha])}{\vdash \text{roll}_{\mu \alpha. \sigma_1} C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \mu \alpha. \sigma_1)} \\
\frac{\vdash C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \mu \alpha. \sigma_1)}{\vdash \text{unroll } C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \sigma_1[\mu \alpha. \sigma_1/\alpha])} \\
\\
\frac{\vdash C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \sigma_1)}{\vdash \text{ref } C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \text{ref } \sigma_1)} \\
\\
\frac{\vdash C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \text{ref } \sigma_1) \quad \Delta'; \Gamma' \vdash p_2 : \sigma_1}{\vdash C := p_2 : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \text{unit})} \\
\\
\frac{\Delta'; \Gamma' \vdash p_1 : \text{ref } \sigma_1 \quad \vdash C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \sigma_1)}{\vdash p_1 := C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \text{unit})} \\
\\
\frac{\vdash C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \text{ref } \sigma_1)}{\vdash !C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \sigma_1)} \\
\\
\frac{\vdash C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \text{ref } \sigma_1) \quad \Delta'; \Gamma' \vdash p_2 : \text{ref } \sigma_1}{\vdash C == p_2 : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \text{bool})} \\
\\
\frac{\Delta'; \Gamma' \vdash p_1 : \text{ref } \sigma_1 \quad \vdash C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \text{ref } \sigma_1)}{\vdash p_1 == C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \text{bool})}
\end{array}$$

...

1.4 Contextual Equivalence

Definition 1 (Contextual equivalence).

Let $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash p_1 : \sigma$ and $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash p_2 : \sigma$. Then:

$$\Delta; \Gamma \vdash p_1 \sim_{\text{ctx}} p_2 : \sigma := \forall C, h, \tau. \vdash C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\cdot; \cdot; \tau) \implies (h, |C[p_1]| \hookrightarrow^\omega \iff h, |C[p_2]| \hookrightarrow^\omega)$$

2 Model

Various Relations.

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{beta}(e) &:= \begin{cases} e' & \text{if } \forall h. h, e \hookrightarrow^1 h, e' \\ \text{undef} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
\text{FunVal} &:= \{ f \in \text{CVal} \mid \forall v. \text{beta}(f v) \text{ defined} \} \\
\text{GenVal} &:= \{ v \in \text{CVal} \mid \text{beta}(v[]) \text{ defined} \}
\end{aligned}$$

$\mathbf{n} \in \text{TyNam}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Names} &:= \{ \mathcal{N} \in \mathbb{P}(\text{TyNam}) \mid \mathcal{N} \text{ is countably infinite} \} \\
\sigma \in \text{Type} &::= \mathbf{n} \mid \alpha \mid \text{unit} \mid \text{int} \mid \text{bool} \mid \sigma_1 \times \sigma_2 \mid \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 \mid \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2 \mid \mu\alpha. \sigma \mid \forall\alpha. \sigma \mid \exists\alpha. \sigma \mid \text{ref } \sigma \\
\text{CType} &:= \{ \tau \in \text{Type} \mid \text{ftv}(\tau) = \emptyset \} \\
\text{CTypeF} &:= \{ (\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2) \in \text{CType} \} \cup \{ \text{ref } \tau \in \text{CType} \} \cup \{ (\forall\alpha. \sigma) \in \text{CType} \} \cup \text{TyNam} \\
\text{VRelF} &:= \text{CTypeF} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\text{CVal} \times \text{CVal}) \\
\text{VRel} &:= \text{CType} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\text{CVal} \times \text{CVal}) \\
\text{ERel} &:= \text{CType} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\text{CExp} \times \text{CExp}) \\
\text{KRel} &:= \text{CType} \times \text{CType} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\text{CCont} \times \text{CCont}) \\
\text{HRel} &:= \mathbb{P}(\text{Heap} \times \text{Heap})
\end{aligned}$$

Note that as a notational convention we use σ to range over possibly open types and τ over closed types.

Value Closure. We define the closure $\overline{R} \in \text{VRel}$ for $R \in \text{VRelF}$ as the least fixpoint of the following equation.

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{R}(\tau_{\text{base}}) &:= \text{ID}_{\tau_{\text{base}}} \\
\overline{R}(\tau_1 \times \tau_2) &:= \{ ((v_1, v'_1), (v_2, v'_2)) \mid (v_1, v_2) \in \overline{R}(\tau_1) \wedge (v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{R}(\tau_2) \} \\
\overline{R}(\tau_1 + \tau_2) &:= \{ (\text{inj}^1 v_1, \text{inj}^1 v_2) \mid (v_1, v_2) \in \overline{R}(\tau_1) \} \cup \{ (\text{inj}^2 v_1, \text{inj}^2 v_2) \mid (v_1, v_2) \in \overline{R}(\tau_2) \} \\
\overline{R}(\mu\alpha. \sigma) &:= \{ (\text{roll } v_1, \text{roll } v_2) \mid (v_1, v_2) \in \overline{R}(\sigma[\mu\alpha. \sigma/\alpha]) \} \\
\overline{R}(\exists\alpha. \sigma) &:= \{ (\text{pack } v_1, \text{pack } v_2) \mid \exists \tau \in \text{CType}. (v_1, v_2) \in \overline{R}(\sigma[\tau/\alpha]) \} \\
\overline{R}(\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2) &:= R(\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2) \\
\overline{R}(\text{ref } \tau) &:= R(\text{ref } \tau) \\
\overline{R}(\mathbf{n}) &:= R(\mathbf{n}) \\
\overline{R}(\forall\alpha. \sigma) &:= R(\forall\alpha. \sigma)
\end{aligned}$$

Dependent World. For a preordered set $P = (\mathbf{S}_P, \sqsubseteq_P)$ we define

$\text{DepWorld}(P) :=$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{ (\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{S}, \sqsubseteq, \sqsubseteq_{\text{pub}}, \mathbf{L}, \mathbf{H}) \\
&\quad \in \mathbb{P}(\text{TyNam}) \times \text{Set} \times \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{S}) \times \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{S}) \times \\
&\quad (\mathbf{S}_P \rightarrow \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \text{VRelF} \rightarrow \text{VRelF}) \times (\mathbf{S}_P \rightarrow \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \text{VRelF} \rightarrow \text{HRel}) \mid \\
&\quad \sqsubseteq, \sqsubseteq_{\text{pub}} \text{ are preorders } \wedge \\
&\quad \sqsubseteq_{\text{pub}} \text{ is a subset of } \sqsubseteq \wedge \\
&\quad \mathbf{L} \text{ is monotone in the first argument w.r.t. } \sqsubseteq_P, \text{ in the second w.r.t. } \sqsubseteq, \text{ in the third w.r.t. } \sqsubseteq \wedge \\
&\quad \mathbf{H} \text{ is monotone in the third argument w.r.t. } \sqsubseteq \wedge \\
&\quad (\forall s_1, s_2. \forall R. \forall \mathbf{n} \notin \mathbf{N}. \mathbf{L}(s_1)(s_2)(R)(\mathbf{n}) = \emptyset) \wedge \\
&\quad (\forall s_1, s_2. \forall R. \forall (\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2, f_1, f_2) \in \mathbf{L}(s_1)(s_2)(R). f_1, f_2 \in \text{FunVal}) \wedge \\
&\quad (\forall s_1, s_2. \forall R. \forall (\forall\alpha. \sigma, v_1, v_2) \in \mathbf{L}(s_1)(s_2)(R). v_1, v_2 \in \text{GenVal}) \quad \}
\end{aligned}$$

Here we write \sqsubseteq for the pointwise lifting of the usual subset ordering \subseteq to function spaces. Also we write \cup for the pointwise lifting of the usual set union \cup to function spaces.

Full World. We define

$$\text{World} := \{ W \in \text{DepWorld}(\{*\}, \{(*, *)\}) \}$$

and for $W \in \text{World}$ and $s \in W.\mathbf{S}$ often write just $W.\mathbf{H}(s)$ for $W.\mathbf{H}(*)(s)$ (and similar for the \mathbf{L} component).

World for Mutable References. We define the reference world $W_{\text{ref}} \in \text{World}$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\text{ref}}.\mathbf{N} &:= \emptyset \\ W_{\text{ref}}.\mathbf{S} &:= \{ s_{\text{rf}} \in \mathbb{P}_{\text{fin}}(\text{CType} \times \text{Loc} \times \text{Loc}) \mid \\ &\quad \forall (\tau, \ell_1, \ell_2), (\tau', \ell'_1, \ell'_2) \in s_{\text{rf}}. \\ &\quad (\ell_1 = \ell'_1 \implies \tau = \tau' \wedge \ell_2 = \ell'_2) \wedge (\ell_2 = \ell'_2 \implies \tau = \tau' \wedge \ell_1 = \ell'_1) \} \\ s'_{\text{rf}} \sqsupseteq s_{\text{rf}} &\text{ iff } s'_{\text{rf}} \supseteq s_{\text{rf}} \\ s'_{\text{rf}} \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s_{\text{rf}} &\text{ iff } s'_{\text{rf}} \supseteq s_{\text{rf}} \\ W_{\text{ref}}.\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{rf}})(R) &:= \{ (\text{ref } \tau, \ell_1, \ell_2) \mid (\tau, \ell_1, \ell_2) \in s_{\text{rf}} \} \\ W_{\text{ref}}.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}})(R) &:= \{ (h_1, h_2) \mid \text{dom}(h_1) = \text{dom}_{[1]}(s_{\text{rf}}) \wedge \text{dom}(h_2) = \text{dom}_{[2]}(s_{\text{rf}}) \wedge \\ &\quad \forall (\tau, \ell_1, \ell_2) \in s_{\text{rf}}. (\tau, h_1(\ell_1), h_2(\ell_2)) \in R \} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dom}_{[1]}(s) &:= \{ \ell_1 \mid \exists \tau, \ell_2. (\tau, \ell_1, \ell_2) \in s \}, \\ \text{dom}_{[2]}(s) &:= \{ \ell_2 \mid \exists \tau, \ell_1. (\tau, \ell_1, \ell_2) \in s \}. \end{aligned}$$

Local World. We define

$$\text{LWorld} := \{ w \in \text{DepWorld}(W_{\text{ref}}.\mathbf{S}, W_{\text{ref}}.\square) \mid \forall s_{\text{rf}}, s, R, \tau. w.\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{rf}})(s)(R)(\text{ref } \tau) = \emptyset \}$$

Product World. For $w_1, w_2 \in \text{LWorld}$, we define $w_1 \otimes w_2 \in \text{LWorld}$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{N} &:= w_1.\mathbf{N} \uplus w_2.\mathbf{N} \\ \mathbf{S} &:= w_1.\mathbf{S} \times w_2.\mathbf{S} \\ (s'_1, s'_2) \sqsupseteq (s_1, s_2) &\text{ iff } s'_1 \sqsupseteq s_1 \wedge s'_2 \sqsupseteq s_2 \\ (s'_1, s'_2) \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} (s_1, s_2) &\text{ iff } s'_1 \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s_1 \wedge s'_2 \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s_2 \\ \mathbf{L}(s_{\text{rf}})(s_1, s_2)(R) &:= w_1.\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{rf}})(s_1)(R) \cup w_2.\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{rf}})(s_2)(R) \\ \mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}})(s_1, s_2)(R) &:= w_1.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}})(s_1)(R) \otimes w_2.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}})(s_2)(R) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$H_1 \otimes H_2 := \{ (h_1 \uplus h'_1, h_2 \uplus h'_2) \mid (h_1, h_2) \in H_1 \wedge (h'_1, h'_2) \in H_2 \}$$

Note that $w_1 \otimes w_2$ is undefined iff $w_1.\mathbf{N}$ and $w_2.\mathbf{N}$ is not disjoint.

Lifting of a Local World. For $w \in \text{LWorld}$, we define $w \uparrow \in \text{World}$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{N} &:= w.\mathbf{N} \\ \mathbf{S} &:= W_{\text{ref}}.\mathbf{S} \times w.\mathbf{S} \\ (s'_{\text{rf}}, s') \sqsupseteq (s_{\text{rf}}, s) &\text{ iff } s'_{\text{rf}} \sqsupseteq s_{\text{rf}} \wedge s' \sqsupseteq s \\ (s'_{\text{rf}}, s') \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} (s_{\text{rf}}, s) &\text{ iff } s'_{\text{rf}} \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s_{\text{rf}} \wedge s' \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s \\ \mathbf{L}(s_{\text{rf}}, s)(R) &:= W_{\text{ref}}.\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{rf}})(R) \cup w.\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{rf}})(s)(R) \\ \mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}}, s)(R) &:= W_{\text{ref}}.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}})(R) \otimes w.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}})(s)(R) \end{aligned}$$

Single-State Worlds. Given a local knowledge $L \in \text{VRelF} \xrightarrow{\text{mon}} \text{VRelF}$ and a heap relation $H \in \text{VRelF} \xrightarrow{\text{mon}} \text{HRel}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \forall R. \forall (\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2, f_1, f_2) \in L(R). f_1, f_2 \in \text{FunVal} \wedge \\ \forall R. \forall (\forall \alpha. \tau, f_1, f_2) \in L(R). f_1, f_2 \in \text{GenVal} \end{aligned}$$

we define the single-state local world $w_{\text{single}}(L, H) \in \text{LWorld}$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} w_{\text{single}}(L, H).N &:= \emptyset \\ w_{\text{single}}(L, H).S &:= \{ * \} \\ * &\sqsupseteq * \\ * &\sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} * \\ w_{\text{single}}(L, H).L(s_{\text{rf}})(*)(R) &:= \{ (\tau' \rightarrow \tau, f_1, f_2) \in L(R) \} \cup \{ (\forall \alpha. \tau, f_1, f_2) \in L(R) \} \\ w_{\text{single}}(L, H).H(s_{\text{rf}})(*)(R) &:= H(R) \end{aligned}$$

Global Knowledge. We define the ref-name-preserving order $\geq_{\text{ref}}^{\mathcal{N}}$ between $R, R' \in \text{VRelF}$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} R' \geq_{\text{ref}}^{\mathcal{N}} R \quad \text{iff} \quad &\forall \tau. R'(\tau) \sqsupseteq R(\tau) \wedge \\ &\forall \tau. R'(\text{ref } \tau) = R(\text{ref } \tau) \wedge \\ &\forall \mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{N}. R'(\mathbf{n}) = R(\mathbf{n}) \end{aligned}$$

Note that $R' \geq_{\text{ref}}^{\mathcal{N}} R \implies R' \sqsupseteq R$.

We define $\text{GK}(W)$ for $W \in \text{World}$ as follows.

$$\text{GK}(W) := \{ G \in W.S \rightarrow \text{VRelF} \mid G \text{ is monotone w.r.t. } \sqsubseteq \wedge \forall s. G(s) \geq_{\text{ref}}^{W.N} W.L(s)(G(s)) \}$$

Expression and Continuation Equivalence. We define the following notation.

$$s' \sqsupseteq [s_0, s] \quad \text{iff} \quad s' \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s_0 \wedge s' \sqsupseteq s$$

For $W \in \text{World}$, we coinductively define $\mathbf{E}_W \in \text{GK}(W) \rightarrow W.S \times W.S \rightarrow \text{ERel}$ and $\mathbf{K}_W \in \text{GK}(W) \rightarrow W.S \times W.S \rightarrow \text{KRel}$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s_0, s)(\tau) &:= \{ (e_1, e_2) \mid \forall (h_1, h_2) \in W.H(s)(G(s)). \forall h_1^F, h_2^F. \\ &\quad ((h_1, h_1^F, e_1), (h_2, h_2^F, e_2)) \in \mathbf{O}_W(\mathbf{K}_W)(G)(s_0, s)(\tau) \} \\ \mathbf{K}_W(G)(s_0, s)(\tau_1, \tau_2) &:= \{ (K_1, K_2) \mid \forall (v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\tau_1). (K_1[v_1], K_2[v_2]) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s_0, s)(\tau_2) \} \\ \mathbf{O}_W(R^K)(G)(s_0, s)(\tau) &:= \{ ((h_1, h_1^F, e_1), (h_2, h_2^F, e_2)) \mid h_1 \uplus h_1^F \text{ defined} \wedge h_2 \uplus h_2^F \text{ defined} \implies \\ &\quad (h_1 \uplus h_1^F, e_1 \hookrightarrow^\omega \wedge h_2 \uplus h_2^F, e_2 \hookrightarrow^\omega) \\ &\quad \vee (\exists h'_1, h'_2, v_1, v_2. h_1 \uplus h_1^F, e_1 \hookrightarrow^* h'_1 \uplus h_1^F, v_1 \wedge h_2 \uplus h_2^F, e_2 \hookrightarrow^* h'_2 \uplus h_2^F, v_2 \wedge \\ &\quad \exists s' \sqsupseteq [s_0, s]. (h'_1, h'_2) \in W.H(s')(G(s')) \wedge (v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s')}(\tau)) \\ &\quad \vee (\exists h'_1, h'_2, \tau', K_1, K_2, e'_1, e'_2. \\ &\quad h_1 \uplus h_1^F, e_1 \hookrightarrow^* h'_1 \uplus h_1^F, K_1[e'_1] \wedge h_2 \uplus h_2^F, e_2 \hookrightarrow^* h'_2 \uplus h_2^F, K_2[e'_2] \wedge \\ &\quad \exists s' \sqsupseteq s. (h'_1, h'_2) \in W.H(s')(G(s')) \wedge (\tau', e'_1, e'_2) \in \mathbf{S}(G(s'), G(s')) \wedge \\ &\quad \forall s'' \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s'. \forall G' \sqsupseteq G. (K_1, K_2) \in R^K(G')(s_0, s'')(\tau', \tau)) \} \\ \mathbf{S}(R_f, R_v) &:= \{ (\tau, f_1, v_1, f_2, v_2) \mid \exists \tau'. (f_1, f_2) \in R_f(\tau' \rightarrow \tau) \wedge (v_1, v_2) \in \overline{R_v}(\tau') \} \\ &\quad \cup \{ (\sigma[\tau/\alpha], f_1[], f_2[]) \mid \tau \in \text{CType} \wedge (f_1, f_2) \in R_f(\forall \alpha. \sigma) \} \end{aligned}$$

Program Equivalence.

For $w \in \text{LWorld}$, we define:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{stable}(w) &:= \forall G \in \text{GK}(w\uparrow). \forall s_{\text{rf}}, s. \forall (h_1, h_2) \in w.H(s_{\text{rf}})(s)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s)). \\ &\quad \forall s'_{\text{rf}} \sqsupseteq s_{\text{rf}}. \forall (h^1_{\text{ref}}, h^2_{\text{ref}}) \in W_{\text{ref}}.H(s'_{\text{rf}})(G(s'_{\text{rf}}, s)). h^1_{\text{ref}} \uplus h_1 \text{ defined} \wedge h^2_{\text{ref}} \uplus h_2 \text{ defined} \implies \\ &\quad \exists s' \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s. (h_1, h_2) \in w.H(s'_{\text{rf}})(s')(G(s'_{\text{rf}}, s')) \end{aligned}$$

For $W \in \text{World}$, we define:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{inhabited}(W) &:= \forall G \in \mathbf{GK}(W). \exists s_0. (\emptyset, \emptyset) \in W.H(s_0)(G(s_0)) \\ \text{consistent}(W) &:= \forall G \in \mathbf{GK}(W). \forall s. \forall (\tau, e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{S}(W.L(s)(G(s)), G(s)). \\ &\quad (\tau, \text{beta}(e_1), \text{beta}(e_2)) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s, s) \end{aligned}$$

We define program equivalence $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \sigma$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{TyEnv}(\Delta) &:= \{ \delta \mid \delta \in \Delta \rightarrow \text{CType} \} \\ \text{Env}(\Gamma, R) &:= \{ (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \mid \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \text{dom}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \text{CVal} \wedge \forall x. (\Gamma(x), \gamma_1(x), \gamma_2(x)) \in \bar{R} \} \\ \Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim_W e_2 : \sigma &:= \text{inhabited}(W) \wedge \text{consistent}(W) \wedge \\ &\quad \forall G \in \mathbf{GK}(W). \forall s. \forall \delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s)). \\ &\quad (\delta\sigma, \gamma_1 e_1, \gamma_2 e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s, s) \\ \Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim_w e_2 : \sigma &:= \text{stable}(w) \wedge \Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim_{w\uparrow} e_2 : \sigma \\ \Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \sigma &:= \forall \mathcal{N} \in \text{Names}. \exists w \in \text{LWorld}. w.N \subseteq \mathcal{N} \wedge \Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim_w e_2 : \sigma \end{aligned}$$

3 Soundness

3.1 Basic Properties

Notation. For a monotone function $F \in \text{VRelF} \rightarrow \text{VRelF}$ and $R \in \text{VRelF}$, we define $[F]_R^*$ as the least fixpoint of the monotone function $F(-) \cup R$:

$$[F]_R^* := \mu X. F(X) \cup R.$$

For $W \in \text{World}$, we define $[W] \in W.\text{S} \rightarrow \text{VRelF}$ as follows:

$$[W](s) := [W.L(s)]_\emptyset^*.$$

Lemma 1. If $G' \supseteq G$ and $s' \supseteq s$, then:

1. $G'(s') \supseteq G(s)$
2. $\text{Env}(\Gamma, G'(s')) \supseteq \text{Env}(\Gamma, G(s))$

Proof.

1. By definition of GK we know $G'(s') \supseteq G'(s)$. And since $G' \supseteq G$ we also know $G'(s) \supseteq G(s)$.
2. Follows immediately from (1).

□

Lemma 2. $\forall W \in \text{World}. [W] \in \text{GK}(W)$

Proof. We must establish four properties:

- a) To show: $[W]$ is monotone w.r.t. \sqsubseteq .
Follows from monotonicity of $W.L$.
- b) To show: $\forall s, \tau. [W](s)(\tau) \supseteq W.L(s)([W](s))(\tau)$.
Immediate after unrolling fixpoint once.
- c) To show: $\forall s, \tau. [W](s)(\text{ref } \tau) = W.L(s)([W](s))(\text{ref } \tau)$.
Easy fixpoint induction.
- d) To show: $\forall s, \mathbf{n} \in W.N. [W](s)(\mathbf{n}) = W.L(s)([W](s))(\mathbf{n})$.
Easy fixpoint induction.

□

Lemma 3. $\forall W \in \text{World}, G \in \text{GK}(W). [W] \subseteq G$

Proof. Easy fixpoint induction.

□

Lemma 4. If

- $h_1 \uplus h_1^f, e_1 \hookrightarrow^* h'_1 \uplus h_1^f, e'_1$,
- $h_2 \uplus h_2^f, e_2 \hookrightarrow^* h'_2 \uplus h_2^f, e'_2$,
- $s' \supseteq s$, and
- $(\tau, (h'_1, h_1^f, e'_1), (h'_2, h_2^f, e'_2)) \in \mathbf{O}_W(R^K)(s_0, s')$,

then $(\tau, (h_1, h_1^f, e_1), (h_2, h_2^f, e_2)) \in \mathbf{O}_W(R^K)(s_0, s)$.

Proof. Follows easily from the definition of \mathbf{O}_W . □

Lemma 5. $G(s) \subseteq \overline{G(s)} \subseteq \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s, s)$

Proof. The first inclusion holds immediately by definition; the second by choosing the final state to be s . □

Lemma 6. $(\tau, \tau, \bullet, \bullet) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G)(s, s)$

Proof. We need to show $(\tau, v_1, v_2) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s, s)$ for $(\tau, v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s)}$, which holds by Lemma 5. □

Lemma 7. If $s'_0 \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s_0$, then:

1. $\mathbf{E}_W(G)(s'_0, s) \subseteq \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s_0, s)$
2. $\mathbf{K}_W(G)(s'_0, s) \subseteq \mathbf{K}_W(G)(s_0, s)$

Proof. We define \mathbf{E}'_W and \mathbf{K}'_W as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}'_W(G)(s_0, s) &= \{ (\tau, e_1, e_2) \mid \exists s'_0. s'_0 \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s_0 \wedge (\tau, e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s'_0, s) \} \\ \mathbf{K}'_W(G)(s_0, s) &= \{ (\tau_1, \tau_2, K_1, K_2) \mid \exists s'_0. s'_0 \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s_0 \wedge (\tau_1, \tau_2, K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G)(s'_0, s) \} \end{aligned}$$

If $\mathbf{E}'_W \subseteq \mathbf{E}_W$ and $\mathbf{K}'_W \subseteq \mathbf{K}_W$, then for $s'_0 \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s_0$ we have

$$\mathbf{E}_W(G)(s'_0, s) \subseteq \mathbf{E}'_W(G)(s_0, s) \subseteq \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s_0, s)$$

(and similar for \mathbf{K}_W).

We now prove $\mathbf{E}'_W \subseteq \mathbf{E}_W$ and $\mathbf{K}'_W \subseteq \mathbf{K}_W$ by coinduction. Concretely, we have to show:

1. $\forall e_1, e_2, G, s_0, s, \tau.$
 $(e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}'_W(G)(s_0, s)(\tau) \implies$
 $\forall (h_1, h_2) \in W.H(s)(G(s)). \forall h_1^F, h_2^F. ((h_1, h_1^F, e_1), (h_2, h_2^F, e_2)) \in \mathbf{O}_W(\mathbf{K}'_W)(G)(s_0, s)(\tau)$
2. $\forall K_1, K_2, G, s_0, s, \tau', \tau.$
 $(K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}'_W(G)(s_0, s)(\tau', \tau) \implies$
 $\forall (v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\tau'). (K_1[v_1], K_2[v_2]) \in \mathbf{E}'_W(G)(s_0, s)(\tau)$

For (1):

- Suppose $(e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}'_W(G)(s_0, s)(\tau)$ and $(h_1, h_2) \in W.H(s)(G(s))$.
- We must show $((h_1, h_1^F, e_1), (h_2, h_2^F, e_2)) \in \mathbf{O}_W(\mathbf{K}'_W)(G)(s_0, s)(\tau)$.
- By definition of \mathbf{E}'_W we know $(e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s'_0, s)(\tau)$ for some $s'_0 \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s_0$.
- Hence $((h_1, h_1^F, e_1), (h_2, h_2^F, e_2)) \in \mathbf{O}_W(\mathbf{K}_W)(G)(s'_0, s)(\tau)$.
- It is easy to see that this implies $((h_1, h_1^F, e_1), (h_2, h_2^F, e_2)) \in \mathbf{O}_W(\mathbf{K}'_W)(G)(s_0, s)(\tau)$.

For (2):

- Suppose $(K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}'_W(G)(s_0, s)(\tau', \tau)$ and $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\tau')$.
- We must show $(K_1[v_1], K_2[v_2]) \in \mathbf{E}'_W(G)(s_0, s)(\tau)$.
- By definition of \mathbf{K}'_W we know $(K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G)(s'_0, s)(\tau', \tau)$ for some $s'_0 \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s_0$.
- Hence $(K_1[v_1], K_2[v_2]) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s'_0, s)(\tau) \subseteq \mathbf{E}'_W(G)(s_0, s)(\tau)$.

□

Lemma 8. If $w_1, w_2 \in \text{LWorld}$, then $\forall G \in \text{GK}((w_1 \otimes w_2)\uparrow). \forall s_2 \in w_2.S. G(-, -, s_2) \in \text{GK}(w_1\uparrow)$.

Proof. We must establish four properties:

- a) To show: $G(-, -, s_2)$ is monotone w.r.t. \sqsubseteq .
This follows directly from the definition of \uparrow, \otimes and the monotonicity of G .
- b) To show: $\forall s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, \tau. G(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2)(\tau) \supseteq w_1 \uparrow. \mathbf{L}(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2))(\tau)$.
We know $G(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2)(\tau) \supseteq (w_1 \otimes w_2) \uparrow. \mathbf{L}(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2))(\tau)$.
By definition, the latter equals $w_1 \uparrow. \mathbf{L}(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2))(\tau) \cup w_2. \mathbf{L}(s_{\text{rf}})(s_2)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2))(\tau)$.
- c) To show: $\forall s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, \tau. G(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2)(\text{ref } \tau) = w_1 \uparrow. \mathbf{L}(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2))(\text{ref } \tau)$.
We know $G(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2)(\text{ref } \tau) = (w_1 \otimes w_2) \uparrow. \mathbf{L}(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2))(\text{ref } \tau)$.
By definition, the latter equals $w_1 \uparrow. \mathbf{L}(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2))(\text{ref } \tau) \cup w_2. \mathbf{L}(s_{\text{rf}})(s_2)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2))(\text{ref } \tau)$.
Since $w_2 \in \text{LWorld}$, we are done.
- d) To show: $\forall s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, \mathbf{n} \in w_1 \uparrow. \mathbf{N}. G(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2)(\mathbf{n}) = w_1 \uparrow. \mathbf{L}(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2))(\mathbf{n})$.
We know $G(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2)(\mathbf{n}) = (w_1 \otimes w_2) \uparrow. \mathbf{L}(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2))(\mathbf{n})$.
By definition, the latter equals $w_1 \uparrow. \mathbf{L}(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2))(\mathbf{n}) \cup w_2. \mathbf{L}(s_{\text{rf}})(s_2)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2))(\mathbf{n})$.
Since $\mathbf{n} \notin w_2. \mathbf{N}$ by definition of \uparrow, \otimes , we are done.

□

Lemma 9. If $w_1, w_2 \in \text{LWorld}$, then $\forall G \in \text{GK}((w_1 \otimes w_2) \uparrow). \forall s_1 \in w_1. \mathbf{S}. G(-, s_1, -) \in \text{GK}(w_2 \uparrow)$.

Proof. Similar to Lemma 8. □

Lemma 10. If $w = w_1 \otimes w_2$ with $w_1, w_2 \in \text{LWorld}$ and $\text{stable}(w_2)$, then for all $G \in \text{GK}(w \uparrow)$ and for all $s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_{\text{rf}} \in W_{\text{ref}}. \mathbf{S}, s_1^0, s_1 \in w_1. \mathbf{S}, s_2^0, s_2 \in w_2. \mathbf{S}$ with $s_2 \supseteq_{\text{pub}} s_2^0$:

1. $\mathbf{E}_{w \uparrow}(G(-, -, s_2))((s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_1^0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s_1)) \subseteq \mathbf{E}_{w \uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_1^0, s_2^0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2))$
2. $\mathbf{K}_{w \uparrow}(G(-, -, s_2))((s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_1^0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s_1)) \subseteq \mathbf{K}_{w \uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_1^0, s_2^0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2))$

Proof. We define $\mathbf{E}'_{w \uparrow}$ and $\mathbf{K}'_{w \uparrow}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}'_{w \uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_1^0, s_2^0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2)) &= \{ (\tau, e_1, e_2) \mid \\ & \quad s_2 \supseteq_{\text{pub}} s_2^0 \wedge (\tau, e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{w \uparrow}(G(-, -, s_2))((s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_1^0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s_1)) \} \\ \mathbf{K}'_{w \uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_1^0, s_2^0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2)) &= \{ (\tau', \tau, K_1, K_2) \mid \\ & \quad s_2 \supseteq_{\text{pub}} s_2^0 \wedge (\tau', \tau, K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}_{w \uparrow}(G(-, -, s_2))((s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_1^0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s_1)) \} \end{aligned}$$

We now prove $\mathbf{E}'_{w \uparrow} \subseteq \mathbf{E}_{w \uparrow}$ and $\mathbf{K}'_{w \uparrow} \subseteq \mathbf{K}_{w \uparrow}$ by coinduction. Concretely, we have to show:

1. $\forall e_1, e_2, G, s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_{\text{rf}}, s_1^0, s_2^0, s_1, s_2, \tau.$
 $(e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}'_{w \uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_1^0, s_2^0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2))(\tau) \implies$
 $\forall (h_1, h_2) \in w \uparrow. \mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2)). \forall h_1^F, h_2^F.$
 $((h_1, h_1^F, e_1), (h_2, h_2^F, e_2)) \in \mathbf{O}_{w \uparrow}(\mathbf{K}'_{w \uparrow})(G)((s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_1^0, s_2^0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2))(\tau)$
2. $\forall K_1, K_2, G, s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_{\text{rf}}, s_1^0, s_2^0, s_1, s_2, \tau', \tau.$
 $(K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}'_{w \uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_1^0, s_2^0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2))(\tau', \tau) \implies$
 $\forall (v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2)(\tau'). (K_1[v_1], K_2[v_2]) \in \mathbf{E}'_{w \uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_1^0, s_2^0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2))(\tau)$

For (1):

- Suppose $(e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}'_{w \uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_1^0, s_2^0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2))(\tau)$ and $(h_1, h_2) \in w \uparrow. \mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2))$.
- By definition of $\mathbf{E}'_{w \uparrow}$ we know $s_2 \supseteq_{\text{pub}} s_2^0$ and $(e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{w \uparrow}(G(-, -, s_2))((s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_1^0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s_1))(\tau)$.

- We must show $((h_1, h_1^F, e_1), (h_2, h_2^F, e_2)) \in \mathbf{O}_{w\uparrow}(\mathbf{K}'_{w\uparrow})(G)((s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_1^0, s_2^0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2))(\tau)$.
- So suppose defined $(h_1 \uplus h_1^F)$ and defined $(h_2 \uplus h_2^F)$.
- From $(h_1, h_2) \in w\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2))$ and the definition of \uparrow, \otimes , we know $h_1 = h'_1 \uplus h''_1$ and $h_2 = h'_2 \uplus h''_2$ with $(h'_1, h'_2) \in w_1\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2))$ and $(h''_1, h''_2) \in w_2.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}})(s_2)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2))$.
- Hence $((h'_1, h''_1 \uplus h_1^F, e_1), (h'_2, h''_2 \uplus h_2^F, e_2)) \in \mathbf{O}_{w_1\uparrow}(\mathbf{K}_{w_1\uparrow})(G(-, -, s_2))((s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_1^0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s_1))(\tau)$.
- Consequently at least one of the following three properties holds:
 - A) $h_1 \uplus h_1^F, e_1 \hookrightarrow^\omega$ and $h_2 \uplus h_2^F, e_2 \hookrightarrow^\omega$
 - B) (a) $h_1 \uplus h_1^F, e_1 \hookrightarrow^* \widetilde{h}'_1 \uplus h''_1 \uplus h_1^F, v_1$ and $h_2 \uplus h_2^F, e_2 \hookrightarrow^* \widetilde{h}'_2 \uplus h''_2 \uplus h_2^F, v_2$
 - (b) $(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}_1) \supseteq [(s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_1^0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s_1)]$
 - (c) $(\widetilde{h}'_1, \widetilde{h}'_2) \in w_1\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}_1)(G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}_1, s_2))$
 - (d) $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}_1, s_2)}(\tau)$
 - C) (a) $h_1 \uplus h_1^F, e_1 \hookrightarrow^* \widetilde{h}'_1 \uplus h''_1 \uplus h_1^F, K_1[e'_1]$ and $h_2 \uplus h_2^F, e_2 \hookrightarrow^* \widetilde{h}'_2 \uplus h''_2 \uplus h_2^F, K_2[e'_2]$
 - (b) $(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}_1) \supseteq (s_{\text{rf}}, s_1)$
 - (c) $(\widetilde{h}'_1, \widetilde{h}'_2) \in w_1\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}_1)(G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}_1, s_2))$
 - (d) $(e'_1, e'_2) \in \mathbf{S}(G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}, s_2), G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}, s_2))(\widetilde{\tau})$
 - (e) $\forall (\widehat{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widehat{s}_1) \supseteq_{\text{pub}} (\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}_1). \forall G' \supseteq G(-, -, s_2). (K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}_{w_1\uparrow}(G')((s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_1^0), (\widehat{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widehat{s}_1))(\widetilde{\tau}, \tau)$

• If (A) holds, then we are done.

• If (B) holds:

– By *stable*(w_2) there is $\widetilde{s}_2 \supseteq_{\text{pub}} s_2$ such that

$$(h''_1, h''_2) \in w_2.\mathbf{H}(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}})(\widetilde{s}_2)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2)) .$$

– By monotonicity of $w_2.\mathbf{H}$, from $G(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2) \subseteq G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}_1, \widetilde{s}_2)$, we have

$$(h''_1, h''_2) \in w_2.\mathbf{H}(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}})(\widetilde{s}_2)(G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}_1, \widetilde{s}_2)) .$$

– From (Bc) and monotonicity we also know $(\widetilde{h}'_1, \widetilde{h}'_2) \in w_1\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}_1)(G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}_1, \widetilde{s}_2))$.

– Thus by the definition of \uparrow, \otimes , we get $(\widetilde{h}'_1 \uplus h''_1, \widetilde{h}'_2 \uplus h''_2) \in w\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}_1, \widetilde{s}_2)(G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}_1, \widetilde{s}_2))$.

– From (Bb) and the definition of \uparrow, \otimes , we get $(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}_1, \widetilde{s}_2) \supseteq [(s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_1^0, s_2^0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2)]$.

– Together with (Ba) (Bd) we are done.

• If (C) holds:

– By *stable*(w_2) there is $\widetilde{s}_2 \supseteq_{\text{pub}} s_2$ such that

$$(h''_1, h''_2) \in w_2.\mathbf{H}(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}})(\widetilde{s}_2)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2)) .$$

– By monotonicity of $w_2.\mathbf{H}$, from $G(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2) \subseteq G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}_1, \widetilde{s}_2)$, we have

$$(h''_1, h''_2) \in w_2.\mathbf{H}(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}})(\widetilde{s}_2)(G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}_1, \widetilde{s}_2)) .$$

– From (Cc) and monotonicity we also know $(\widetilde{h}'_1, \widetilde{h}'_2) \in w_1\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}_1)(G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}_1, \widetilde{s}_2))$.

– Thus by the definition of \uparrow, \otimes , we get $(\widetilde{h}'_1 \uplus h''_1, \widetilde{h}'_2 \uplus h''_2) \in w\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}_1, \widetilde{s}_2)(G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}_1, \widetilde{s}_2))$.

- From (Cb) and the definition of \uparrow, \otimes , we get $(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}_1, \widetilde{s}_2) \sqsupseteq [(s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_1^0, s_2^0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2)]$.
- Now it remains to show:

$$\forall (\widehat{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widehat{s}_1, \widehat{s}_2) \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} (\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}_1, \widetilde{s}_2). \forall G' \supseteq G. (K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}'_{w\uparrow}(G')((s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_1^0, s_2^0), (\widehat{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widehat{s}_1, \widehat{s}_2))(\widetilde{\tau}, \tau)$$

- So suppose $(\widehat{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widehat{s}_1, \widehat{s}_2) \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} (\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}_1, \widetilde{s}_2)$ and $G' \supseteq G$.
- Note that $(\widehat{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widehat{s}_1) \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} (\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}_1)$ and, by monotonicity, $G'(-, -, \widehat{s}_2) \supseteq G(-, -, s_2)$.
- From (Ce) we therefore get $(K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}'_{w_1\uparrow}(G'(-, -, \widehat{s}_2))((s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_1^0), (\widehat{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widehat{s}_1))(\widetilde{\tau}, \tau)$.
- By definition of $\mathbf{K}'_{w\uparrow}$ this implies

$$(K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}'_{w\uparrow}(G')((s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_1^0, s_2^0), (\widehat{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widehat{s}_1, \widehat{s}_2))(\widetilde{\tau}, \tau)$$

For (2):

- Suppose $(K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}'_{w\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_1^0, s_2^0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2))(\tau', \tau)$ and $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2)}(\tau')$.
- By definition of $\mathbf{K}'_{w\uparrow}$ we know $s_2 \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s_2^0$ and $(K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}'_{w_1\uparrow}(G(-, -, s_2))((s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_1^0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s_1))(\tau', \tau)$.
- We must show $(K_1[v_1], K_2[v_2]) \in \mathbf{E}'_{w\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_1^0, s_2^0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2))(\tau)$.
- By definition of $\mathbf{E}'_{w\uparrow}$ it suffices to show

$$(K_1[v_1], K_2[v_2]) \in \mathbf{E}_{w_1\uparrow}(G(-, -, s_2))((s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_1^0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s_1))(\tau).$$

- Since $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2)}(\tau')$, we are done. □

Lemma 11. If $w = w_1 \otimes w_2$ with $w_1, w_2 \in \text{LWorld}$ and $\text{stable}(w_1)$, then for all $G \in \text{GK}(w\uparrow)$ and for all $s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_{\text{rf}} \in W_{\text{ref}}, \mathcal{S}$, $s_1^0, s_1 \in w_1, \mathcal{S}$, $s_2^0, s_2 \in w_2, \mathcal{S}$ with $s_1 \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s_1^0$:

1. $\mathbf{E}_{w_2\uparrow}(G(-, s_1, -))((s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_2^0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s_2)) \subseteq \mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_1^0, s_2^0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2))$
2. $\mathbf{K}_{w_2\uparrow}(G(-, s_1, -))((s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_2^0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s_2)) \subseteq \mathbf{K}_{w\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_1^0, s_2^0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2))$

Proof. Similar to Lemma 10. □

Lemma 12. If $w = w_1 \otimes w_2$ with $w_1, w_2 \in \text{LWorld}$ and $\text{stable}(w_1), \text{stable}(w_2)$, then:

1. $\text{stable}(w)$
2. If $\text{inhabited}(w_1\uparrow)$ and $\text{inhabited}(w_2\uparrow)$, then $\text{inhabited}(w\uparrow)$.
3. If $\text{consistent}(w_1\uparrow)$ and $\text{consistent}(w_2\uparrow)$, then $\text{consistent}(w\uparrow)$.

Proof.

1.
 - Suppose $G \in \text{GK}((w_1 \otimes w_2)\uparrow)$, $(h_1, h_2) \in (w_1 \otimes w_2). \mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}})(s_1, s_2)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2))$ and $s'_{\text{rf}} \sqsupseteq s_{\text{rf}}$.
 - Further suppose $(h'_1, h'_2) \in W_{\text{ref}}. \mathbf{H}(s'_{\text{rf}})(G(s'_{\text{rf}}, s))$ and $\text{defined}(h'_1 \uplus h_1)$ and $\text{defined}(h'_2 \uplus h_2)$.
 - We must show that there is $(s'_1, s'_2) \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} (s_1, s_2)$ such that

$$(h_1, h_2) \in (w_1 \otimes w_2). \mathbf{H}(s'_{\text{rf}})(s'_1, s'_2)(G(s'_{\text{rf}}, s'_1, s'_2)).$$

- Decomposing $(w_1 \otimes w_2). \mathbf{H}$ gives us $h_1^1, h_1^2, h_2^1, h_2^2$ such that:
 - $h_1 = h_1^1 \uplus h_1^2$ and $h_2 = h_2^1 \uplus h_2^2$

- $(h_1^1, h_2^1) \in w_1.H(s_{\text{rf}})(s_1)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2))$
- $\text{defined}(h_1^1 \uplus h_1^1)$ and $\text{defined}(h_2^1 \uplus h_2^1)$
- $(h_1^2, h_2^2) \in w_2.H(s_{\text{rf}})(s_2)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2))$
- $\text{defined}(h_1^2 \uplus h_1^2)$ and $\text{defined}(h_2^2 \uplus h_2^2)$
- From this, Lemmas 8–11, and the assumptions, we get $s'_1 \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s_1$ and $s'_2 \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s_2$ such that:
 - (a) $(h_1^1, h_2^1) \in w_1.H(s'_{\text{rf}})(s'_1)(G(s'_{\text{rf}}, s'_1, s_2))$
 - (b) $(h_1^2, h_2^2) \in w_2.H(s'_{\text{rf}})(s'_2)(G(s'_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s'_2))$
- Using monotonicity and then composing this gives us

$$(h_1, h_2) \in (w_1 \otimes w_2).H(s'_{\text{rf}})(s'_1, s'_2)(G(s'_{\text{rf}}, s'_1, s'_2)).$$

2. • Suppose $G \in \text{GK}(w\uparrow)$.
 - From the assumptions, Lemma 2, and definition of \uparrow and W_{ref} we get s_1, s_2 such that
 - $(\emptyset, \emptyset) \in w_1.H(\emptyset)(s_1)([w_1\uparrow](\emptyset, s_1))$ and
 - $(\emptyset, \emptyset) \in w_2.H(\emptyset)(s_2)([w_2\uparrow](\emptyset, s_2))$.
 - From Lemmas 2, 3, 8, 9, we know $[w_1\uparrow] \subseteq [w\uparrow](-, (-, s_2))$ and $[w_2\uparrow] \subseteq [w\uparrow](-, (s_1, -))$.
 - Hence $(\emptyset, \emptyset) \in w\uparrow.H(\emptyset, (s_1, s_2))([w\uparrow](\emptyset, (s_1, s_2)))$ by monotonicity and definition of \otimes, \uparrow .
3. • We suppose
 - (a) $s = (s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, s_2) \in w\uparrow.S$
 - (b) $G \in \text{GK}(w\uparrow)$
 - (c) $(\tau, e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{S}(w\uparrow.L(s)(G(s)), G(s))$
 and must show $(\tau, \text{beta}(e_1), \text{beta}(e_2)) \in \mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}(G)(s, s)$.
 - From (c) and the definitions of \uparrow, \otimes and \mathbf{S} we know:

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau, e_1, e_2) &\in \mathbf{S}(W_{\text{ref}}.L(s_{\text{rf}})(G(s)), G(s)) \vee \\ (\tau, e_1, e_2) &\in \mathbf{S}(w_1.L(s_{\text{rf}})(s_1)(G(s)), G(s)) \vee \\ (\tau, e_1, e_2) &\in \mathbf{S}(w_2.L(s_{\text{rf}})(s_2)(G(s)), G(s)) \end{aligned}$$

- This implies:

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau, e_1, e_2) &\in \mathbf{S}(w_1\uparrow.L(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1)(G(s)), G(s)) \vee \\ (\tau, e_1, e_2) &\in \mathbf{S}(w_2\uparrow.L(s_{\text{rf}}, s_2)(G(s)), G(s)) \end{aligned}$$

- If the former is true, the goal follows from *consistent*($w_1\uparrow$) with the help of Lemmas 8 and 10.
- If the latter is true, the goal follows from *consistent*($w_2\uparrow$) with the help of Lemmas 9 and 11.

□

Lemma 13. For $G \in \text{GK}(W)$, $s_0, s'_0, s \in W.S$, $\tau, \tau' \in \text{CType}$, $K_1, K_2 \in \text{Cont}$, if

$$\forall s' \sqsupseteq [s'_0, s]. \forall G' \supseteq G. (\tau', \tau, K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G')(s_0, s'),$$

then:

1. $(\tau', e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s'_0, s)$ implies $(\tau, K_1[e_1], K_2[e_2]) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s_0, s)$.
2. $(\tau'', \tau', K'_1, K'_2) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G)(s'_0, s)$ implies $(\tau'', \tau, K_1[K'_1], K_2[K'_2]) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G)(s_0, s)$.

Proof. We define \mathbf{E}'_W and \mathbf{K}'_W as follows:

$$\mathbf{E}'_W(G)(s_0, s) = \{ (\tau, K_1[e_1], K_2[e_2]) \mid \exists \tau', s'_0. (\tau', e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s'_0, s) \wedge \forall s' \supseteq [s'_0, s]. \forall G' \supseteq G. (\tau', \tau, K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G')(s_0, s') \}$$

$$\mathbf{K}'_W(G)(s_0, s) = \{ (\tau'', \tau, K_1[K'_1], K_2[K'_2]) \mid \exists \tau', s'_0. (\tau'', \tau', K'_1, K'_2) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G)(s'_0, s) \wedge \forall s' \supseteq [s'_0, s]. \forall G' \supseteq G. (\tau', \tau, K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G')(s_0, s') \}$$

It suffices to show $\mathbf{E}'_W \subseteq \mathbf{E}_W$ and $\mathbf{K}'_W \subseteq \mathbf{K}_W$, which we do by coinduction. Concretely, we have to show:

1. $\forall K_1, K_2, e_1, e_2, G, s_0, s, \tau.$
 $(K_1[e_1], K_2[e_2]) \in \mathbf{E}'_W(G)(s_0, s)(\tau) \implies$
 $\forall (h_1, h_2) \in W.H(s)(G(s)). \forall h_1^F, h_2^F.$
 $((h_1, h_1^F, K_1[e_1]), (h_2, h_2^F, K_2[e_2])) \in \mathbf{O}_W(\mathbf{K}'_W)(G)(s_0, s)(\tau)$
2. $\forall K_1, K_2, K'_1, K'_2, G, s_0, s, \tau'', \tau.$
 $(K_1[K'_1], K_2[K'_2]) \in \mathbf{K}'_W(G)(s_0, s)(\tau'', \tau) \implies$
 $\forall (v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}(s)(\tau''). (K_1[K'_1][v_1], K_2[K'_2][v_2]) \in \mathbf{E}'_W(G)(s_0, s)(\tau)$

For (1):

- Suppose $(K_1[e_1], K_2[e_2]) \in \mathbf{E}'_W(G)(s_0, s)(\tau)$ and $(h_1, h_2) \in W.H(s)(G(s))$.
- By definition of \mathbf{E}'_W we know $(e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s'_0, s)(\tau')$ and

$$\forall s' \supseteq [s'_0, s]. \forall G' \supseteq G. (K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G')(s_0, s')(\tau', \tau)$$

for some s'_0 and τ' .

- We must show $((h_1, h_1^F, K_1[e_1]), (h_2, h_2^F, K_2[e_2])) \in \mathbf{O}_W(\mathbf{K}'_W)(G)(s_0, s)(\tau)$.
- So suppose defined $(h_1 \uplus h_1^F)$ and defined $(h_2 \uplus h_2^F)$.
- We know $((h_1, h_1^F, e_1), (h_2, h_2^F, e_2)) \in \mathbf{O}_W(\mathbf{K}_W)(G)(s'_0, s)(\tau')$.
- Hence at least one of the following three properties holds:

- A) $h_1 \uplus h_1^F, e_1 \hookrightarrow^\omega$ and $h_2 \uplus h_2^F, e_2 \hookrightarrow^\omega$
- B) (a) $h_1 \uplus h_1^F, e_1 \hookrightarrow^* h'_1 \uplus h_1^F, v_1$ and $h_2 \uplus h_2^F, e_2 \hookrightarrow^* h'_2 \uplus h_2^F, v_2$
(b) $s' \supseteq [s'_0, s]$
(c) $(h'_1, h'_2) \in W.H(s')(G(s'))$
(d) $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}(s')(\tau')$
- C) (a) $h_1 \uplus h_1^F, e_1 \hookrightarrow^* h'_1 \uplus h_1^F, K'_1[e'_1]$ and $h_2 \uplus h_2^F, e_2 \hookrightarrow^* h'_2 \uplus h_2^F, K'_2[e'_2]$
(b) $s' \supseteq s$
(c) $(h'_1, h'_2) \in W.H(s')(G(s'))$
(d) $(e'_1, e'_2) \in \mathbf{S}(G(s'), G(s'))(\tilde{\tau})$
(e) $\forall s'' \supseteq_{\text{pub}} s'. \forall G' \supseteq G. (K'_1, K'_2) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G')(s'_0, s'')(\tilde{\tau}, \tau')$

- If (A) holds:

– Then $h_1 \uplus h_1^F, K_1[e_1] \hookrightarrow^\omega$ and $h_2 \uplus h_2^F, K_2[e_2] \hookrightarrow^\omega$, so we are done.

- If (B) holds:

– Then $h_1 \uplus h_1^F, K_1[e_1] \hookrightarrow^* h'_1 \uplus h_1^F, K_1[v_1]$ and $h_2 \uplus h_2^F, K_2[e_2] \hookrightarrow^* h'_2 \uplus h_2^F, K_2[v_2]$ from (Ba).

- Since $(K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G)(s_0, s')(\tau', \tau)$ from (Bb), we get $(K_1[v_1], K_2[v_2]) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s_0, s')(\tau)$ from (Bd).
- Using (Bc), this implies $((h'_1, h_1^F, K_1[v_1]), (h'_2, h_2^F, K_2[v_2])) \in \mathbf{O}_W(\mathbf{K}_W)(G)(s_0, s')(\tau)$.
- We show $\mathbf{O}_W(\mathbf{K}_W)(G)(s_0, s')(\tau) \subseteq \mathbf{O}_W(\mathbf{K}'_W)(G)(s_0, s')(\tau)$:
 - * It suffices to show $\mathbf{K}_W \subseteq \mathbf{K}'_W$.
 - * By definition of the latter, this follows from Lemmas 7 and 6.
- Consequently, $((h'_1, h_1^F, K_1[v_1]), (h'_2, h_2^F, K_2[v_2])) \in \mathbf{O}_W(\mathbf{K}'_W)(G)(s_0, s')(\tau)$.
- We are done by (Bb) and Lemma 4.

• If (C) holds:

- Then $h_1 \uplus h_1^F, e_1 \hookrightarrow^* h'_1 \uplus h_1^F, K_1[K'_1][e'_1]$ and $h_2 \uplus h_2^F, e_2 \hookrightarrow^* h'_2 \uplus h_2^F, K_2[K'_2][e'_2]$ from (Ca).
- Due to (Cb–d) it remains to show:

$$\forall s'' \supseteq_{\text{pub}} s'. \forall G' \supseteq G. (K_1[K'_1], K_2[K'_2]) \in \mathbf{K}'_W(G')(s_0, s'')(\tilde{\tau}, \tau)$$

- So suppose $s'' \supseteq_{\text{pub}} s'$ and $G' \supseteq G$.
- By definition of \mathbf{K}'_W it suffices to show $(K'_1, K'_2) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G')(s'_0, s'')(\tilde{\tau}, \tau')$ and

$$\forall s''' \supseteq [s'_0, s'']. \forall G'' \supseteq G'. (K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G'')(s_0, s''')(\tau', \tau).$$

- The former follows from (Ce).
- For the latter, recall that

$$\forall s' \supseteq [s'_0, s]. \forall G' \supseteq G. (K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G')(s_0, s')(\tau', \tau).$$

- Since $s'' \supseteq_{\text{pub}} s' \supseteq s$ and $G'' \supseteq G' \supseteq G$, we are done.

For (2):

- Suppose $(K_1[K'_1], K_2[K'_2]) \in \mathbf{K}'_W(G)(s_0, s)(\tau'', \tau)$ and $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\tau'')$.
- By definition of \mathbf{K}'_W we know $(K'_1, K'_2) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G)(s'_0, s)(\tau'', \tau')$ and

$$\forall s' \supseteq [s'_0, s]. \forall G' \supseteq G. (K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G')(s_0, s')(\tau', \tau)$$

for some s'_0 and τ' .

- We must show $(K_1[K'_1][v_1], K_2[K'_2][v_2]) \in \mathbf{E}'_W(G)(s_0, s)(\tau)$.
- By definition of \mathbf{E}'_W it suffices to show $(K'_1[v_1], K'_2[v_2]) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s'_0, s)(\tau')$ and

$$\forall s' \supseteq [s'_0, s]. \forall G' \supseteq G. (K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G')(s_0, s')(\tau', \tau).$$

- The latter is given and the former follows from $(K'_1, K'_2) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G)(s'_0, s)(\tau'', \tau')$ and $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\tau'')$.

□

Lemma 14. If *inhabited*($w_2 \uparrow$), *consistent*($w_2 \uparrow$), *stable*(w_2), and *defined*($w_1 \otimes w_2$), then:

$$\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim_{w_1} e_2 : \sigma \implies \Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim_{w_1 \otimes w_2} e_2 : \sigma$$

Proof.

- Using the assumptions and Lemma 12, we get $inhabited((w_1 \otimes w_2)\uparrow)$ and $consistent((w_1 \otimes w_2)\uparrow)$ as well as $stable(w_1 \otimes w_2)$.
- Now suppose $G \in \mathbf{GK}((w_1 \otimes w_2)\uparrow)$ and $\delta \in \mathbf{TyEnv}(\Delta)$, $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \mathbf{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s_{\text{rf}}, s, s'))$.
- We must show $(\gamma_1 e_1, \gamma_2 e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{(w_1 \otimes w_2)\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}}, s, s'), (s_{\text{rf}}, s, s'))(\delta\sigma)$.
- From $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim_{w_1} e_2 : \sigma$ and Lemma 8 we know:

$$(\gamma_1 e_1, \gamma_2 e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{w_1\uparrow}(G(-, -, s'))((s_{\text{rf}}, s), (s_{\text{rf}}, s))(\delta\sigma)$$

- We are done by Lemma 10. □

Lemma 15. If $\forall w. (\forall i \in \{1 \dots n\}. \Delta_i; \Gamma_i \vdash e_i \sim_w e'_i : \sigma_i) \implies \Delta; \Gamma \vdash e \sim_{w\uparrow} e' : \sigma$, then

$$(\forall i \in \{1 \dots n\}. \Delta_i; \Gamma_i \vdash e_i \sim e'_i : \sigma_i) \implies \Delta; \Gamma \vdash e \sim e' : \sigma.$$

Proof.

- Suppose $\forall w. (\forall i \in \{1 \dots n\}. \Delta_i; \Gamma_i \vdash e_i \sim_w e'_i : \sigma_i) \implies \Delta; \Gamma \vdash e \sim_{w\uparrow} e' : \sigma$ and $\forall i \in \{1 \dots n\}. \Delta_i; \Gamma_i \vdash e_i \sim e'_i : \sigma_i$.
- Given $\mathcal{N} \in \mathbf{Names}$, since \mathcal{N} is countably infinite, we can split it into \mathcal{N}_i 's such that $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_1 \uplus \dots \uplus \mathcal{N}_n$.
- Thus by the premise we have w_i 's such that for all i , $w_i.\mathbf{N} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_i$ and $\Delta_i; \Gamma_i \vdash e_i \sim_{w_i} e'_i : \sigma_i$.
- Since $w_i.\mathbf{N}$'s are disjoint, by applying Lemma 14 repeatedly, we have $\Delta_i; \Gamma_i \vdash e_i \sim_{w_1 \otimes \dots \otimes w_n} e'_i : \sigma_i$ for all i .
- By the assumption we thus have $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e \sim_{(w_1 \otimes \dots \otimes w_n)\uparrow} e' : \sigma$.
- Using Lemma 12 we get $stable(w_1 \otimes \dots \otimes w_n)$ and thus $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e \sim_{w_1 \otimes \dots \otimes w_n} e' : \sigma$.
- By definition of \otimes , we have $(w_1 \otimes \dots \otimes w_n).\mathbf{N} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_1 \uplus \dots \uplus \mathcal{N}_n = \mathcal{N}$, and thus $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e \sim e' : \sigma$. □

Lemma 16. If $\forall W. (\forall i \in \{1 \dots n\}. \Delta_i; \Gamma_i \vdash e_i \sim_W e'_i : \sigma_i) \implies \Delta; \Gamma \vdash e \sim_W e' : \sigma$, then:

$$(\forall i \in \{1 \dots n\}. \Delta_i; \Gamma_i \vdash e_i \sim e'_i : \sigma_i) \implies \Delta; \Gamma \vdash e \sim e' : \sigma$$

Proof. Immediate consequence of Lemma 15. □

Lemma 17. If $\forall G, s. \forall \delta \in \mathbf{TyEnv}(\Delta). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \mathbf{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s)). (\gamma_1 K_1, \gamma_2 K_2) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G)(s, s)(\delta\sigma', \delta\sigma)$ then

$$\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim_W e_2 : \sigma' \implies \Delta; \Gamma \vdash K_1[e_1] \sim_W K_2[e_2] : \sigma$$

Proof.

- Suppose $G \in \mathbf{GK}(W)$, $\delta \in \mathbf{TyEnv}(\Delta)$ and $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \mathbf{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s))$.
- We must show $((\gamma_1 K_1)[\gamma_1 e_1], (\gamma_2 K_2)[\gamma_2 e_2]) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s, s)(\delta\sigma)$.
- From the premise we get $(\gamma_1 e_1, \gamma_2 e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s, s)(\delta\sigma')$.

- By Lemma 13 it suffices to show

$$(\gamma_1 K_1, \gamma_2 K_2) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G')(s, s^\circ)(\delta\sigma', \delta\sigma)$$

for $s^\circ \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s$ and $G' \supseteq G$.

- By Lemma 7 it then suffices to show

$$(\gamma_1 K_1, \gamma_2 K_2) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G')(s^\circ, s^\circ)(\delta\sigma', \delta\sigma),$$

which follows from Lemma 1 and the assumption. □

Lemma 18 (External call). For any $G \in \text{GK}(W)$ and $\mathcal{R} \in W.S \rightarrow \text{VRelF}$, if

$$\text{consistent}(W) \wedge \forall s. G(s) = W.L(s)(G(s)) \cup \mathcal{R}(s),$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall(\tau, e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s_0, s). \forall(h_1, h_2) \in W.H(s)(G(s)). \\ & \forall h_1^F, h_2^F. h_1 \uplus h_1^F \text{ defined} \wedge h_2 \uplus h_2^F \text{ defined} \implies \\ & \quad (h_1 \uplus h_1^F, e_1 \hookrightarrow^\omega \wedge h_2 \uplus h_2^F, e_2 \hookrightarrow^\omega) \\ & \quad \vee (\exists h'_1, h'_2, v_1, v_2. h_1 \uplus h_1^F, e_1 \hookrightarrow^* h'_1 \uplus h_1^F, v_1 \wedge h_2 \uplus h_2^F, e_2 \hookrightarrow^* h'_2 \uplus h_2^F, v_2 \wedge \\ & \quad \exists s' \sqsupseteq [s_0, s]. (h'_1, h'_2) \in W.H(s')(G(s')) \wedge (v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s')}(\tau)) \\ & \quad \vee (\exists h'_1, h'_2, \tau', K_1, K_2, e'_1, e'_2. \\ & \quad h_1 \uplus h_1^F, e_1 \hookrightarrow^* h'_1 \uplus h_1^F, K_1[e'_1] \wedge h_2 \uplus h_2^F, e_2 \hookrightarrow^* h'_2 \uplus h_2^F, K_2[e'_2] \wedge \\ & \quad \exists s' \sqsupseteq s. (h'_1, h'_2) \in W.H(s')(G(s')) \wedge (\tau', e'_1, e'_2) \in \mathbf{S}(\mathcal{R}(s'), G(s')) \wedge \\ & \quad \forall s'' \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s'. \forall G' \supseteq G. (K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G')(s_0, s'')(\tau', \tau)) \end{aligned}$$

Proof.

- We prove the following proposition by induction on n .

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall(\tau, e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s_0, s). \forall(h_1, h_2) \in W.H(s)(G(s)). \\ & \forall h_1^F, h_2^F. h_1 \uplus h_1^F \text{ defined} \wedge h_2 \uplus h_2^F \text{ defined} \implies \\ & \quad (h_1 \uplus h_1^F, e_1 \hookrightarrow^n \wedge h_2 \uplus h_2^F, e_2 \hookrightarrow^n) \\ & \quad \vee (\exists h'_1, h'_2, v_1, v_2. h_1 \uplus h_1^F, e_1 \hookrightarrow^* h'_1 \uplus h_1^F, v_1 \wedge h_2 \uplus h_2^F, e_2 \hookrightarrow^* h'_2 \uplus h_2^F, v_2 \wedge \\ & \quad \exists s' \sqsupseteq [s_0, s]. (h'_1, h'_2) \in W.H(s')(G(s')) \wedge (v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s')}(\tau)) \tag{1} \\ & \quad \vee (\exists h'_1, h'_2, \tau', K_1, K_2, e'_1, e'_2. \\ & \quad h_1 \uplus h_1^F, e_1 \hookrightarrow^* h'_1 \uplus h_1^F, K_1[e'_1] \wedge h_2 \uplus h_2^F, e_2 \hookrightarrow^* h'_2 \uplus h_2^F, K_2[e'_2] \wedge \\ & \quad \exists s' \sqsupseteq s. (h'_1, h'_2) \in W.H(s')(G(s')) \wedge (\tau', e'_1, e'_2) \in \mathbf{S}(\mathcal{R}(s'), G(s')) \wedge \\ & \quad \forall s'' \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s'. \forall G' \supseteq G. (K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G')(s_0, s'')(\tau', \tau)) \end{aligned}$$

- When $n = 0$, the first case holds vacuously.
- When $n > 0$, we assume that the goal (1) holds for $n - 1$. Then we need to show that the goal (1) holds for n .
- By definition of $\mathbf{E}_W(G)(s_0, s)$, we have three cases.
- In the first two cases, the goal (1) is trivially satisfied.

- In the third case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \exists h'_1, h'_2, \tau', K_1, K_2, e'_1, e'_2. \\ & h_1 \uplus h_1^F, e_1 \hookrightarrow^* h'_1 \uplus h_1^F, K_1[e'_1] \wedge h_2 \uplus h_2^F, e_2 \hookrightarrow^* h'_2 \uplus h_2^F, K_2[e'_2] \wedge \\ & \exists s' \sqsupseteq s. (h'_1, h'_2) \in W.H(s')(G(s')) \wedge (\tau', e'_1, e'_2) \in \mathbf{S}(G(s'), G(s')) \wedge \\ & \forall s'' \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s'. \forall G' \supseteq G. (K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G')(s_0, s'')(\tau', \tau) \end{aligned}$$

- As $G(s') = W.L(s')(G(s')) \cup \mathcal{R}(s')$, by definition of \mathbf{S} , we have

$$(\tau', e'_1, e'_2) \in \mathbf{S}(G(s'), G(s')) = \mathbf{S}(W.L(s')(G(s')), G(s')) \cup \mathbf{S}(\mathcal{R}(s'), G(s')) .$$

- If $(\tau', e'_1, e'_2) \in \mathbf{S}(\mathcal{R}(s'), G(s'))$, then the goal (1) is satisfied.
- If $(\tau', e'_1, e'_2) \in \mathbf{S}(W.L(s')(G(s')), G(s'))$, then by *consistent*(W), we have that $h'_1 \uplus h_1^F, K_1[e'_1] \hookrightarrow^1 h'_1 \uplus h_1^F, K_1[\text{beta}(e'_1)]$ and $h'_2 \uplus h_2^F, K_2[e'_2] \hookrightarrow^1 h'_2 \uplus h_2^F, K_2[\text{beta}(e'_2)]$ and $(\tau', \text{beta}(e'_1), \text{beta}(e'_2)) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s', s')$.
- By Lemma 13, we have $(\tau, K_1[\text{beta}(e'_1)], K_2[\text{beta}(e'_2)]) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s_0, s')$.
- As $(h'_1, h'_2) \in W.H(s')(G(s'))$, by induction hypothesis we have that $h'_1 \uplus h_1^F, K_1[\text{beta}(e'_1)]$ and $h'_2 \uplus h_2^F, K_2[\text{beta}(e'_2)]$ satisfy the goal (1) for $n - 1$ w.r.t. (s_0, s') .
- As $h_1 \uplus h_1^F, e_1 \hookrightarrow^+ h'_1 \uplus h_2^F, K_1[\text{beta}(e'_1)] \wedge h_2 \uplus h_2^F, e_2 \hookrightarrow^+ h'_2 \uplus h_2^F, K_2[\text{beta}(e'_2)]$ and $s' \sqsupseteq s$, we have that $h_1 \uplus h_1^F, e_1$ and $h_2 \uplus h_2^F, e_2$ satisfy the goal (1) for n w.r.t. (s_0, s) , so we are done.
- The original goal is obtained from the sub-goal (1) by pushing the quantification over n inside the first case and then observing that $\forall n. h, e \hookrightarrow^n$ is equivalent to $h, e \hookrightarrow^\omega$.

□

Corollary 19. If

- *consistent*(W)
- $\forall s. G(s) = W.L(s)(G(s))$
- $(\tau, e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s_0, s)$
- $(h_1, h_2) \in W.H(s)(G(s))$ and $h_1 \uplus h_1^F, h_2 \uplus h_2^F$ defined

then one of the following holds:

1. $h_1 \uplus h_1^F, e_1 \hookrightarrow^\omega \wedge h_2 \uplus h_2^F, e_2 \hookrightarrow^\omega$
2. $\exists h'_1, h'_2, v_1, v_2, s'.$
 $h_1 \uplus h_1^F, e_1 \hookrightarrow^* h'_1 \uplus h_1^F, v_1 \wedge h_2 \uplus h_2^F, e_2 \hookrightarrow^* h'_2 \uplus h_2^F, v_2 \wedge$
 $s' \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} [s_0, s] \wedge (h'_1, h'_2) \in W.H(s')(G(s')) \wedge (\tau, v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s')}$

Proof. Follows from Lemma 18 for $\mathcal{R} = \lambda s.\emptyset$.

□

3.2 Compatibility

Lemma 20 (Compatibility: Var).

$$\frac{\Delta \vdash \Gamma \quad x : \sigma \in \Gamma}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash x \sim x : \sigma}$$

Proof.

- Let $w_{\text{id}} = w_{\text{single}}(\lambda R.\emptyset, \lambda R.\{(\emptyset, \emptyset)\})$ (so $w_{\text{id}} \cdot \mathbf{N} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ for any \mathcal{N}).
- We are done if we can show $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash x \sim_{w_{\text{id}}} x : \sigma$.
- It is obvious that $\text{stable}(w_{\text{id}})$ (the dependency is vacuous) and that $\text{consistent}(w_{\text{id}} \uparrow)$ (neither W_{ref} nor w_{id} relates any functions).
- $\text{inhabited}(w_{\text{id}} \uparrow)$ is witnessed by state $(\emptyset, *)$.
- Now suppose $G \in \text{GK}(w_{\text{id}} \uparrow)$ and $\delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta)$, $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s))$.
- We must show $(\gamma_1(x), \gamma_2(x)) \in \mathbf{E}_{w_{\text{id}} \uparrow}(G)(s, s)(\delta\sigma)$.
- From $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s))$ we know $(\gamma_1(x), \gamma_2(x)) \in \overline{G(s)}(\delta\sigma)$.
- We are done by Lemma 5.

□

Lemma 21.

1. If $(\tau, v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s)}$, then $(\tau', \tau \times \tau', \langle v_1, \bullet \rangle, \langle v_2, \bullet \rangle) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G)(s, s)$.
2. If $(\tau', e'_1, e'_2) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s_0, s)$, then $(\tau, \tau \times \tau', \langle \bullet, e'_1 \rangle, \langle \bullet, e'_2 \rangle) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G)(s_0, s)$.

Proof.

1.
 - Suppose $(v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\tau')$.
 - We need to show $(\langle v_1, v'_1 \rangle, \langle v_2, v'_2 \rangle) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s, s)(\tau \times \tau')$.
 - By Lemma 5 it suffices to show $(\langle v_1, v'_1 \rangle, \langle v_2, v'_2 \rangle) \in \overline{G(s)}(\tau \times \tau')$.
 - Hence it suffices to show $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\tau)$ and $(v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\tau')$, which we both already have.
2.
 - Suppose $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\tau)$.
 - We need to show $(\langle v_1, e'_1 \rangle, \langle v_2, e'_2 \rangle) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s_0, s)(\tau \times \tau')$.
 - By Lemma 13 it suffices to show

$$(\langle v_1, \bullet \rangle, \langle v_2, \bullet \rangle) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G')(s_0, s')(\tau', \tau \times \tau')$$

for $s' \sqsupseteq [s_0, s]$ and $G' \supseteq G$.

- By Lemma 7 it suffices to show $(\langle v_1, \bullet \rangle, \langle v_2, \bullet \rangle) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G')(s', s')(\tau', \tau \times \tau')$.
- By part (1) it then suffices to show $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G'(s')}(\tau)$, which follows from $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\tau)$ by Lemma 1.

□

Lemma 22 (Compatibility: Pair).

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \sigma \quad \Delta; \Gamma \vdash e'_1 \sim e'_2 : \sigma'}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \langle e_1, e'_1 \rangle \sim \langle e_2, e'_2 \rangle : \sigma \times \sigma'}$$

Proof.

- By Lemmas 16 and 17, it suffices to show $\forall G, s. \forall \delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s)),$

$$\langle \langle \bullet, \gamma_1 e'_1 \rangle, \langle \bullet, \gamma_2 e'_2 \rangle \rangle \in \mathbf{K}_W(G)(s, s)(\delta\sigma, \delta\sigma \times \delta\sigma')$$

assuming $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e'_1 \sim_W e'_2 : \sigma'$.

- By Lemma 21 it suffices to show $(\gamma_1 e'_1, \gamma_2 e'_2) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s, s)(\delta\sigma')$, which follows from the assumption. □

Lemma 23 (Compatibility: Fst (Snd analogously)).

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \sigma \times \sigma'}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1.1 \sim e_2.1 : \sigma}$$

Proof.

- By Lemmas 16 and 17, it suffices to show $\forall G, s. \forall \delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s)),$

$$\langle \bullet.1, \bullet.1 \rangle \in \mathbf{K}_W(G)(s, s)(\delta\sigma \times \delta\sigma', \delta\sigma) .$$

- Suppose $(v_1^\circ, v_2^\circ) \in \overline{G(s)}(\delta\sigma \times \delta\sigma')$.
- We need to show $(v_1^\circ.1, v_2^\circ.1) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s, s)(\delta\sigma)$.
- Suppose $(h_1, h_2) \in W.H(s)(G(s))$ as well as $\text{defined}(h_1 \uplus h_1^F)$ and $\text{defined}(h_2 \uplus h_2^F)$.
- We know $v_1^\circ = \langle v_1, v'_1 \rangle$ and $v_2^\circ = \langle v_2, v'_2 \rangle$ with $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\delta\sigma)$.
- Hence $h_1 \uplus h_1^F, v_1^\circ.1 \leftrightarrow h_1 \uplus h_1^F, v_1$ and $h_2 \uplus h_2^F, v_2^\circ.1 \leftrightarrow h_2 \uplus h_2^F, v_2$.
- Since $s \sqsupseteq [s, s]$, we are done. □

Lemma 24 (Compatibility: Inl (Inr analogously)).

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \sigma}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \text{inj}^1 e_1 \sim \text{inj}^1 e_2 : \sigma + \sigma'}$$

Proof.

- By Lemmas 16 and 17, it suffices to show $\forall G, s. \forall \delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s)),$

$$\langle \text{inj}^1 \bullet, \text{inj}^1 \bullet \rangle \in \mathbf{K}_W(G)(s, s)(\delta\sigma, \delta\sigma + \delta\sigma') .$$

- Suppose $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\delta\sigma)$.
- We need to show $(\text{inj}^1 v_1, \text{inj}^1 v_2) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s, s)(\delta\sigma + \delta\sigma')$.
- By Lemma 5 it suffices to show $(\text{inj}^1 v_1, \text{inj}^1 v_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\delta\sigma + \delta\sigma')$.
- This follows from $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\delta\sigma)$. □

Lemma 25 (Compatibility: Case).

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \sigma' + \sigma'' \quad \Delta; \Gamma, x:\sigma' \vdash e'_1 \sim e'_2 : \sigma \quad \Delta; \Gamma, x:\sigma'' \vdash e''_1 \sim e''_2 : \sigma}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \text{case } e_1 \text{ of inj}^1 x \Rightarrow e'_1 \mid \text{inj}^2 x \Rightarrow e''_1 \sim \text{case } e_2 \text{ of inj}^1 x \Rightarrow e'_2 \mid \text{inj}^2 x \Rightarrow e''_2 : \sigma}$$

Proof.

- By Lemmas 16 and 17, it suffices to show $\forall G, s. \forall \delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s)),$
 $(\text{case } \bullet \text{ of inj}^1 x \Rightarrow \gamma_1 e'_1 \mid \text{inj}^2 x \Rightarrow \gamma_1 e''_1, \text{case } \bullet \text{ of inj}^1 x \Rightarrow \gamma_2 e'_2 \mid \text{inj}^2 x \Rightarrow \gamma_2 e''_2) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G)(s, s)(\delta\sigma' + \delta\sigma'', \delta\sigma).$
 assuming $\Delta; \Gamma, x:\sigma' \vdash e'_1 \sim_W e'_2 : \sigma$ and $\Delta; \Gamma, x:\sigma'' \vdash e''_1 \sim_W e''_2 : \sigma.$
- Thus it suffices to show that $\forall (v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\delta\sigma' + \delta\sigma''),$
 $(\text{case } v_1 \text{ of inj}^1 x \Rightarrow \gamma_1 e'_1 \mid \text{inj}^2 x \Rightarrow \gamma_1 e''_1, \text{case } v_2 \text{ of inj}^1 x \Rightarrow \gamma_2 e'_2 \mid \text{inj}^2 x \Rightarrow \gamma_2 e''_2) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s, s)(\delta\sigma)$
- By definition of $\overline{G(s)}(\delta\sigma' + \delta\sigma''),$ we have v'_1, v'_2 such that either
 1. $v_1 = \text{inj}^1 v'_1 \wedge v_2 = \text{inj}^1 v'_2 \wedge (v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\delta\sigma');$ or
 2. $v_1 = \text{inj}^2 v'_1 \wedge v_2 = \text{inj}^2 v'_2 \wedge (v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\delta\sigma'').$
- We show the former case (the latter case can be done analogously).
- Let $\gamma'_1 := \gamma_1, x \mapsto v'_1$ and $\gamma'_2 := \gamma_2, x \mapsto v'_2.$
- Now suppose $(h_1, h_2) \in W.H(s)(G(s))$ and $h_1^F, h_2^F \in \text{Heap}$ with $h_1 \uplus h_1^F, h_2 \uplus h_2^F$ defined.
- We have

$$h_1 \uplus h_1^F, \text{case } v_1 \text{ of inj}^1 x \Rightarrow \gamma_1 e'_1 \mid \text{inj}^2 x \Rightarrow \gamma_1 e''_1 \hookrightarrow h_1 \uplus h_1^F, \gamma'_1 e'_1$$
 and

$$h_2 \uplus h_2^F, \text{case } v_2 \text{ of inj}^1 x \Rightarrow \gamma_2 e'_2 \mid \text{inj}^2 x \Rightarrow \gamma_2 e''_2 \hookrightarrow h_2 \uplus h_2^F, \gamma'_2 e'_2$$
- Thus by Lemma 4, it suffices to show

$$(\delta\sigma', (h_1, h_1^F, \gamma'_1 e'_1), (h_2, h_2^F, \gamma'_2 e'_2)) \in \mathbf{O}_W(\mathbf{E}_W)(G)(s, s).$$
- This follows from the assumption and $(\gamma'_1, \gamma'_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta(\Gamma, x : \sigma'), G(s)).$

□

Lemma 26 (Compatibility: Fix).

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma, f:\sigma' \rightarrow \sigma, x:\sigma' \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \sigma}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \text{fix } f(x). e_1 \sim \text{fix } f(x). e_2 : \sigma' \rightarrow \sigma}$$

Proof.

- For any \mathcal{N} , from the premise we have w such that $w.N \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ and $\Delta; \Gamma, f:\sigma' \rightarrow \sigma, x:\sigma' \vdash e_1 \sim_w e_2 : \sigma.$
- Let $w' = w_{\text{single}}(\lambda R. \{(\delta\sigma' \rightarrow \delta\sigma, \gamma_1 \text{fix } f(x). e_1, \gamma_2 \text{fix } f(x). e_2) \mid \delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta), (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, R)\}, \lambda R. \{(\emptyset, \emptyset)\})$.
- Since $(w \otimes w').N = w.N \subseteq \mathcal{N}$, it suffices to show $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \text{fix } f(x). e_1 \sim_{w \otimes w'} \text{fix } f(x). e_2 : \sigma' \rightarrow \sigma.$
- To do so, we first prove *inhabited* $((w \otimes w')\uparrow)$ and *consistent* $((w \otimes w')\uparrow)$:

- $inhabited(w'\uparrow)$ is witnessed by state $(\emptyset, *)$, so $inhabited((w \otimes w')\uparrow)$ holds by Lemma 12.
- The part of $consistent((w \otimes w')\uparrow)$ concerning universal types follows from $consistent(w\uparrow)$ by Lemma 12, because $w'.L$ doesn't relate anything at universal types.
- Regarding the part concerning arrow types, we suppose
 1. $G \in \text{GK}((w \otimes w')\uparrow)$
 2. $(v_1, v_2) \in (w \otimes w')\uparrow.L(s_{\text{rf}}, s, s')(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s, s'))(\tilde{\sigma}' \rightarrow \tilde{\sigma})$
 3. $(v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{rf}}, s, s')}(\tilde{\sigma}')$

and must show:

$$(beta(v_1 v'_1), beta(v_2 v'_2)) \in \mathbf{E}_{(w \otimes w')\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}}, s, s'), (s_{\text{rf}}, s, s'))(\tilde{\sigma})$$

- From (2) and the definition of \uparrow and \otimes we know:

$$\begin{aligned} (v_1, v_2) &\in w\uparrow.L(s_{\text{rf}}, s)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s, s'))(\tilde{\sigma}' \rightarrow \tilde{\sigma}) \vee \\ (v_1, v_2) &\in w'.L(s_{\text{rf}})(s')(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s, s'))(\tilde{\sigma}' \rightarrow \tilde{\sigma}) \end{aligned}$$

- If the former is true, the claim follows from $consistent(w\uparrow)$ with the help of Lemmas 8 and 10.
- So suppose the latter.
- Then $\tilde{\sigma}' \rightarrow \tilde{\sigma} = \delta\sigma' \rightarrow \delta\sigma$ and $v_1 = \gamma_1 \text{fix } f(x).e_1$ and $v_2 = \gamma_2 \text{fix } f(x).e_2$ for $\delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta)$ and $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s_{\text{rf}}, s, s'))$.
- Let $\gamma'_1 = \gamma_1, f \mapsto \gamma_1 \text{fix } f(x).e_1, x \mapsto v'_1$ and $\gamma'_2 = \gamma_2, f \mapsto \gamma_2 \text{fix } f(x).e_2, x \mapsto v'_2$
- It remains to show $(\gamma'_1 e_1, \gamma'_2 e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{(w \otimes w')\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}}, s, s'), (s_{\text{rf}}, s, s'))(\delta\sigma)$.
- By Lemmas 8 and 10 it suffices to show $(\gamma'_1 e_1, \gamma'_2 e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}(G(-, -, s'))((s_{\text{rf}}, s), (s_{\text{rf}}, s))(\delta\sigma)$.
- This follows from the premise if we can show $(\gamma'_1, \gamma'_2) \in \text{Env}((\delta\Gamma, f:\delta\sigma' \rightarrow \delta\sigma, x:\delta\sigma'), G(s_{\text{rf}}, s, s'))$.
- This reduces to showing $(v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{rf}}, s, s')}(\delta\sigma')$ and

$$(\gamma_1 \text{fix } f(x).e_1, \gamma_2 \text{fix } f(x).e_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{rf}}, s, s')}(\delta\sigma' \rightarrow \delta\sigma).$$

- The former is given as (3).
- For the latter, note that by definition of GK it suffices to show

$$(\gamma_1 \text{fix } f(x).e_1, \gamma_2 \text{fix } f(x).e_2) \in (w \otimes w')\uparrow.L(s_{\text{rf}}, s, s')(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s, s'))(\delta\sigma' \rightarrow \delta\sigma).$$

- By definition of \uparrow and \otimes it then suffices to show

$$(\gamma_1 \text{fix } f(x).e_1, \gamma_2 \text{fix } f(x).e_2) \in w'.L(s_{\text{rf}})(s')(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s, s'))(\delta\sigma' \rightarrow \delta\sigma).$$

- Since $\delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta)$ and $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s_{\text{rf}}, s, s'))$, this holds by construction.

- Now suppose $G \in \text{GK}((w \otimes w')\uparrow)$ and $\delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta)$, $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s_{\text{rf}}, s, s'))$.
- We must show $(\gamma_1 \text{fix } f(x).e_1, \gamma_2 \text{fix } f(x).e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{(w \otimes w')\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}}, s, s'), (s_{\text{rf}}, s, s'))(\delta\sigma' \rightarrow \delta\sigma)$.
- By Lemma 5 it suffices to show $(\gamma_1 \text{fix } f(x).e_1, \gamma_2 \text{fix } f(x).e_2) \in G(s_{\text{rf}}, s, s')(\delta\sigma' \rightarrow \delta\sigma)$.
- By definition of GK it suffices to show:

$$(\gamma_1 \text{fix } f(x).e_1, \gamma_2 \text{fix } f(x).e_2) \in (w \otimes w')\uparrow.L(s_{\text{rf}}, s, s')(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s, s'))(\delta\sigma' \rightarrow \delta\sigma)$$

- By definition of \uparrow and \otimes it suffices to show $(\gamma_1 \text{fix } f(x).e_1, \gamma_2 \text{fix } f(x).e_2) \in w'.L(s_{\text{rf}})(s')(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s, s'))(\delta\sigma' \rightarrow \delta\sigma)$.

- Since $\delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta)$, $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s_{\text{rf}}, s, s'))$, this holds by construction of w' .

□

Lemma 27.

1. If $(\tau' \rightarrow \tau, v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s)}$, then $(\tau', \tau, v_1 \bullet, v_2 \bullet) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G)(s, s)$.
2. If $(\tau', e'_1, e'_2) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s_0, s)$, then $(\tau' \rightarrow \tau, \tau, \bullet e'_1, \bullet e'_2) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G)(s_0, s)$.

Proof.

1.
 - Suppose $(v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\tau')$.
 - We need to show $(v_1 v'_1, v_2 v'_2) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s, s)(\tau)$.
 - By definition of \mathbf{E}_W it suffices to show the following:
 - (a) $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\tau' \rightarrow \tau)$
 - (b) $(v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\tau')$
 - (c) $\forall s' \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s. \forall G' \supseteq G. (\bullet, \bullet) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G')(s, s')(\tau, \tau)$
 - (a) and (b) are already given.
 - (c) follows by Lemmas 6 and 7.
2.
 - Suppose $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\tau' \rightarrow \tau)$.
 - We need to show $(v_1 e'_1, v_2 e'_2) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s_0, s)(\tau)$.
 - By Lemma 13 it suffices to show

$$(v_1 \bullet, v_2 \bullet) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G')(s_0, s')(\tau', \tau)$$

for $s' \sqsupseteq [s_0, s]$ and $G' \supseteq G$.

- By Lemma 7 it suffices to show $(v_1 \bullet, v_2 \bullet) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G')(s', s')(\tau', \tau)$.
- By part (1) it then suffices to show $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G'(s')}(\tau' \rightarrow \tau)$.
- This follows from $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\tau' \rightarrow \tau)$ by Lemma 1.

□

Lemma 28 (Compatibility: App).

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \sigma' \rightarrow \sigma \quad \Delta; \Gamma \vdash e'_1 \sim e'_2 : \sigma'}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 e'_1 \sim e_2 e'_2 : \sigma}$$

Proof.

- By Lemmas 16 and 17, it suffices to show $\forall G, s. \forall \delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s))$,

$$(\bullet \gamma_1 e'_1, \bullet \gamma_2 e'_2) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G)(s, s)(\delta\sigma' \rightarrow \delta\sigma, \delta\sigma)$$

assuming $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e'_1 \sim_W e'_2 : \sigma'$.

- By Lemma 27 it suffices to show $(\gamma_1 e'_1, \gamma_2 e'_2) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s, s)(\delta\sigma')$, which follows from the assumption.

□

Lemma 29 (Compatibility: Roll).

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \sigma[\mu\alpha. \sigma/\alpha]}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \text{roll } e_1 \sim \text{roll } e_2 : \mu\alpha. \sigma}$$

Proof.

- By Lemmas 16 and 17, it suffices to show $\forall G, s. \forall \delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s)),$
 $(\text{roll } \bullet, \text{roll } \bullet) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G)(s, s)(\delta\sigma[\mu\alpha. \delta\sigma/\alpha], \mu\alpha. \delta\sigma) .$
- Suppose $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\delta\sigma[\mu\alpha. \delta\sigma/\alpha]).$
- We need to show $(\text{roll } v_1, \text{roll } v_2) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s, s)(\mu\alpha. \delta\sigma).$
- By Lemma 5 it suffices to show $(\text{roll } v_1, \text{roll } v_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\mu\alpha. \delta\sigma).$
- This follows from $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\delta\sigma[\mu\alpha. \delta\sigma/\alpha]).$

□

Lemma 30 (Compatibility: Unroll).

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \mu\alpha. \sigma}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \text{unroll } e_1 \sim \text{unroll } e_2 : \sigma[\mu\alpha. \sigma/\alpha]}$$

Proof.

- By Lemmas 16 and 17, it suffices to show $\forall G, s. \forall \delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s)),$
 $(\text{unroll } \bullet, \text{unroll } \bullet) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G)(s, s)(\mu\alpha. \delta\sigma, \delta\sigma[\mu\alpha. \delta\sigma/\alpha]) .$
- Suppose $(v_1^\circ, v_2^\circ) \in \overline{G(s)}(\mu\alpha. \delta\sigma).$
- We need to show $(\text{unroll } v_1^\circ, \text{unroll } v_2^\circ) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s, s)(\delta\sigma[\mu\alpha. \delta\sigma/\alpha]).$
- Suppose $(h_1, h_2) \in W.H(s)(G(s))$ as well as $\text{defined}(h_1 \uplus h_1^F)$ and $\text{defined}(h_2 \uplus h_2^F).$
- We know $v_1^\circ = \text{roll } v_1$ and $v_2^\circ = \text{roll } v_2$ with $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\delta\sigma[\mu\alpha. \delta\sigma/\alpha]).$
- Hence $h_1 \uplus h_1^F, \text{unroll } v_1^\circ \hookrightarrow h_1 \uplus h_1^F, v_1$ and $h_2 \uplus h_2^F, \text{unroll } v_2^\circ \hookrightarrow h_2 \uplus h_2^F, v_2.$
- Since $s \sqsupseteq [s, s],$ we are done.

□

Lemma 31 (Compatibility: Ref).

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \sigma}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \text{ref } e_1 \sim \text{ref } e_2 : \text{ref } \sigma}$$

Proof.

- By Lemmas 15 and 17, it suffices to show $\forall G, s_{\text{rf}}, s. \forall \delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s)),$
 $(\text{ref } \bullet, \text{ref } \bullet) \in \mathbf{K}_{w\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}}, s), (s_{\text{rf}}, s))(\delta\sigma, \text{ref } \delta\sigma) .$
- Suppose $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{rf}}, s)}(\delta\sigma).$
- We need to show $(\text{ref } v_1, \text{ref } v_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}}, s), (s_{\text{rf}}, s))(\text{ref } \delta\sigma).$
- Suppose $(h_1, h_2) \in w\uparrow.H(s_{\text{rf}}, s)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s))$ as well as $\text{defined}(h_1 \uplus h_1^F)$ and $\text{defined}(h_2 \uplus h_2^F).$
- We know $h_1 \uplus h_1^F, \text{ref } v_1 \hookrightarrow h_1 \uplus [l_1 \mapsto v_1] \uplus h_1^F, l_1$ for $l_1 \notin \text{dom}(h_1 \uplus h_1^F).$
- Similarly, $h_2 \uplus h_2^F, \text{ref } v_2 \hookrightarrow h_2 \uplus [l_2 \mapsto v_2] \uplus h_2^F, l_2$ for $l_2 \notin \text{dom}(h_2 \uplus h_2^F).$

- By definition of $\mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}$ it suffices to find $(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}) \sqsupseteq [(s_{\text{rf}}, s), (s_{\text{rf}}, s)]$ such that:
 1. $(h_1 \uplus [\ell_1 \mapsto v_1], h_2 \uplus [\ell_2 \mapsto v_2]) \in w\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s})(G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}))$
 2. $(\ell_1, \ell_2) \in \overline{G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s})}(\text{ref } \delta\sigma)$
- From $(h_1, h_2) \in w\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}}, s)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s))$ we know $h_i = h'_i \uplus h''_i$ for some h'_1, h''_1, h'_2, h''_2 with $(h'_1, h'_2) \in W_{\text{ref}}.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}})(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s))$ and $(h''_1, h''_2) \in w.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}})(s)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s))$.
- Since $\ell_1 \notin \text{dom}(h'_1)$ and $\ell_2 \notin \text{dom}(h'_2)$, we therefore know that $s_{\text{rf}} \uplus \{(\delta\sigma, \ell_1, \ell_2)\}$ is well-defined and that $s_{\text{rf}} \uplus \{(\delta\sigma, \ell_1, \ell_2)\} \in W_{\text{ref}}.\mathbf{S}$.
- We choose $\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}} = s_{\text{rf}} \uplus \{(\delta\sigma, \ell_1, \ell_2)\}$.
- Note that $\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}} \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s_{\text{rf}}$ and that $(h'_1 \uplus [\ell_1 \mapsto v_1], h'_2 \uplus [\ell_2 \mapsto v_2]) \in W_{\text{ref}}.\mathbf{H}(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}})(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s))$.
- By dependent monotonicity we also get $\widetilde{s} \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s$ such that $(h''_1, h''_2) \in w.\mathbf{H}(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}})(\widetilde{s})(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s))$.
- Together this yields $(h_1 \uplus [\ell_1 \mapsto v_1], h_2 \uplus [\ell_2 \mapsto v_2]) \in w\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s})(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s))$ and then (1) by monotonicity.
- To show (2) it suffices, by definition of GK, to show $(\ell_1, \ell_2) \in w\uparrow.\mathbf{L}(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s})(G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}))(\text{ref } \delta\sigma)$.
- By definition of \uparrow and W_{ref} , this in turn reduces to showing $(\delta\sigma, \ell_1, \ell_2) \in \widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}$, which holds by construction.

□

Lemma 32 (Compatibility: Deref).

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \text{ref } \sigma}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash !e_1 \sim !e_2 : \sigma}$$

Proof.

- By Lemmas 15 and 17, it suffices to show $\forall G, s_{\text{rf}}, s. \forall \delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s)),$

$$(!\bullet, !\bullet) \in \mathbf{K}_{w\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}}, s), (s_{\text{rf}}, s))(\text{ref } \delta\sigma, \delta\sigma) .$$

- Suppose $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{rf}}, s)}(\text{ref } \delta\sigma)$.
- We need to show $(!v_1, !v_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}}, s), (s_{\text{rf}}, s))(\delta\sigma)$.
- Suppose $(h_1, h_2) \in w\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}}, s)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s))$ as well as defined $(h_1 \uplus h_1^{\text{F}})$ and defined $(h_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}})$.
- From $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{rf}}, s)}(\text{ref } \delta\sigma)$ we know by definition of GK and W_{ref} that $(\delta\sigma, v_1, v_2) \in s_{\text{rf}}$.
- From $(h_1, h_2) \in w\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}}, s)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s))$ we know $(h'_1, h'_2) \in W_{\text{ref}}.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}})(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s))$ for some $h'_1 \subseteq h_1$ and $h'_2 \subseteq h_2$.
- From the definition of W_{ref} we thus get $(h'_1(v_1), h'_2(v_2)) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{rf}}, s)}(\delta\sigma)$.
- Hence we know $h_1 \uplus h_1^{\text{F}}, !v_1 \leftrightarrow h_1 \uplus h_1^{\text{F}}, h'_1(v_1)$ and $h_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}}, !v_2 \leftrightarrow h_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}}, h'_2(v_2)$.
- By definition of $\mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}$ it suffices to find $(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}) \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} (s_{\text{rf}}, s)$ such that:
 1. $(h_1, h_2) \in w\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s})(G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}))$
 2. $(h'_1(v_1), h'_2(v_2)) \in \overline{G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s})}(\delta\sigma)$
- We choose $(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}) = (s_{\text{rf}}, s)$ and are done.

□

Lemma 33.

1. If $(\text{ref } \tau, v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{rf}}, s)}$,
then $(\tau, \text{unit}, v_1 := \bullet, v_2 := \bullet) \in \mathbf{K}_{w\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}}, s), (s_{\text{rf}}, s))$.
2. If $(\tau, e'_1, e'_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}}, s), (s_{\text{rf}}, s))$,
then $(\text{ref } \tau, \text{unit}, \bullet := e'_1, \bullet := e'_2) \in \mathbf{K}_{w\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}}, s), (s_{\text{rf}}, s))$.

Proof.

1.
 - Suppose $(v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{rf}}, s)}(\tau)$.
 - We need to show $(v_1 := v'_1, v_2 := v'_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}}, s), (s_{\text{rf}}, s))(\text{unit})$.
 - Suppose $(h_1, h_2) \in w\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}}, s)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s))$ as well as defined $(h_1 \uplus h_1^{\text{F}})$ and defined $(h_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}})$.
 - From $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{rf}}, s)}(\text{ref } \tau)$ we know by definition of GK and W_{ref} that $(\tau, v_1, v_2) \in s_{\text{rf}}$.
 - From $(h_1, h_2) \in w\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}}, s)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s))$ we know $h_i = h'_i \uplus h''_i$ for some h'_1, h''_1, h'_2, h''_2 with $(h'_1, h'_2) \in W_{\text{ref}}.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}})(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s))$ and $(h''_1, h''_2) \in w.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}})(s)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s))$.
 - From the definition of W_{ref} we thus get $v_1 \in \text{dom}(h'_1)$ and $v_2 \in \text{dom}(h'_2)$.
 - Hence $h_1 \uplus h_1^{\text{F}}, v_1 := v'_1 \hookrightarrow h_1[v_1 \mapsto v'_1] \uplus h_1^{\text{F}}, \langle \rangle$ and $h_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}}, v_2 := v'_2 \hookrightarrow h_2[v_2 \mapsto v'_2] \uplus h_2^{\text{F}}, \langle \rangle$.
 - By definition of $\mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}$ it suffices to find $(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}) \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} (s_{\text{rf}}, s)$ such that:
 - (a) $(h_1[v_1 \mapsto v'_1], h_2[v_2 \mapsto v'_2]) \in w\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s})(G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}))$
 - (b) $(\langle \rangle, \langle \rangle) \in \overline{G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s})}(\text{unit})$
 - We choose $(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}) = (s_{\text{rf}}, s)$.
 - Note that (b) is immediate.
 - Showing (a) reduces to showing $(v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s})}(\tau)$, which is given.
2.
 - Suppose $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{rf}}, s)}(\text{ref } \tau)$.
 - We need to show $(v_1 := e'_1, v_2 := e'_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}}, s), (s_{\text{rf}}, s))(\text{unit})$.
 - By Lemma 13 it suffices to show

$$(v_1 := \bullet, v_2 := \bullet) \in \mathbf{K}_{w\uparrow}(G')((s_{\text{rf}}, s), (\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}))(\tau, \text{unit})$$

for $(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}) \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} (s_{\text{rf}}, s)$ and $G' \supseteq G$.

- By Lemma 7 it suffices to show $(v_1 := \bullet, v_2 := \bullet) \in \mathbf{K}_{w\uparrow}(G')((\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}), (\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}))(\tau, \text{unit})$.
- By part (1) it then suffices to show $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G'(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s})}(\text{ref } \tau)$.
- This follows from $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{rf}}, s)}(\text{ref } \tau)$ by Lemma 1.

□

Lemma 34 (Compatibility: Assign).

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \text{ref } \sigma \quad \Delta; \Gamma \vdash e'_1 \sim e'_2 : \sigma}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 := e'_1 \sim e_2 := e'_2 : \text{unit}}$$

Proof.

- By Lemmas 15 and 17, it suffices to show $\forall G, s_{\text{rf}}, s. \forall \delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s))$,

$$(\text{ref } \delta\sigma, \text{unit}, \bullet := \gamma_1 e'_1, \bullet := \gamma_2 e'_2) \in \mathbf{K}_{w\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}}, s), (s_{\text{rf}}, s))$$

assuming $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e'_1 \sim_{w\uparrow} e'_2 : \sigma$.

- By Lemma 33 it suffices to show $(\gamma_1 e'_1, \gamma_2 e'_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}}, s), (s_{\text{rf}}, s))(\delta\sigma)$, which follows from the assumption. □

Lemma 35.

1. If $(\text{ref } \tau, v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{rf}}, s)}$,
then $(\text{ref } \tau, \text{bool}, v_1 == \bullet, v_2 == \bullet) \in \mathbf{K}_{w\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}}, s), (s_{\text{rf}}, s))$.
2. If $(\text{ref } \tau, e'_1, e'_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}}, s), (s_{\text{rf}}, s))$,
then $(\text{ref } \tau, \text{bool}, \bullet == e'_1, \bullet == e'_2) \in \mathbf{K}_{w\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}}, s), (s_{\text{rf}}, s))$.

Proof.

1.
 - Suppose $(v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{rf}}, s)}(\text{ref } \tau)$.
 - We need to show $(v_1 == v'_1, v_2 == v'_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}}, s), (s_{\text{rf}}, s))(\text{bool})$.
 - Suppose $(h_1, h_2) \in w\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}}, s)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s))$ as well as defined $(h_1 \uplus h_1^{\text{F}})$ and defined $(h_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}})$.
 - From $(v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{rf}}, s)}(\text{ref } \tau)$ we know by definition of GK and W_{ref} that $(\text{ref } \tau, v'_1, v'_2) \in s_{\text{rf}}$.
 - From $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{rf}}, s)}(\text{ref } \tau)$ we know by definition of GK and W_{ref} that $(\text{ref } \tau, v_1, v_2) \in s_{\text{rf}}$.
 - By definition of $W_{\text{ref.S}}$ this yields $v_1 = v'_1 \iff v_2 = v'_2$.
 - Hence either $h_1 \uplus h_1^{\text{F}}, v_1 == v'_1 \hookrightarrow h_1 \uplus h_1^{\text{F}}, \text{tt}$ and $h_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}}, v_2 == v'_2 \hookrightarrow h_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}}, \text{tt}$ or $h_1 \uplus h_1^{\text{F}}, v_1 == v'_1 \hookrightarrow h_1 \uplus h_1^{\text{F}}, \text{ff}$ and $h_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}}, v_2 == v'_2 \hookrightarrow h_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}}, \text{ff}$.
 - By definition of $\mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}$ it suffices to find $(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}) \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} (s_{\text{rf}}, s)$ such that:
 - (a) $(h_1, h_2) \in w\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s})(G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}))$
 - (b) $(\text{tt}, \text{tt}) \in \overline{G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s})}(\text{bool})$
 - (c) $(\text{ff}, \text{ff}) \in \overline{G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s})}(\text{bool})$
 - We choose $(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}) = (s_{\text{rf}}, s)$, and are done.
2.
 - Suppose $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{rf}}, s)}(\text{ref } \tau)$.
 - We need to show $(v_1 == e'_1, v_2 == e'_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}}, s), (s_{\text{rf}}, s))(\text{bool})$.
 - By Lemma 13 it suffices to show

$$(v_1 == \bullet, v_2 == \bullet) \in \mathbf{K}_{w\uparrow}(G')((s_{\text{rf}}, s), (\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}))(\text{ref } \tau, \text{bool})$$

for $(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}) \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} (s_{\text{rf}}, s)$ and $G' \supseteq G$.

- By Lemma 7 it suffices to show $(v_1 == \bullet, v_2 == \bullet) \in \mathbf{K}_{w\uparrow}(G')((\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}), (\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}))(\text{ref } \tau, \text{bool})$.
- By part (1) it then suffices to show $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G'(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s})}(\text{ref } \tau)$.
- This follows from $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{rf}}, s)}(\text{ref } \tau)$ by Lemma 1. □

Lemma 36 (Compatibility: Refeq).

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \text{ref } \sigma \quad \Delta; \Gamma \vdash e'_1 \sim e'_2 : \text{ref } \sigma}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 == e'_1 \sim e_2 == e'_2 : \text{bool}}$$

Proof.

- By Lemmas 15 and 17, it suffices to show $\forall G, s_{\text{rf}}, s. \forall \delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s)),$

$$(\text{ref } \delta\sigma, \text{bool}, \bullet == \gamma_1 e'_1, \bullet == \gamma_2 e'_2) \in \mathbf{K}_{w\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}}, s), (s_{\text{rf}}, s))$$

assuming $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e'_1 \sim_{w\uparrow} e'_2 : \text{ref } \sigma.$

- By Lemma 35 it suffices to show $(\gamma_1 e'_1, \gamma_2 e'_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}}, s), (s_{\text{rf}}, s))(\text{ref } \delta\sigma),$ which follows from the assumption. □

Lemma 37 (Compatibility: Gen).

$$\frac{\Delta, \alpha; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \sigma}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \Lambda. e_1 \sim \Lambda. e_2 : \forall \alpha. \sigma}$$

Proof.

- For any \mathcal{N} , from the premise we have w such that $w.\mathbf{N} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ and $\Delta, \alpha; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim_w e_2 : \sigma.$
- Let $w' = w_{\text{single}}(\lambda R. \{(\forall \alpha. \delta\sigma, \Lambda. \gamma_1 e_1, \Lambda. \gamma_2 e_2) \mid \delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta), (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, R)\}, \lambda R. \{(\emptyset, \emptyset)\}).$
- Since $(w \otimes w').\mathbf{N} = w.\mathbf{N} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$, it suffices to show $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \Lambda. e_1 \sim_{w \otimes w'} \Lambda. e_2 : \forall \alpha. \sigma.$
- To do so, we first prove *inhabited* $((w \otimes w')\uparrow)$ and *consistent* $((w \otimes w')\uparrow)$:
 - *inhabited* $(w'\uparrow)$ is witnessed by state $(\emptyset, *)$, so *inhabited* $((w \otimes w')\uparrow)$ holds by Lemma 12.
 - The part of *consistent* $((w \otimes w')\uparrow)$ concerning arrow types follows from *consistent* $(w\uparrow)$ by Lemma 12, because $w'.\mathbf{L}$ doesn't relate anything at arrow types.
 - Regarding the part concerning universal types, we suppose
 1. $G \in \text{GK}((w \otimes w')\uparrow)$
 2. $(v_1, v_2) \in (w \otimes w')\uparrow.\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{rf}}, s, s')(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s, s'))(\forall \alpha. \tilde{\sigma})$
and must show:

$$\forall \tau \in \text{CType}. (\text{beta}(v_1[]), \text{beta}(v_2[])) \in \mathbf{E}_{(w \otimes w')\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}}, s, s'), (s_{\text{rf}}, s, s'))(\tilde{\sigma}[\tau/\alpha])$$

- From (2) and the definition of \uparrow and \otimes we know:

$$(v_1, v_2) \in w\uparrow.\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{rf}}, s)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s, s'))(\forall \alpha. \tilde{\sigma}) \vee (v_1, v_2) \in w'\uparrow.\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{rf}})(s')(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s, s'))(\forall \alpha. \tilde{\sigma})$$

- If the former is true, the claims follow from *consistent* $(w\uparrow)$ with the help of Lemmas 8 and 10.
- So suppose the latter.
- Then $\forall \alpha. \tilde{\sigma} = \forall \alpha. \delta\sigma$ and $v_1 = \Lambda. \gamma_1 e_1$ and $v_2 = \Lambda. \gamma_2 e_2$ for $\delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta)$ and $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s_{\text{rf}}, s, s'))).$
- Let $\delta' := \delta, \alpha \mapsto \tau.$
- It remains to show $(\gamma_1 e_1, \gamma_2 e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{(w \otimes w')\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}}, s, s'), (s_{\text{rf}}, s, s'))(\delta'\sigma)$ since $\tilde{\sigma}[\tau/\alpha] = \delta\sigma[\tau/\alpha] = \delta'\sigma.$
- By Lemmas 8 and 10 it suffices to show $(\gamma_1 e_1, \gamma_2 e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}(G(-, -, s'))((s_{\text{rf}}, s), (s_{\text{rf}}, s))(\delta'\sigma).$
- This follows from the premise since $\delta' \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta, \alpha)$ and $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s_{\text{rf}}, s, s')) = \text{Env}(\delta'\Gamma, G(s_{\text{rf}}, s, s')).$
- Now suppose $G \in \text{GK}((w \otimes w')\uparrow)$ and $\delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta), (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s_{\text{rf}}, s, s')).$

- We must show $(\Lambda. \gamma_1 e_1, \Lambda. \gamma_2 e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{(w \otimes w') \uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}}, s, s'), (s_{\text{rf}}, s, s'))(\forall \alpha. \delta \sigma)$.
- By Lemma 5 it suffices to show $(\Lambda. \gamma_1 e_1, \Lambda. \gamma_2 e_2) \in G(s_{\text{rf}}, s, s')(\forall \alpha. \delta \sigma)$.
- By definition of GK it suffices to show:

$$(\Lambda. \gamma_1 e_1, \Lambda. \gamma_2 e_2) \in (w \otimes w') \uparrow . \mathbf{L}(s_{\text{rf}}, s, s')(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s, s'))(\forall \alpha. \delta \sigma)$$

- By definition of \uparrow and \otimes it suffices to show $(\Lambda. \gamma_1 e_1, \Lambda. \gamma_2 e_2) \in w' . \mathbf{L}(s')(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s, s'))(\forall \alpha. \delta \sigma)$.
- Since $\delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta)$, $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta \Gamma, G(s_{\text{rf}}, s, s'))$, this holds by construction of w' .

□

Lemma 38 (Compatibility: Inst).

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \forall \alpha. \sigma \quad \Delta \vdash \sigma'}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \square \sim e_2 \square : \sigma[\sigma'/\alpha]}$$

Proof.

- By Lemmas 16 and 17, it suffices to show $\forall G, s. \forall \delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta \Gamma, G(s))$,

$$(\bullet \square, \bullet \square) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G)(s, s)(\forall \alpha. \delta \sigma, \delta \sigma[\delta \sigma'/\alpha]) .$$

- Suppose $(v_1^\circ, v_2^\circ) \in \overline{G(s)}(\forall \alpha. \delta \sigma)$.
- We need to show $(v_1^\circ \square, v_2^\circ \square) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s, s)(\delta \sigma[\delta \sigma'/\alpha])$.
- Since $(v_1^\circ, v_2^\circ) \in \overline{G(s)}(\forall \alpha. \delta \sigma)$, by definition of \mathbf{E}_W , it suffices to show

$$\forall s' \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s. \forall G' \supseteq G. (\bullet, \bullet) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G')(s, s')(\delta \sigma[\delta \sigma'/\alpha], \delta \sigma[\delta \sigma'/\alpha])$$

which holds by Lemma 6.

□

Lemma 39 (Compatibility: Pack).

$$\frac{\Delta \vdash \sigma' \quad \Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \sigma[\sigma'/\alpha]}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \text{pack } e_1 \sim \text{pack } e_2 : \exists \alpha. \sigma}$$

Proof.

- By Lemmas 16 and 17, it suffices to show $\forall G, s. \forall \delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta \Gamma, G(s))$,

$$(\text{pack } \bullet, \text{pack } \bullet) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G)(s, s)(\delta \sigma[\delta \sigma'/\alpha], \exists \alpha. \delta \sigma) .$$

- Suppose $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\delta \sigma[\delta \sigma'/\alpha])$.
- We need to show $(\text{pack } v_1, \text{pack } v_2) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s, s)(\exists \alpha. \delta \sigma)$.
- By Lemma 5 it suffices to show $(\text{pack } v_1, \text{pack } v_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\exists \alpha. \delta \sigma)$.
- This follows from $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\delta \sigma[\delta \sigma'/\alpha])$.

□

Lemma 40 (Compatibility: Unpack).

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \exists \alpha. \sigma \quad \Delta, \alpha; \Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash e'_1 \sim e'_2 : \sigma' \quad \Delta \vdash \sigma'}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \text{unpack } e_1 \text{ as } x \text{ in } e'_1 \sim \text{unpack } e_2 \text{ as } x \text{ in } e'_2 : \sigma'}$$

Proof.

- By Lemmas 16 and 17, it suffices to show $\forall G, s. \forall \delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s)),$

$$(\exists \alpha. \delta\sigma, \delta\sigma', \text{unpack } \bullet \text{ as } x \text{ in } \gamma_1 e'_1, \text{unpack } \bullet \text{ as } x \text{ in } \gamma_2 e'_2) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G)(s, s)$$

assuming $\Delta, \alpha; \Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash e'_1 \sim_W e'_2 : \sigma'.$

- Thus it suffices to show that $\forall (v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\exists \alpha. \delta\sigma),$

$$(\delta\sigma', \text{unpack } v_1 \text{ as } x \text{ in } \gamma_1 e'_1, \text{unpack } v_2 \text{ as } x \text{ in } \gamma_2 e'_2) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s, s)$$

- By definition of $\overline{G(s)}(\exists \alpha. \delta\sigma),$ we have v'_1, v'_2 and $\tau \in \text{CType}$ such that

$$v_1 = \text{pack } v'_1 \wedge v_2 = \text{pack } v'_2 \wedge (v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\delta\sigma[\tau/\alpha])$$

- Let $\delta' := \delta, \alpha \mapsto \tau$ and $\gamma'_1 := \gamma_1, x \mapsto v'_1$ and $\gamma'_2 := \gamma_2, x \mapsto v'_2.$

- Now suppose $(h_1, h_2) \in W.H(s)(G(s))$ and $h_1^F, h_2^F \in \text{Heap}$ with $h_1 \uplus h_1^F, h_2 \uplus h_2^F$ defined.

- We have $h_1 \uplus h_1^F, \text{unpack } v_1 \text{ as } x \text{ in } \gamma_1 e'_1 \hookrightarrow h_1 \uplus h_1^F, \gamma'_1 e'_1$ and $h_2 \uplus h_2^F, \text{unpack } v_2 \text{ as } x \text{ in } \gamma_2 e'_2 \hookrightarrow h_2 \uplus h_2^F, \gamma'_2 e'_2$ and thus by Lemma 4, it suffices to show

$$(\delta\sigma', (h_1, h_1^F, \gamma'_1 e'_1), (h_2, h_2^F, \gamma'_2 e'_2)) \in \mathbf{O}_W(\mathbf{E}_W)(G)(s, s) .$$

- This follows from the assumption and $\delta\sigma' = \delta'\sigma', \delta' \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta, \alpha), (\gamma'_1, \gamma'_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta'(\Gamma, x : \tau), G(s)).$

□

3.3 Soundness

Theorem 41 (Fundamental Property). If $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash p : \sigma$, then $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash |p| \sim |p| : \sigma$.

Proof. By induction on the typing derivation, in each case using the appropriate compatibility lemma. \square

Lemma 42 (Weakening). If $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \sigma$ and $\Delta \subseteq \Delta' \wedge \Gamma \subseteq \Gamma'$, then $\Delta'; \Gamma' \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \sigma$.

Proof. One can easily see that the goal is a direct consequence of the definition from the following observation:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{for } i = 1, 2, \forall R. \forall \delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta'). \forall \gamma_i \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma', R). \\ &[\delta]_{\Delta} \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta) \wedge [\gamma_i]_{\text{dom}(\Gamma)} \in \text{Env}([\delta]_{\Delta}\Gamma, R) \wedge \gamma_i e_i = [\gamma_i]_{\text{dom}(\Gamma)} e_i \end{aligned}$$

where $[f]_d$ denotes the restriction of the function f on domain d . \square

Lemma 43 (Congruence). If $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \sigma$ and $\vdash C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \sigma')$, then

$$\Delta'; \Gamma' \vdash |C|[e_1] \sim |C|[e_2] : \sigma'.$$

Proof. By induction on the derivation of the context typing: in each case using the corresponding compatibility lemma. For a context containing subterms we also need Theorem 41. The rule for an empty context requires Lemma 42. \square

Lemma 44 (Adequacy). If $\cdot; \cdot \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \tau$, then

1. $\forall h_1, h_2$. neither h_1, e_1 nor h_2, e_2 gets stuck.
2. $\forall h_1, h_2$. $h_1, e_1 \hookrightarrow^{\omega} \iff h_2, e_2 \hookrightarrow^{\omega}$.

Proof.

- We know $\cdot; \cdot \vdash e_1 \sim_w e_2 : \tau$ for some w with $w.N \subseteq \text{TyNam}$.
- Hence we have *consistent*($w\uparrow$) and *inhabited*($w\uparrow$).
- Thus, using Lemma 2, there is s_0 such that $(\emptyset, \emptyset) \in w\uparrow.H(s_0)([w\uparrow](s_0))$.
- We also have $(e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}([w\uparrow])(s_0, s_0)(\tau)$.
- Since *consistent*($w\uparrow$), $(\emptyset, \emptyset) \in w\uparrow.H(s_0)([w\uparrow](s_0))$ and $\forall s$. $[w\uparrow](s) = w\uparrow.L(s)([w\uparrow](s))$, by Corollary 19 for any heaps h_1, h_2 both h_1, e_1 and h_2, e_2 diverge or both terminate without getting stuck. \square

Theorem 45 (Soundness). If $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash p_1 : \sigma$ and $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash p_2 : \sigma$, then:

$$\Delta; \Gamma \vdash |p_1| \sim |p_2| : \sigma \implies \Delta; \Gamma \vdash p_1 \sim_{\text{ctx}} p_2 : \sigma$$

Proof.

- Suppose $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash |p_1| \sim |p_2| : \sigma$ as well as $\vdash C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\cdot; \cdot; \tau)$.
- By congruence (Lemma 43), we have $\cdot; \cdot \vdash |C[|p_1|]| \sim |C[|p_2|]| : \tau$.
- By adequacy (Lemma 44), we have $h, |C[|p_1|]| \hookrightarrow^{\omega} \iff h, |C[|p_2|]| \hookrightarrow^{\omega}$ for any h , so we are done. \square

3.4 Symmetry

Definition 2. Given $R \in \text{VRel}$ (or VRelF), we define $R^{-1} \in \text{VRel}$ (or VRelF) as follows:

$$R^{-1} := \lambda\tau. R(\tau)^{-1}$$

Lemma 46. $(\overline{R})^{-1} = \overline{R^{-1}}$

Proof. Easy to check by induction. □

Lemma 47. $\mathbf{S}(R_f^{-1}, R_v^{-1}) = (\mathbf{S}(R_f, R_v))^{-1}$

Proof. Easy to check. □

Definition 3. Given $w \in \text{LWorld}$, we define $w^{-1} \in \text{LWorld}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} w^{-1}.\mathbf{N} &:= w.\mathbf{N} \\ w^{-1}.\mathbf{S} &:= w.\mathbf{S} \\ w^{-1}.\sqsubseteq &:= w.\sqsubseteq \\ w^{-1}.\sqsubseteq_{\text{pub}} &:= w.\sqsubseteq_{\text{pub}} \\ w^{-1}.\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{rf}})(s)(R) &:= (w.\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{rf}}^{-1})(s)(R^{-1}))^{-1} \\ w^{-1}.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}})(s)(R) &:= (w.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}}^{-1})(s)(R^{-1}))^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

where $s_{\text{rf}}^{-1} := \lambda\tau. s_{\text{rf}}(\tau)^{-1}$.

Lemma 48. $w^{-1}\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}}, s)(R) = (w\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}}^{-1}, s)(R^{-1}))^{-1}$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} &w^{-1}\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}}, s)(R) \\ = &W_{\text{ref}}.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}})(R) \otimes w^{-1}.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}})(s)(R) \\ = &\left((W_{\text{ref}}.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}})(R))^{-1} \otimes (w^{-1}.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}})(s)(R))^{-1} \right)^{-1} \\ = &(W_{\text{ref}}.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}}^{-1})(R^{-1}) \otimes w.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}}^{-1})(s)(R^{-1}))^{-1} \\ = &(w\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}}^{-1}, s)(R^{-1}))^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 49. $w^{-1}\uparrow.\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{rf}}, s)(R) = (w\uparrow.\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{rf}}^{-1}, s)(R^{-1}))^{-1}$

Proof. Analogous to Lemma 48. □

Definition 4. If $G \in \text{GK}(w^{-1}\uparrow)$, we define $G^{-1} := \lambda s_{\text{rf}}, s. G(s_{\text{rf}}^{-1}, s)^{-1}$.

Lemma 50. If $G \in \text{GK}(w^{-1}\uparrow)$, then $G^{-1} \in \text{GK}(w\uparrow)$.

Proof.

1. Monotonicity of G^{-1} follows immediately from monotonicity of G .
2. It remains to show $\forall s_{\text{rf}}, s. G^{-1}(s_{\text{rf}}, s) \geq_{\text{ref}}^{w\uparrow.\mathbf{N}} w\uparrow.\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{rf}}, s)(G^{-1}(s_{\text{rf}}, s))$:

$$\begin{aligned} &G^{-1}(s_{\text{rf}}, s) \\ = &G(s_{\text{rf}}^{-1}, s)^{-1} \\ \geq_{\text{ref}}^{w\uparrow.\mathbf{N}} &(w^{-1}\uparrow.\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{rf}}^{-1}, s)(G(s_{\text{rf}}^{-1}, s)))^{-1} \\ = &w\uparrow.\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{rf}}, s)(G(s_{\text{rf}}^{-1}, s)^{-1}) \\ = &w\uparrow.\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{rf}}, s)(G^{-1}(s_{\text{rf}}, s)) \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 51. If $stable(w)$, then $stable(w^{-1})$.

Proof.

- We suppose
 1. $G \in \text{GK}(w^{-1}\uparrow)$
 2. $(h_1, h_2) \in w^{-1}.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}})(s)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s))$
 3. $s'_{\text{rf}} \sqsupseteq s_{\text{rf}}$
 4. $(h_{\text{ref}}^1, h_{\text{ref}}^2) \in W_{\text{ref}}.\mathbf{H}(s'_{\text{rf}})(G(s'_{\text{rf}}, s))$
 5. $h_{\text{ref}}^1 \uplus h_1$ defined \wedge $h_{\text{ref}}^2 \uplus h_2$ defined

and must show: $\exists s' \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s. (h_1, h_2) \in w^{-1}.\mathbf{H}(s'_{\text{rf}})(s')(G(s'_{\text{rf}}, s'))$

- From (2) we know $(h_2, h_1) \in w.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}}^{-1})(s)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s)^{-1}) = w.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}}^{-1})(s)(G^{-1}(s_{\text{rf}}^{-1}, s))$.
- From (3) we know $s'^{-1}_{\text{rf}} \sqsupseteq s_{\text{rf}}^{-1}$.
- From (4) and Lemma 46 we know $(h_{\text{ref}}^2, h_{\text{ref}}^1) \in W_{\text{ref}}.\mathbf{H}(s'^{-1}_{\text{rf}})(G(s'_{\text{rf}}, s)^{-1}) = W_{\text{ref}}.\mathbf{H}(s'^{-1}_{\text{rf}})(G^{-1}(s'^{-1}_{\text{rf}}, s))$.
- Hence, using Lemma 50, the assumption yields $s' \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s$ such that

$$(h_2, h_1) \in w.\mathbf{H}(s'^{-1}_{\text{rf}})(s')(G^{-1}(s'^{-1}_{\text{rf}}, s')).$$

- This implies $(h_1, h_2) \in w^{-1}.\mathbf{H}(s'_{\text{rf}})(s')(G(s'_{\text{rf}}, s'))$.

□

Lemma 52. If $inhabited(w\uparrow)$, then $inhabited(w^{-1}\uparrow)$.

Proof.

- We suppose $G \in \text{GK}(w^{-1}\uparrow)$ and must show $\exists s_0. (\emptyset, \emptyset) \in w^{-1}\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(s_0)(G(s_0))$.
- Using the assumption and Lemma 50, we get (s_{rf}, s) such that $(\emptyset, \emptyset) \in w\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}}, s)(G^{-1}(s_{\text{rf}}, s))$.
- Lemma 48 implies $(\emptyset, \emptyset) \in w^{-1}\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}}^{-1}, s)(G(s_{\text{rf}}^{-1}, s))$.

□

Lemma 53. If $G \in \text{GK}(w^{-1}\uparrow)$, then:

$$(\mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}(G^{-1})((s_{\text{rf}0}^{-1}, s_0), (s_{\text{rf}}^{-1}, s)))^{-1} \subseteq \mathbf{E}_{w^{-1}\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}0}, s_0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s))$$

Proof. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}'_{w^{-1}\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}0}, s_0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s))(\tau) &:= (\mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}(G^{-1})((s_{\text{rf}0}^{-1}, s_0), (s_{\text{rf}}^{-1}, s))(\tau))^{-1}, \\ \mathbf{K}'_{w^{-1}\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}0}, s_0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s))(\tau', \tau) &:= (\mathbf{K}_{w\uparrow}(G^{-1})((s_{\text{rf}0}^{-1}, s_0), (s_{\text{rf}}^{-1}, s))(\tau', \tau))^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

By coinduction, it suffices to show:

1. $\forall e_2, e_1, G, s_{\text{rf}0}, s_0, s_{\text{rf}}, s, \tau.$
 $(e_2, e_1) \in \mathbf{E}'_{w^{-1}\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}0}, s_0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s))(\tau) \implies$
 $\forall (h_2, h_1) \in w^{-1}\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}}, s)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s)). \forall h_2^{\text{F}}, h_1^{\text{F}}.$
 $((h_2, h_2^{\text{F}}, e_2), (h_1, h_1^{\text{F}}, e_1)) \in \mathbf{O}_{w^{-1}\uparrow}(\mathbf{K}'_{w^{-1}\uparrow})(G)((s_{\text{rf}0}, s_0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s))(\tau)$

2. $\forall K_2, K_1, G, s_{\text{rf}0}, s_0, s_{\text{rf}}, s, \tau', \tau.$
 $(K_2, K_1) \in \mathbf{K}'_{w^{-1}\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}0}, s_0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s))(\tau', \tau) \implies$
 $\forall (v_2, v_1) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{rf}}, s)}(\tau').$
 $(K_2[v_2], K_1[v_1]) \in \mathbf{E}'_{w^{-1}\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}0}, s_0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s))(\tau)$

For (1):

- By definition of $\mathbf{E}'_{w^{-1}\uparrow}$ and Lemma 48, suppose $(e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}(G^{-1})((s_{\text{rf}}^{-1}, s_0), (s_{\text{rf}}^{-1}, s))(\tau)$ and $(h_1, h_2) \in w\uparrow.H(s_{\text{rf}}^{-1}, s)(G^{-1}(s_{\text{rf}}^{-1}, s))$.
- By definition of $\mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}$ we have $((h_1, h_1^{\text{F}}, e_1), (h_2, h_2^{\text{F}}, e_2)) \in \mathbf{O}_{w\uparrow}(\mathbf{K}_{w\uparrow})(G^{-1})((s_{\text{rf}}^{-1}, s_0), (s_{\text{rf}}^{-1}, s))(\tau)$.
- Using all the lemmas above, it is easy to check that this implies

$$((h_2, h_2^{\text{F}}, e_2), (h_1, h_1^{\text{F}}, e_1)) \in \mathbf{O}_{w^{-1}\uparrow}(\mathbf{K}'_{w^{-1}\uparrow})(G)((s_{\text{rf}0}, s_0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s))(\tau).$$

For (2):

- By definition of $\mathbf{K}'_{w^{-1}\uparrow}$ and Lemma 46, suppose $(K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}_{w\uparrow}(G^{-1})((s_{\text{rf}}^{-1}, s_0), (s_{\text{rf}}^{-1}, s))(\tau', \tau)$ and $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G^{-1}(s_{\text{rf}}^{-1}, s)}(\tau')$.
- By definition of $\mathbf{K}_{w\uparrow}$ we have $(K_1[v_1], K_2[v_2]) \in \mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}(G^{-1})((s_{\text{rf}}^{-1}, s_0), (s_{\text{rf}}^{-1}, s))(\tau)$.
- By definition of $\mathbf{E}'_{w^{-1}\uparrow}$, it implies that $(K_2[v_2], K_1[v_1]) \in \mathbf{E}'_{w^{-1}\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}0}, s_0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s))(\tau)$.

□

Lemma 54. If $\text{consistent}(w\uparrow)$, then $\text{consistent}(w^{-1}\uparrow)$.

Proof.

- We suppose $G \in \text{GK}(w^{-1}\uparrow)$ and $(e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{S}(w^{-1}\uparrow.L(s_{\text{rf}}, s)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s)), G(s_{\text{rf}}, s))(\tau)$, and must show $(\text{beta}(e_1), \text{beta}(e_2)) \in \mathbf{E}_{w^{-1}\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}}, s), (s_{\text{rf}}, s))(\tau)$.
- By Lemma 47 we know $(e_2, e_1) \in \mathbf{S}(w\uparrow.L(s_{\text{rf}}^{-1}, s)(G^{-1}(s_{\text{rf}}^{-1}, s)), G^{-1}(s_{\text{rf}}^{-1}, s))(\tau)$.
- Using the assumption and Lemma 50, we get $(\text{beta}(e_2), \text{beta}(e_1)) \in \mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}(G^{-1})((s_{\text{rf}}^{-1}, s), (s_{\text{rf}}^{-1}, s))(\tau)$.
- We are done by Lemma 53.

□

Theorem 55. If $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \sigma$, then $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_2 \sim e_1 : \sigma$.

Proof. Suppose $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim_w e_2 : \sigma$ with $\text{stable}(w)$. By Lemma 51 it suffices to show $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_2 \sim_{w^{-1}} e_1 : \sigma$. Using Lemmas 52 and 54, this in turn reduces to showing:

$$\forall G \in \text{GK}(w^{-1}\uparrow). \forall s_{\text{rf}}, s. \forall \delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s_{\text{rf}}, s)).$$

$$(\gamma_1 e_2, \gamma_2 e_1) \in \mathbf{E}_{w^{-1}\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}}, s), (s_{\text{rf}}, s))(\delta\sigma)$$

From $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s_{\text{rf}}, s))$ we have $(\gamma_2, \gamma_1) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s_{\text{rf}}, s)^{-1}) = \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G^{-1}(s_{\text{rf}}^{-1}, s))$. Lemma 50 and the assumption thus yield $(\gamma_2 e_1, \gamma_1 e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}(G^{-1})((s_{\text{rf}}^{-1}, s), (s_{\text{rf}}^{-1}, s))(\delta\sigma)$. We are done by Lemma 53. □

4 Examples

4.1 World Generator

$$\text{NLWorld} := \{ \mathcal{W} \in \text{Names} \rightarrow \text{LWorld} \mid \forall \mathcal{N}. \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}).\mathbf{N} \subseteq \mathcal{N} \}$$

Definition 5. We define $\mathbf{G} : \text{NLWorld} \rightarrow \text{NLWorld}$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G}(\mathcal{W})(\mathcal{N}).\mathbf{N} &:= \mathcal{N} \\ \mathbf{G}(\mathcal{W})(\mathcal{N}).\mathbf{S} &:= \{ (s_1, \dots, s_n) \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \wedge \forall i \in \{1 \dots n\}. s_i \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_i).\mathbf{S} \} \\ \mathbf{G}(\mathcal{W})(\mathcal{N}).\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{rf}})(s_1, \dots, s_n)(R) &:= \bigcup_{i \in \{1 \dots n\}} \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_i).\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{rf}})(s_i)(R) \\ \mathbf{G}(\mathcal{W})(\mathcal{N}).\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}})(s_1, \dots, s_n)(R) &:= \otimes_{i \in \{1 \dots n\}} \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_i).\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}})(s_i)(R) \end{aligned}$$

where $\{\mathcal{N}_i\}$ is a countably infinite splitting of \mathcal{N} *i.e.*, $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_1 \uplus \mathcal{N}_2 \uplus \mathcal{N}_3 \uplus \dots$

The transition on $\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{W})(\mathcal{N})$ is generated by the following rule.

$$\begin{aligned} (s_1, \dots, s_k, s_{k+1}) \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} (s_1, \dots, s_k) \\ (s'_1, \dots, s'_k) \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} (s_1, \dots, s_k) &\text{ if } s'_1 \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s_1 \wedge \dots \wedge s'_k \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s_k \\ (s'_1, \dots, s'_k) \sqsupseteq (s_1, \dots, s_k) &\text{ if } s'_1 \sqsupseteq s_1 \wedge \dots \wedge s'_k \sqsupseteq s_k \\ (s'_1, \dots, s'_j) \sqsupseteq (s_1, \dots, s_k) &\text{ if } (s'_1, \dots, s'_j) \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} (s_1, \dots, s_k) \end{aligned}$$

We define the following notation.

$$\begin{aligned} \{n \setminus i\} &:= \{1, \dots, i-1, i+1, \dots, n\} \\ G(\{s_k\}_{k \in \{n \setminus i\}}) &:= G(-, s_1, \dots, s_{i-1}, -, s_{i+1}, \dots, s_n) \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 56.

$$\forall G \in \text{GK}(\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{W})(\mathcal{N})\uparrow). \forall s_1 \dots s_{i-1}, s_{i+1} \dots s_n. G(\{s_k\}_{k \in \{n \setminus i\}}) \in \text{GK}(\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_i)\uparrow)$$

Proof.

- We need to show $G(\{s_k\}_{k \in \{n \setminus i\}})$ is monotone w.r.t. \sqsubseteq , which follows directly from the definition of \sqsubseteq and monotonicity of G .

- We have

$$\begin{aligned} &G(\{s_k\}_{k \in \{n \setminus i\}})(s_{\text{rf}}, s_i) \\ &= G(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1 \dots s_n) \\ &\stackrel{\geq_{\text{ref}}}{\geq} \mathbf{G}(\mathcal{W})(\mathcal{N})\uparrow.\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1 \dots s_n)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1 \dots s_n)) \\ &\supseteq \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_i)\uparrow.\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{rf}}, s_i)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1, \dots, s_n)) \\ &= \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_i)\uparrow.\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{rf}}, s_i)(G(\{s_k\}_{k \in \{n \setminus i\}})(s_{\text{rf}}, s_i)) . \end{aligned}$$

- Now it suffices to show that the latter inequality is contained in $\stackrel{\geq_{\text{ref}}}{\geq} \mathcal{N}_i$, which follows from $\forall i. \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_i) \in \text{LWorld}$ and the fact that $\mathcal{N}_1, \dots, \mathcal{N}_n$ are disjoint. □

Lemma 57. If $W = \mathbf{G}(\mathcal{W})(\mathcal{N})\uparrow$ and $\forall \mathcal{N}'. \text{stable}(\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}'))$ and $G \in \text{GK}(W)$, then:

1. $\mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_i)\uparrow}(G(\{s_k\}_{k \in \{n \setminus i\}}))((s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_i^0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s_i)) \subseteq \mathbf{E}_W(G)((s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_1 \dots s_{i-1}, s_i^0, s_{i+1} \dots s_n), (s_{\text{rf}}, s_1 \dots s_n))$
2. $\mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_i)\uparrow}(G(\{s_k\}_{k \in \{n \setminus i\}}))((s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_i^0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s_i)) \subseteq \mathbf{K}_W(G)((s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_1 \dots s_{i-1}, s_i^0, s_{i+1} \dots s_n), (s_{\text{rf}}, s_1 \dots s_n))$

Proof. We define \mathbf{E}'_W and \mathbf{K}'_W as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}'_W(G)((s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_1^0 \dots s_{n_0}^0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s_1 \dots s_n)) &= \{ (\tau, e_1, e_2) \mid \\ &\quad (\forall k \in \{n_0 \setminus i\}. s_k \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s_k^0) \wedge (\tau, e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_i)\uparrow}(G(\{s_k\}_{k \in \{n \setminus i\}}))((s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_i^0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s_i)) \} \\ \mathbf{K}'_W(G)((s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_1^0 \dots s_{n_0}^0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s_1 \dots s_n)) &= \{ (\tau', \tau, K_1, K_2) \mid \\ &\quad (\forall k \in \{n_0 \setminus i\}. s_k \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s_k^0) \wedge (\tau', \tau, K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_i)\uparrow}(G(\{s_k\}_{k \in \{n \setminus i\}}))((s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_i^0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s_i)) \} \end{aligned}$$

Then it suffices to show $\mathbf{E}'_W \subseteq \mathbf{E}_W$ and $\mathbf{K}'_W \subseteq \mathbf{K}_W$ by coinduction. Concretely, we have to show:

1. $\forall e_1, e_2, G, s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_1^0 \dots s_{n_0}^0, s_{\text{rf}}, s_1 \dots s_n, \tau.$
 $(e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}'_W(G)((s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_1^0 \dots s_{n_0}^0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s_1 \dots s_n))(\tau) \implies$
 $\forall (h_1, h_2) \in W.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1 \dots s_n)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1 \dots s_n)). \forall h_1^F, h_2^F.$
 $((h_1, h_1^F, e_1), (h_2, h_2^F, e_2)) \in \mathbf{O}_W(\mathbf{K}'_W(G)((s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_1^0 \dots s_{n_0}^0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s_1 \dots s_n))(\tau))$
2. $\forall K_1, K_2, G, s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_1^0 \dots s_{n_0}^0, s_{\text{rf}}, s_1 \dots s_n, \tau', \tau.$
 $(K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}'_W(G)((s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_1^0 \dots s_{n_0}^0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s_1 \dots s_n))(\tau', \tau) \implies$
 $\forall (v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1 \dots s_n)(\tau'). (K_1[v_1], K_2[v_2]) \in \mathbf{E}'_W(G)((s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_1^0 \dots s_{n_0}^0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s_1 \dots s_n))(\tau)$

For (1):

- Suppose $(e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}'_W(G)((s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_1^0 \dots s_{n_0}^0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s_1 \dots s_n))(\tau)$ and $(h_1, h_2) \in W.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1 \dots s_n)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1 \dots s_n)).$
- By definition of \mathbf{E}'_W we have $(\forall k \in \{n_0 \setminus i\}). s_k \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s_k^0$ and

$$(\tau, e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_i)\uparrow}(G(\{s_k\}_{k \in \{n \setminus i\}}))((s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_i^0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s_i)) .$$

- We must show $((h_1, h_1^F, e_1), (h_2, h_2^F, e_2)) \in \mathbf{O}_W(\mathbf{K}'_W(G)((s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_1^0 \dots s_{n_0}^0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s_1 \dots s_n))(\tau)).$
- So suppose defined $(h_1 \uplus h_1^F)$ and defined $(h_2 \uplus h_2^F)$.
- From $(h_1, h_2) \in W.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1 \dots s_n)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1 \dots s_n))$, we have $h_1 = h_1' \uplus h_1''$ and $h_2 = h_2' \uplus h_2''$ with $(h_1', h_2') \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_i)\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}}, s_i)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1 \dots s_n))$ and $(h_1'', h_2'') \in \otimes_{k \in \{n \setminus i\}} \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_k).\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}})(s_k)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1 \dots s_n)).$
- Hence $((h_1', h_1'' \uplus h_1^F, e_1), (h_2', h_2'' \uplus h_2^F, e_2)) \in \mathbf{O}_{\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_i)\uparrow}(\mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_i)\uparrow}(G(\{s_k\}_{k \in \{n \setminus i\}}))((s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_i^0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s_i))(\tau)).$
- Consequently at least one of the following three properties holds:

A) $h_1 \uplus h_1^F, e_1 \hookrightarrow^\omega$ and $h_2 \uplus h_2^F, e_2 \hookrightarrow^\omega$

B) (a) $h_1 \uplus h_1^F, e_1 \hookrightarrow^* \widetilde{h}_1' \uplus h_1'' \uplus h_1^F, v_1$ and $h_2 \uplus h_2^F, e_2 \hookrightarrow^* \widetilde{h}_2' \uplus h_2'' \uplus h_2^F, v_2$

(b) $(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}_i) \sqsupseteq [(s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_i^0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s_i)]$

(c) $(\widetilde{h}_1', \widetilde{h}_2') \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_i)\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}_i)(G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, s_1 \dots s_{i-1}, \widetilde{s}_i, s_{i+1} \dots s_n))$

(d) $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, s_1 \dots s_{i-1}, \widetilde{s}_i, s_{i+1} \dots s_n)(\tau)$

C) (a) $h_1 \uplus h_1^F, e_1 \hookrightarrow^* \widetilde{h}_1' \uplus h_1'' \uplus h_1^F, v_1$ and $h_2 \uplus h_2^F, e_2 \hookrightarrow^* \widetilde{h}_2' \uplus h_2'' \uplus h_2^F, v_2$

(b) $(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}_i) \sqsupseteq (s_{\text{rf}}, s_i)$

(c) $(\widetilde{h}_1', \widetilde{h}_2') \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_i)\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}_i)(G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, s_1 \dots s_{i-1}, \widetilde{s}_i, s_{i+1} \dots s_n))$

(d) $(e_1', e_2') \in \mathbf{S}(G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, s_1 \dots s_{i-1}, \widetilde{s}_i, s_{i+1} \dots s_n), G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, s_1 \dots s_{i-1}, \widetilde{s}_i, s_{i+1} \dots s_n))(\widetilde{\tau})$

(e) $\forall (\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}_i) \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} (s_{\text{rf}}, s_i). \forall G' \sqsupseteq G(\{s_k\}_{k \in \{n \setminus i\}}). (K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_i)\uparrow}(G')((s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_i^0), (\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}_i))(\widetilde{\tau}, \tau)$

- If (A) holds, then we are done.

- If (B) holds:

- For all $k \in \{n \setminus i\}$, iteratively applying $\text{stable}(\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_k))$ and using monotonicity gets us $\widetilde{s}_k \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s_k$ such that:

$$(h_1'', h_2'') \in \otimes_{k \in \{n \setminus i\}} \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_k).\mathbf{H}(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}})(\widetilde{s}_k)(G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}_1 \dots \widetilde{s}_n))$$

- Thus from (Bc), monotonicity, and the definition of W we get

$$(\widetilde{h}_1' \uplus h_1'', \widetilde{h}_2' \uplus h_2'') \in W.\mathbf{H}(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}_1 \dots \widetilde{s}_n)(G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}_1 \dots \widetilde{s}_n))$$

- From (Bb) we get $(\widetilde{s}_{\text{rf}}, \widetilde{s}_1 \dots \widetilde{s}_n) \sqsupseteq [(s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_1^0 \dots s_{n_0}^0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s_1 \dots s_n)].$

- Together with (Ba), (Bd), and monotonicity we are done.

- If (C) holds:

- For all $k \in \{n \setminus i\}$, iteratively applying $stable(\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_k))$ and using monotonicity gets us $\tilde{s}_k \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s_k$ such that:

$$(h_1'', h_2'') \in \otimes_{k \in \{n \setminus i\}} \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_k) \cdot \mathbf{H}(\widetilde{s_{\text{rf}}})(\widetilde{s}_k)(G(\widetilde{s_{\text{rf}}}, \widetilde{s}_1 \dots \widetilde{s}_n))$$

- Thus from (Cc), monotonicity, and the definition of W we get

$$(\widetilde{h}_1' \uplus h_1'', \widetilde{h}_2' \uplus h_2'') \in W \cdot \mathbf{H}(\widetilde{s_{\text{rf}}}, \widetilde{s}_1 \dots \widetilde{s}_n)(G(\widetilde{s_{\text{rf}}}, \widetilde{s}_1 \dots \widetilde{s}_n))$$

- From (Cb) we get $(\widetilde{s_{\text{rf}}}, \widetilde{s}_1 \dots \widetilde{s}_n) \sqsupseteq [(s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_1^0 \dots s_{n_0}^0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s_1 \dots s_n)]$.

- After applying monotonicity to (Cd), it remains to show:

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall (\widehat{s_{\text{rf}}}, \widehat{s}_1 \dots \widehat{s}_m) \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} (\widetilde{s_{\text{rf}}}, \widetilde{s}_1 \dots \widetilde{s}_n). \forall G' \supseteq G. \\ & (K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}'_W(G')((s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_1^0 \dots s_{n_0}^0), (\widehat{s_{\text{rf}}}, \widehat{s}_1 \dots \widehat{s}_m))(\widetilde{\tau}, \tau) \end{aligned}$$

- So suppose $(\widehat{s_{\text{rf}}}, \widehat{s}_1 \dots \widehat{s}_m) \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} (\widetilde{s_{\text{rf}}}, \widetilde{s}_1 \dots \widetilde{s}_n)$ and $G' \supseteq G$.

- By monotonicity we have $G'(\{\widehat{s}_k\}_{k \in \{m \setminus i\}}) \supseteq G(\{s_k\}_{k \in \{n \setminus i\}})$.

- From (Ce) we therefore get $(K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_i)\uparrow}(G'(\{\widehat{s}_k\}_{k \in \{m \setminus i\}}))((s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_i^0), (\widehat{s_{\text{rf}}}, \widehat{s}_i))(\widetilde{\tau}, \tau)$.

- By definition of \mathbf{K}'_W this implies $(K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}'_W(G')((s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_1^0 \dots s_{n_0}^0), (\widehat{s_{\text{rf}}}, \widehat{s}_1 \dots \widehat{s}_m))(\widetilde{\tau}, \tau)$.

For (2):

- Suppose $(K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}'_W(G)((s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_1^0 \dots s_{n_0}^0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s_1 \dots s_n))(\tau', \tau)$ and $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1 \dots s_n)}(\tau')$.

- By definition of \mathbf{K}'_W we have $(\forall k \in \{n_0 \setminus i\}. s_k \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s_k^0)$ and

$$(\tau', \tau, K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_i)\uparrow}(G(\{s_k\}_{k \in \{n \setminus i\}}))((s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_i^0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s_i)) \cdot p$$

- We must show $(\tau, K_1[v_1], K_2[v_2]) \in \mathbf{E}'_W(G)((s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_1^0 \dots s_{n_0}^0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s_1 \dots s_n))$.

- By definition of \mathbf{E}'_W it suffices to show

$$(\tau, K_1[v_1], K_2[v_2]) \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_i)\uparrow}(G(\{s_k\}_{k \in \{n \setminus i\}}))((s_{\text{rf}}^0, s_i^0), (s_{\text{rf}}, s_i)) \cdot$$

- Since $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1 \dots s_n)}(\tau')$, we are done. □

Lemma 58. Suppose $\forall \mathcal{N}. stable(\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}))$.

1. $\forall \mathcal{N}. stable(\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{W})(\mathcal{N}))$

2. If $\forall \mathcal{N}. consistent(\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N})\uparrow)$, then $\forall \mathcal{N}. consistent(\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{W})(\mathcal{N})\uparrow)$.

Proof.

- We suppose

(a) $G \in \mathbf{GK}(\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{W})(\mathcal{N})\uparrow)$

(b) $(\tau, e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{W})(\mathcal{N})\uparrow) \cdot \mathbf{L}(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1 \dots s_n)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1 \dots s_n), G(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1 \dots s_n))$

and must show $(\tau, beta(e_1), beta(e_2)) \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{W})(\mathcal{N})\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}}, s_1 \dots s_n), (s_{\text{rf}}, s_1 \dots s_n))$.

- From (b) and the definition of \mathbf{S} we know: for some i ,

$$(\tau, e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{S}(\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_i)\uparrow) \cdot \mathbf{L}(s_{\text{rf}}, s_i)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1 \dots s_n), G(s_{\text{rf}}, s_1 \dots s_n))$$

- The claim follows from $consistent(\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_i)\uparrow)$ with the help of Lemmas 56 and 57. □

Lemma 59. $inhabited(\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{W})(\mathcal{N})\uparrow)$

Proof. It is easy to check that $(\emptyset, \emptyset) \in \mathbf{G}(\mathcal{W})(\mathcal{N})\uparrow \cdot \mathbf{H}(\emptyset, ())(R)$ for any R . □

4.2 Substitutivity

Theorem 60.

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma, x:\sigma' \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \sigma \quad \Delta; \Gamma \vdash v_1 \sim v_2 : \sigma'}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1[v_1/x] \sim e_2[v_2/x] : \sigma}$$

Proof. By Lemma 16 it suffices to show:

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma, x:\sigma' \vdash e_1 \sim_W e_2 : \sigma \quad \Delta; \Gamma \vdash v_1 \sim_W v_2 : \sigma'}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1[v_1/x] \sim_W e_2[v_2/x] : \sigma}$$

This boils down to showing

$$(\delta\sigma, \gamma_1(e_1[v_1/x]), \gamma_2(e_2[v_2/x])) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s, s)$$

for $\delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta)$ and $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s))$.

- So suppose $(h_1, h_2) \in W.H(s)(G(s))$ and $h_1^F, h_2^F \in \text{Heap}$.
- We must show $(\delta\sigma, (h_1, h_1^F, \gamma_1(e_1[v_1/x])), (h_2, h_2^F, \gamma_2(e_2[v_2/x]))) \in \mathbf{O}_W(\mathbf{K}_W)(G)(s, s)$.
- From the second premise we get $(\delta\sigma', \gamma_1 v_1, \gamma_2 v_2) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s, s)$.
- As a consequence of this, there is $s' \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s$ such that:
 1. $(\delta\sigma', \gamma_1 v_1, \gamma_2 v_2) \in \overline{G(s')}$
 2. $(h_1, h_2) \in W.H(s')(G(s'))$
- Let $\gamma'_1 := \gamma_1, x \mapsto \gamma_1 v_1$ and $\gamma'_2 := \gamma_2, x \mapsto \gamma_2 v_2$.
- By monotonicity and (1) we have $\gamma' \in \text{Env}(\delta(\Gamma, x:\sigma'), G(s'))$.
- The first premise then yields $(\delta\sigma, \gamma'_1 e_1, \gamma'_2 e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s', s')$.
- By Lemma 7 we get $(\delta\sigma, \gamma'_1 e_1, \gamma'_2 e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s, s')$.
- This implies $(\delta\sigma, (h_1, h_1^F, \gamma_1(e_1[v_1/x])), (h_2, h_2^F, \gamma_2(e_2[v_2/x]))) \in \mathbf{O}_W(\mathbf{K}_W)(G)(s, s')$.
- We are done by Lemma 4 and (2).

□

4.3 Expansion

Theorem 61.

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e'_1 \sim e'_2 : \sigma \quad \forall h, \gamma. h, \gamma e_1 \hookrightarrow^* h, \gamma e'_1 \quad \forall h, \gamma. h, \gamma e_2 \hookrightarrow^* h, \gamma e'_2}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \sigma}$$

Proof. By Lemma 16 it suffices to show:

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e'_1 \sim_W e'_2 : \sigma \quad \forall h, \gamma. h, \gamma e_1 \hookrightarrow^* h, \gamma e'_1 \quad \forall h, \gamma. h, \gamma e_2 \hookrightarrow^* h, \gamma e'_2}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim_W e_2 : \sigma}$$

This boils down to showing

$$(\delta\sigma, (h_1, h_1^F, \gamma_1 e_1), (h_2, h_2^F, \gamma_2 e_2)) \in \mathbf{O}_W(\mathbf{K}_W)(G)(s, s)$$

in a context where the premise provides

$$(\delta\sigma, (h_1, h_1^F, \gamma_1 e'_1), (h_2, h_2^F, \gamma_2 e'_2)) \in \mathbf{O}_W(\mathbf{K}_W)(G)(s, s).$$

Using the side condition, we are done by Lemma 4.

□

4.4 Beta Law

Theorem 62.

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma, x:\sigma' \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \sigma \quad \Delta; \Gamma \vdash v_1 \sim v_2 : \sigma'}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash (\lambda x. e_1) v_1 \sim e_2[v_2/x] : \sigma}$$

Proof. From the premises and Theorem 60 we know $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1[v_1/x] \sim e_2[v_2/x] : \sigma$. Thus the conclusion holds by Theorem 61. \square

4.5 Awkward Example

$$\begin{aligned} \tau &:= (\text{unit} \rightarrow \text{unit}) \rightarrow \text{int} \\ v_1 &:= \lambda f. f \langle \rangle; 1 \\ e_2 &:= \text{let } x = \text{ref } 0 \text{ in} \\ &\quad \lambda f. x := 1; f \langle \rangle; !x \end{aligned}$$

We show $\cdot; \cdot \vdash v_1 \sim e_2 : \tau$. So let \mathcal{N} be given. The proof splits conceptually into three parts:

1. Constructing a local world \hat{w} with $\hat{w}.\mathbf{N} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$, $\text{stable}(\hat{w})$, and $\text{inhabited}(\hat{w}\uparrow)$.
2. Showing $\text{consistent}(\hat{w}\uparrow)$. This is the meat of the proof.
3. Showing that v_1 and e_2 are related by $\mathbf{E}_{\hat{w}\uparrow}$.

Constructing the world. First, we define $w \in \text{LWorld}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} w.\mathbf{N} &:= \emptyset \\ w.\mathbf{S} &:= \text{Loc} \times \{0, 1\} \\ w.\sqsupseteq &:= w.\sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} \\ w.\sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} &:= \{((\ell, 1), (\ell, 0)) \mid \ell \in \text{Loc}\}^* \\ w.\mathbf{L} &:= \lambda s_{\text{rf}}, (\ell, n), R. \{((\text{unit} \rightarrow \text{unit}) \rightarrow \text{int}, v_1, (\lambda f. \ell := 1; f \langle \rangle; !\ell))\} \\ w.\mathbf{H} &:= \lambda s_{\text{rf}}, (\ell, n), R. \{(\emptyset, [\ell \mapsto n])\} \end{aligned}$$

Now let $\hat{w} = \mathbf{G}(\lambda \mathcal{N}. w)(\mathcal{N})$. By definition of \mathbf{G} we have $\hat{w}.\mathbf{N} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$. Furthermore, by Lemmas 58 and 59 we know $\text{stable}(\hat{w})$ and $\text{inhabited}(\hat{w}\uparrow)$.

Showing consistency. In order to show $\text{consistent}(\hat{w}\uparrow)$, it suffices by Lemma 58 to just show $\text{consistent}(w\uparrow)$.

- So suppose $(s_{\text{rf}}, (\ell, n)) \in w\uparrow.\mathbf{S}$ and $(v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{rf}}, (\ell, n))}(\text{unit} \rightarrow \text{unit})$.
- We need to show:

$$((v'_1 \langle \rangle; 1), (\ell := 1; v'_2 \langle \rangle; !\ell)) \in \mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}}, (\ell, n)), (s_{\text{rf}}, (\ell, n)))(\text{int})$$

- So suppose $\text{defined}(h_1 \uplus h_1^{\text{F}})$ and $\text{defined}(h_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}})$ as well as

$$(h_1, h_2) \in w\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}}, (\ell, n))(G(s_{\text{rf}}, (\ell, n))).$$

- Then there are $(h_1^{\text{ref}}, h_2^{\text{ref}}) \in W_{\text{ref}}.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}})(G(s_{\text{rf}}, (\ell, n)))$ such that $h_1 = h_1^{\text{ref}}$ and $h_2 = h_2^{\text{ref}} \uplus [\ell \mapsto n]$.
- Therefore we know:

$$h_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}}, (\ell := 1; v'_2 \langle \rangle; !\ell) \leftrightarrow h_2^{\text{ref}} \uplus [\ell \mapsto 1] \uplus h_2^{\text{F}}, (v'_2 \langle \rangle; !\ell)$$

- By definition of $\mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}$ it suffices to find $s' \sqsupseteq (\ell, n)$ such that:

1. $(h_1^{\text{ref}}, h_2^{\text{ref}} \uplus [\ell \mapsto 1]) \in w\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}}, s')(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s'))$
2. $(v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{rf}}, s')}(\mathbf{unit} \rightarrow \mathbf{unit})$
3. $(\langle \rangle, \langle \rangle) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{rf}}, s')}(\mathbf{unit})$
4. $\forall (s'_{\text{rf}}, s'') \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} (s_{\text{rf}}, s'). \forall G' \supseteq G. ((\bullet; 1), (\bullet; !\ell)) \in \mathbf{K}_{w\uparrow}(G')((s_{\text{rf}}, (\ell, n)), (s'_{\text{rf}}, s''))(\mathbf{unit}, \mathbf{int})$

- We pick $s' = (\ell, 1) \sqsupseteq (\ell, n)$.
- (1) follows from monotonicity and $(\emptyset, [\ell \mapsto 1]) \in w.\mathbf{H}(s')(G(s'))$, which holds by construction.
- As (2) holds by monotonicity, and (3) is immediate, it remains to show (4).
- So suppose $(s'_{\text{rf}}, s'') \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} (s_{\text{rf}}, s')$ and $G' \supseteq G$.
- Then necessarily $s'' = s'$.
- We must show $((\langle \rangle; 1), (\langle \rangle; !\ell)) \in \mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}(G')((s_{\text{rf}}, (\ell, n)), (s'_{\text{rf}}, s''))(\mathbf{int})$.
- So suppose defined $(h'_1 \uplus h_1^{\text{F}'})$ and defined $(h'_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}'})$ as well as $(h'_1, h'_2) \in w\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(s'_{\text{rf}}, s'')(G'(s'_{\text{rf}}, s''))$.
- Then there are $h_1^{\text{ref}}, h_2^{\text{ref}}$ such that $h'_1 = h_1^{\text{ref}}$ and $h'_2 = h_2^{\text{ref}} \uplus [\ell \mapsto 1]$.
- Therefore we know:

$$h'_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}'}, (\langle \rangle; !\ell) \hookrightarrow^* h'_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}'}, 1$$

- Of course we also know:

$$h'_1 \uplus h_1^{\text{F}'}, (\langle \rangle; 1) \hookrightarrow^* h'_1 \uplus h_1^{\text{F}'}, 1$$

- Since $(s'_{\text{rf}}, s'') \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} (s_{\text{rf}}, (\ell, n))$, it suffices by definition of $\mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}$ to show $(1, 1) \in \overline{G'(s'_{\text{rf}}, s'')}(\mathbf{int})$, which is immediate.

Proving the programs related. It remains to show $(v_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{\widehat{w}\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}}, s), (s_{\text{rf}}, s))(\tau)$ for any G, s_{rf}, s .

- So suppose $(h_1, h_2) \in \widehat{w}\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}}, s)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s))$ as well as defined $(h_1 \uplus h_1^{\text{F}'})$ and defined $(h_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}'})$.
- Then there are

$$(h_1^{\text{ref}}, h_2^{\text{ref}}) \in W_{\text{ref}}.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}})(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s)) \text{ and } (\widehat{h}_1, \widehat{h}_2) \in \widehat{w}.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}})(s)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s))$$

with $h_1 = h_1^{\text{ref}} \uplus \widehat{h}_1$ and $h_2 = h_2^{\text{ref}} \uplus \widehat{h}_2$.

- Hence we have $h_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}'}, e_2 \hookrightarrow h_2^{\text{ref}} \uplus \widehat{h}_2 \uplus [\ell \mapsto 0] \uplus h_2^{\text{F}'}, v_2$, where $v_2 = \lambda f. \ell := 1; f \langle \rangle; !\ell$ and ℓ is fresh.
- We are done if we can find $s' \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s$ such that:

1. $(h_1^{\text{ref}} \uplus \widehat{h}_1, h_2^{\text{ref}} \uplus \widehat{h}_2 \uplus [\ell \mapsto 0]) \in \widehat{w}\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}}, s')(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s'))$
2. $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{rf}}, s')}(\tau)$

- We pick $s' = (s, (\ell, 0)) \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s$.
- To show (1), it suffices by monotonicity to show $(\widehat{h}_1, \widehat{h}_2 \uplus [\ell \mapsto 0]) \in \widehat{w}.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}})(s')(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s'))$.
- By monotonicity and construction of \widehat{w} it then suffices to show $(\emptyset, [\ell \mapsto 0]) \in w.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}})(\ell, 0)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s'))$, which holds by construction of w .
- To show (2) it suffices by definition of GK to show $(v_1, v_2) \in \widehat{w}\uparrow.\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{rf}}, s')(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s'))(\tau)$.
- By construction of \widehat{w} it then suffices to show $(v_1, v_2) \in w.\mathbf{L}(\ell, 0)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s'))(\tau)$, which also holds by construction of w .

4.6 Well-Bracketed State Change

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau &:= (\mathbf{unit} \rightarrow \mathbf{unit}) \rightarrow \mathbf{int} \\
v_1 &:= \lambda f. f \langle \rangle; f \langle \rangle; 1 \\
e_2 &:= \text{let } x = \text{ref } 0 \text{ in} \\
&\quad \lambda f. x := 0; f \langle \rangle; x := 1; f \langle \rangle; !x
\end{aligned}$$

We show $\cdot; \cdot \vdash v_1 \sim e_2 : \tau$. So let \mathcal{N} be given. The proof splits conceptually into three parts:

1. Constructing a local world \widehat{w} with $\widehat{w}.\mathbf{N} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$, $\text{stable}(\widehat{w})$, and $\text{inhabited}(\widehat{w}\uparrow)$.
2. Showing $\text{consistent}(\widehat{w}\uparrow)$. This is the meat of the proof.
3. Showing that v_1 and e_2 are related by $\mathbf{E}_{\widehat{w}\uparrow}$.

Constructing the world. First, we define $w \in \text{LWorld}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
w.\mathbf{N} &:= \emptyset \\
w.\mathbf{S} &:= \text{Loc} \times \{0, 1\} \\
w.\sqsupseteq &:= w.\sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} \cup \{((\ell, 0), (\ell, 1)) \mid \ell \in \text{Loc}\} \\
w.\sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} &:= \{((\ell, 1), (\ell, 0)) \mid \ell \in \text{Loc}\}^* \\
w.\mathbf{L} &:= \lambda s_{\text{rf}}, (\ell, n), R. \{((\mathbf{unit} \rightarrow \mathbf{unit}) \rightarrow \mathbf{int}, v_1, (\lambda f. \ell := 0; f \langle \rangle; \ell := 1; f \langle \rangle; !\ell))\} \\
w.\mathbf{H} &:= \lambda s_{\text{rf}}, (\ell, n), R. \{(\emptyset, [\ell \mapsto n])\}
\end{aligned}$$

Now let $\widehat{w} = \mathbf{G}(\lambda \mathcal{N}. w)(\mathcal{N})$. By definition of \mathbf{G} we have $\widehat{w}.\mathbf{N} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$. Furthermore, by Lemmas 58 and 59 we know $\text{stable}(\widehat{w})$ and $\text{inhabited}(\widehat{w}\uparrow)$.

Showing consistency. In order to show $\text{consistent}(\widehat{w}\uparrow)$, it suffices by Lemma 58 to just show $\text{consistent}(w\uparrow)$.

- So suppose $(s_{\text{rf}}, (\ell, n)) \in w\uparrow.\mathbf{S}$ and $(v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{rf}}, (\ell, n))}(\mathbf{unit} \rightarrow \mathbf{unit})$.
- We need to show:

$$((v'_1 \langle \rangle; v'_1 \langle \rangle; 1), (\ell := 0; v'_2 \langle \rangle; \ell := 1; v'_2 \langle \rangle; !\ell)) \in \mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}}, (\ell, n)), (s_{\text{rf}}, (\ell, n)))(\mathbf{int})$$

- So suppose defined($h_1 \uplus h_1^{\text{F}}$) and defined($h_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}}$) as well as

$$(h_1, h_2) \in w\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}}, (\ell, n))(G(s_{\text{rf}}, (\ell, n))).$$

- Then there are $(h_1^{\text{ref}}, h_2^{\text{ref}}) \in W_{\text{ref}}.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}})(G(s_{\text{rf}}, (\ell, n)))$ such that $h_1 = h_1^{\text{ref}}$ and $h_2 = h_2^{\text{ref}} \uplus [\ell \mapsto n]$.
- Therefore we know:

$$h_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}}, (\ell := 0; v'_2 \langle \rangle; \ell := 1; v'_2 \langle \rangle; !\ell) \hookrightarrow h_2^{\text{ref}} \uplus [\ell \mapsto 0] \uplus h_2^{\text{F}}, (v'_2 \langle \rangle; \ell := 1; v'_2 \langle \rangle; !\ell)$$

- By definition of $\mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}$ it suffices to find $s' \sqsupseteq (\ell, n)$ such that:

1. $(h_1^{\text{ref}}, h_2^{\text{ref}} \uplus [\ell \mapsto 0]) \in w\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}}, s')(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s'))$
2. $(v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{rf}}, s')}(\mathbf{unit} \rightarrow \mathbf{unit})$
3. $(\langle \rangle, \langle \rangle) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{rf}}, s')}(\mathbf{unit})$
4. $\forall (s'_{\text{rf}}, \tilde{s}') \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} (s_{\text{rf}}, s'). \forall G' \supseteq G.$
 $((\bullet; v'_1 \langle \rangle; 1), (\bullet; \ell := 1; v'_2 \langle \rangle; !\ell)) \in \mathbf{K}_{w\uparrow}(G')((s_{\text{rf}}, (\ell, n)), (s'_{\text{rf}}, \tilde{s}'))(\mathbf{unit}, \mathbf{int})$

- We pick $s' = (\ell, 0) \sqsupseteq (\ell, n)$.

- (1) follows from monotonicity and $(\emptyset, [\ell \mapsto 1]) \in w.H(s_{\text{rf}})(s')(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s'))$, which holds by construction.
- As (2) holds by monotonicity, and (3) is immediate, it remains to show (4).
- So suppose $(s'_{\text{rf}}, \tilde{s}') \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} (s_{\text{rf}}, s')$ and $G' \supseteq G$.
- We need to show:

$$((\langle \rangle; v'_1 \langle \rangle; 1), (\langle \rangle; \ell := 1; v'_2 \langle \rangle; !\ell)) \in \mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}(G')((s_{\text{rf}}, (\ell, n)), (s'_{\text{rf}}, \tilde{s}'))(\text{int})$$

- So suppose defined $(h'_1 \uplus h_1^{F'})$ and defined $(h'_2 \uplus h_2^{F'})$ as well as

$$(h'_1, h'_2) \in w\uparrow.H(s'_{\text{rf}}, \tilde{s}')(G'(s'_{\text{rf}}, \tilde{s}')).$$

- Then there are $(h_1^{\text{ref}}, h_2^{\text{ref}}) \in W_{\text{ref}}.H(s'_{\text{rf}})(G'(s'_{\text{rf}}, \tilde{s}'))$ such that $h'_1 = h_1^{\text{ref}}$ and $h'_2 = h_2^{\text{ref}} \uplus [\ell \mapsto n']$.
- Therefore we know:

$$\begin{aligned} h'_1 \uplus h_1^{F'}, (\langle \rangle; v'_1 \langle \rangle; 1) &\hookrightarrow h_1^{\text{ref}} \uplus h_1^{F'}, (v'_1 \langle \rangle; 1) \\ h'_2 \uplus h_2^{F'}, (\langle \rangle; \ell := 1; v'_2 \langle \rangle; !\ell) &\hookrightarrow h_2^{\text{ref}} \uplus [\ell \mapsto 1] \uplus h_2^{F'}, (v'_2 \langle \rangle; !\ell) \end{aligned}$$

- By definition of $\mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}$ it suffices to find $s'' \sqsupseteq \tilde{s}'$ such that:

1. $(h_1^{\text{ref}}, h_2^{\text{ref}} \uplus [\ell \mapsto 1]) \in w\uparrow.H(s'_{\text{rf}}, s'')(G'(s'_{\text{rf}}, s''))$
2. $(v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G'(s'_{\text{rf}}, s'')(\text{unit} \rightarrow \text{unit})}$
3. $(\langle \rangle, \langle \rangle) \in \overline{G'(s'_{\text{rf}}, s'')(\text{unit})}$
4. $\forall (s''_{\text{rf}}, \tilde{s}'') \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} (s'_{\text{rf}}, s''). \forall G'' \supseteq G'. ((\bullet; 1), (\bullet; !\ell)) \in \mathbf{K}_{w\uparrow}(G'')((s_{\text{rf}}, (\ell, n)), (s''_{\text{rf}}, \tilde{s}''))(\text{unit}, \text{int})$

- We pick $s'' = (\ell, 1) \sqsupseteq \tilde{s}'$.
- (1) follows from monotonicity and $(\emptyset, [\ell \mapsto 1]) \in w.H(s_{\text{rf}})(s')(G'(s'))$, which holds by construction.
- As (2) holds by monotonicity, and (3) is immediate, it remains to show (4).
- So suppose $(s''_{\text{rf}}, \tilde{s}'') \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} (s'_{\text{rf}}, s'')$ and $G'' \supseteq G'$.

- Then necessarily $\tilde{s}'' = s''$.
- We must show $((\langle \rangle; 1), (\langle \rangle; !\ell)) \in \mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}(G'')((s_{\text{rf}}, (\ell, n)), (s''_{\text{rf}}, s''))(\text{int})$.
- So suppose defined $(h''_1 \uplus h_1^{F''})$ and defined $(h''_2 \uplus h_2^{F''})$ as well as $(h''_1, h''_2) \in w\uparrow.H(s''_{\text{rf}}, s'')(G''(s''_{\text{rf}}, s''))$.
- Then there are $h_1^{\text{ref}}, h_2^{\text{ref}}$ such that $h''_1 = h_1^{\text{ref}}$ and $h''_2 = h_2^{\text{ref}} \uplus [\ell \mapsto 1]$.

- Therefore we know:

$$h''_2 \uplus h_2^{F''}, (\langle \rangle; !\ell) \hookrightarrow^* h_2^{\text{ref}} \uplus h_2^{F''}, 1$$

- Of course we also know:

$$h''_1 \uplus h_1^{F''}, (\langle \rangle; 1) \hookrightarrow^* h_1^{\text{ref}} \uplus h_1^{F''}, 1$$

- Since $(s''_{\text{rf}}, s'') \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} (s_{\text{rf}}, (\ell, n))$, it suffices by definition of $\mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}$ to show $(1, 1) \in \overline{G''(s''_{\text{rf}}, s'')(\text{int})}$, which is immediate.

Proving the programs related. It remains to show $(v_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{\widehat{w}\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}}, s), (s_{\text{rf}}, s))(\tau)$ for any G, s_{rf}, s .

- So suppose $(h_1, h_2) \in \widehat{w}\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}}, s)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s))$ as well as $\text{defined}(h_1 \uplus h_1^{\text{F}})$ and $\text{defined}(h_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}})$.
- Then there are

$$(h_1^{\text{ref}}, h_2^{\text{ref}}) \in W_{\text{ref}}.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}})(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s)) \text{ and } (\widehat{h}_1, \widehat{h}_2) \in \widehat{w}.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}})(s)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s))$$

with $h_1 = h_1^{\text{ref}} \uplus \widehat{h}_1$ and $h_2 = h_2^{\text{ref}} \uplus \widehat{h}_2$.

- Hence we have $h_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}}, e_2 \hookrightarrow h_2^{\text{ref}} \uplus \widehat{h}_2 \uplus [\ell \mapsto 0] \uplus h_2^{\text{F}}, v_2$, where $v_2 = \lambda f. \ell := 0; f \langle \rangle; \ell := 1; f \langle \rangle; !\ell$ and ℓ is fresh.
- We are done if we can find $s' \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s$ such that:
 1. $(h_1^{\text{ref}} \uplus \widehat{h}_1, h_2^{\text{ref}} \uplus \widehat{h}_2 \uplus [\ell \mapsto 0]) \in \widehat{w}\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}}, s')(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s'))$
 2. $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}(s_{\text{rf}}, s')(\tau)$
- We pick $s' = (s, (\ell, 0)) \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s$.
- To show (1), it suffices by monotonicity to show $(\widehat{h}_1, \widehat{h}_2 \uplus [\ell \mapsto 0]) \in \widehat{w}.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}})(s')(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s'))$.
- By monotonicity and construction of \widehat{w} it then suffices to show $(\emptyset, [\ell \mapsto 0]) \in w.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}})(\ell, 0)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s'))$, which holds by construction of w .
- To show (2) it suffices by definition of GK to show $(v_1, v_2) \in \widehat{w}\uparrow.\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{rf}}, s')(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s'))(\tau)$.
- By construction of \widehat{w} it then suffices to show $(v_1, v_2) \in w.\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{rf}})(\ell, 0)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s'))(\tau)$, which also holds by construction of w .

4.7 Twin Abstraction

$$\begin{aligned} \tau &:= \exists \alpha. \exists \beta. (\text{unit} \rightarrow \alpha) \times (\text{unit} \rightarrow \beta) \times (\alpha \times \beta \rightarrow \text{bool}) \\ e_1 &:= \text{let } x = \text{ref } 0 \text{ in pack } \langle \text{int}, \text{pack } \langle \text{int}, \lambda_. x := !x + 1; !x, \\ &\quad \lambda_. x := !x + 1; !x, \\ &\quad \lambda p. p.1 = p.2 \rangle \rangle \\ e_2 &:= \text{let } x = \text{ref } 0 \text{ in pack } \langle \text{int}, \text{pack } \langle \text{int}, \lambda_. x := !x + 1; !x, \\ &\quad \lambda_. x := !x + 1; !x, \\ &\quad \lambda p. \text{ff} \rangle \rangle \end{aligned}$$

We show $;\cdot \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \tau$. So let \mathcal{N} be given. The proof splits conceptually into three parts:

1. Constructing a world w with $w.\mathbf{N} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$, $\text{stable}(w)$, and $\text{inhabited}(w\uparrow)$.
2. Showing $\text{consistent}(w\uparrow)$. This is the meat of the proof.
3. Showing that e_1 and e_2 are related by $\mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}$.

Constructing the world. First, we define $\mathcal{W} \in \text{NLWorld}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}').\mathbf{N} &:= \{\mathcal{N}'(1), \mathcal{N}'(2)\} \\ \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}').\mathbf{S} &:= \{(\ell_1, \ell_2, S_1, S_2) \in \text{Loc} \times \text{Loc} \times \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{N}_{>0}) \times \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{N}_{>0}) \mid S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset\} \\ \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}').\sqsupseteq &:= \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}').\sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} \\ \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}').\sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} &:= \{((\ell'_1, \ell'_2, S'_1, S'_2), (\ell_1, \ell_2, S_1, S_2) \mid \ell_1 = \ell'_1 \wedge \ell_2 = \ell'_2 \wedge S_1 \subseteq S'_1 \wedge S_2 \subseteq S'_2\} \\ \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}').\mathbf{L} &:= \lambda(\ell_1, \ell_2, S_1, S_2), R. \{(\mathcal{N}'(1), n, n) \mid n \in S_1\} \uplus \{(\mathcal{N}'(2), n, n) \mid n \in S_2\} \uplus \\ &\quad \{((\text{unit} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}'(1)), (\lambda_. \ell_1 := !\ell_1 + 1; !\ell_1), (\lambda_. \ell_1 := !\ell_1 + 1; !\ell_1))\} \uplus \\ &\quad \{((\text{unit} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}'(2)), (\lambda_. \ell_2 := !\ell_2 + 1; !\ell_2), (\lambda_. \ell_2 := !\ell_2 + 1; !\ell_2))\} \uplus \\ &\quad \{((\mathcal{N}'(1) \times \mathcal{N}'(2) \rightarrow \text{bool}), (\lambda p. p.1 = p.2), (\lambda p. \text{ff}))\} \\ \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}').\mathbf{H} &:= \lambda(\ell_1, \ell_2, S_1, S_2), R. \{([\ell_1 \mapsto n], [\ell_2 \mapsto n]) \mid n = \max(\{0\} \uplus S_1 \uplus S_2)\} \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{N}'(1)$ and $\mathcal{N}'(2)$ denote two distinct elements of \mathcal{N}' .

Now let $w = \mathbf{G}(\mathcal{W})(\mathcal{N})$. By definition of \mathbf{G} we have $w.N \subseteq \mathcal{N}$. Furthermore, by Lemmas 58 and 59 we know $stable(w)$ and $inhabited(w\uparrow)$.

Showing consistency. In order to show $consistent(w\uparrow)$, it suffices by Lemma 58 to just show $consistent(\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}')\uparrow)$ for any \mathcal{N}' . This decomposes into the following subgoals (for any $G, s_{\text{rf}}, s = (\ell_1, \ell_2, S_1, S_2)$):

1. $((\ell_1 := !\ell_1 + 1; !\ell_1), (\ell_1 := !\ell_1 + 1; !\ell_1)) \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}')\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}}, s), (s_{\text{rf}}, s))(\mathcal{N}'(1))$
2. $((\ell_2 := !\ell_2 + 1; !\ell_2), (\ell_2 := !\ell_2 + 1; !\ell_2)) \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}')\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}}, s), (s_{\text{rf}}, s))(\mathcal{N}'(2))$
3. $\forall (v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{rf}}, s)}(\mathcal{N}'(1) \times \mathcal{N}'(2)). (v'_1.1 = v'_1.2, \text{ff}) \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}')\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}}, s), (s_{\text{rf}}, s))(\text{bool})$

For (1) (part (2) is analogously):

- Suppose $(h_1, h_2) \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}')\uparrow.H(s_{\text{rf}}, s)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s))$ as well as $\text{defined}(h_1 \uplus h_1^{\text{F}})$ and $\text{defined}(h_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}})$.
- Then there are

$$(h_1^{\text{ref}}, h_2^{\text{ref}}) \in W_{\text{ref}}.H(s_{\text{rf}})(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s)) \text{ and } (h_1^{\circ}, h_2^{\circ}) \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}').H(s_{\text{rf}})(s)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s))$$

with $h_1 = h_1^{\text{ref}} \uplus h_1^{\circ}$ and $h_2 = h_2^{\text{ref}} \uplus h_2^{\circ}$.

- By construction of $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}')$ we know $h_1^{\circ} = [\ell_1 \mapsto n]$ and $h_2^{\circ} = [\ell_2 \mapsto n]$ where $n = \max(\{0\} \uplus S_1 \uplus S_2)$.

- Hence $h_1 \uplus h_1^{\text{F}}, (\ell_1 := !\ell_1 + 1; !\ell_1) \hookrightarrow^* h_1^{\text{ref}} \uplus [\ell_1 \mapsto n + 1] \uplus h_1^{\text{F}}, n + 1$
and $h_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}}, (\ell_2 := !\ell_2 + 1; !\ell_2) \hookrightarrow^* h_2^{\text{ref}} \uplus [\ell_2 \mapsto n + 1] \uplus h_2^{\text{F}}, n + 1$.

- By definition of $\mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}')\uparrow}$ it suffices to find $s' \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s$ such that:

- a) $(h_1^{\text{ref}} \uplus [\ell_1 \mapsto n + 1], h_1^{\text{ref}} \uplus [\ell_2 \mapsto n + 1]) \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}')\uparrow.H(s_{\text{rf}}, s')(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s'))$
- b) $(n + 1, n + 1) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{rf}}, s')}(\mathcal{N}'(1))$

- We pick $s' = (\ell_1, \ell_2, S_1 \uplus \{n + 1\}, S_2)$.

- Note that $n + 1 \notin S_1 \cup S_2$ and thus $(S_1 \uplus \{n + 1\}) \cap S_2 = \emptyset$, so s' is well-formed.

- Since $n + 1 = \max(\{0\} \uplus S_1 \uplus \{n + 1\} \uplus S_2)$, (a) follows from $([\ell_1 \mapsto n + 1], [\ell_2 \mapsto n + 1]) \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}').H(s_{\text{rf}})(s')(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s'))$ by construction of $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}')$.

- To show (b) it suffices, by definition of GK, to show

$$(n + 1, n + 1) \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}')\uparrow.L(s_{\text{rf}}, s')(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s'))(\mathcal{N}'(1)).$$

- This follows from

$$(n + 1, n + 1) \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}').L(s_{\text{rf}})(s')(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s'))(\mathcal{N}'(1)),$$

which in turns holds by construction of $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}')$.

For (3):

- Suppose $(v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{rf}}, s)}(\mathcal{N}'(1) \times \mathcal{N}'(2))$.
- Then $v'_1 = \langle \widehat{v}_1, \widetilde{v}_1 \rangle$ and $v'_2 = \langle \widehat{v}_2, \widetilde{v}_2 \rangle$ with $(\widehat{v}_1, \widehat{v}_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{rf}}, s)}(\mathcal{N}'(1))$ and $(\widetilde{v}_1, \widetilde{v}_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{rf}}, s)}(\mathcal{N}'(2))$.
- By definition of GK and construction of $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}')$ we know $\widehat{v}_1 = \widehat{v}_2 \in S_1$ and $\widetilde{v}_1 = \widetilde{v}_2 \in S_2$.
- Now suppose $(h_1, h_2) \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}')\uparrow.H(s_{\text{rf}}, s)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s))$ as well as $\text{defined}(h_1 \uplus h_1^{\text{F}})$ and $\text{defined}(h_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}})$.
- Since $S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$, we get $h_1 \uplus h_1^{\text{F}}, v'_1.1 = v'_1.2 \hookrightarrow^* h_1 \uplus h_1^{\text{F}}, \text{ff}$ and $h_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}}, \text{ff} \hookrightarrow^* h_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}}, \text{ff}$.
- Since $(\text{ff}, \text{ff}) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{rf}}, s)}(\text{bool})$, we are done.

Proving the programs related. It remains to show $(e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{rf}}, s), (s_{\text{rf}}, s))(\tau)$ for any G, s_{rf}, s .

- So suppose $(h_1, h_2) \in w\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}}, s)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s))$ as well as defined $(h_1 \uplus h_1^{\text{F}})$ and defined $(h_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}})$.
- Then there are

$$(h_1^{\text{ref}}, h_2^{\text{ref}}) \in W_{\text{ref}}.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}})(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s)) \text{ and } (\widehat{h}_1, \widehat{h}_2) \in w.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}})(s)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s))$$

with $h_1 = h_1^{\text{ref}} \uplus \widehat{h}_1$ and $h_2 = h_2^{\text{ref}} \uplus \widehat{h}_2$.

- Hence we have

$$h_1 \uplus h_1^{\text{F}}, e_1 \hookrightarrow h_1^{\text{ref}} \uplus \widehat{h}_1 \uplus [\ell_1 \mapsto 0] \uplus h_1^{\text{F}}, \text{pack pack } v_1 \text{ and } h_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}}, e_2 \hookrightarrow h_2^{\text{ref}} \uplus \widehat{h}_2 \uplus [\ell_2 \mapsto 0] \uplus h_2^{\text{F}}, \text{pack pack } v_2$$

where ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 are fresh and v_1, v_2 are what you think they are.

- We are done if we can find $s' \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s$ such that:

1. $(h_1^{\text{ref}} \uplus \widehat{h}_1 \uplus [\ell_1 \mapsto 0], h_2^{\text{ref}} \uplus \widehat{h}_2 \uplus [\ell_2 \mapsto 0]) \in w\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}}, s')(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s'))$
2. $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{rf}}, s')}(\tau)$

- We pick $s' = (s, (\ell_1, \ell_2, \emptyset, \emptyset)) \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s$.

- To show (1), it suffices by monotonicity to show $(\widehat{h}_1 \uplus [\ell_1 \mapsto 0], \widehat{h}_2 \uplus [\ell_2 \mapsto 0]) \in w.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}})(s')(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s'))$.

- By monotonicity and construction of w it then suffices to show $([\ell_1 \mapsto 0], [\ell_2 \mapsto 0]) \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}').\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{rf}})(\ell_1, \ell_2, \emptyset, \emptyset)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s'))$ (for any \mathcal{N}'), which holds by construction of \mathcal{W} .

- To show (2), we pick the witness types $\mathcal{N}_n(1)$ and $\mathcal{N}_n(2)$, where $n := |s'|$.

- It thus suffices to show:

$$(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{rf}}, s')}((\text{unit} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_n(1)) \times (\text{unit} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_n(2)) \times (\mathcal{N}_n(1) \times \mathcal{N}_n(2) \rightarrow \text{bool}))$$

- This in turn reduces to showing the following:

- $((\text{unit} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_n(1)), (\lambda_. \ell_1 := !\ell_1 + 1; !\ell_1), (\lambda_. \ell_1 := !\ell_1 + 1; !\ell_1)) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{rf}}, s')}$
- $((\text{unit} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_n(2)), (\lambda_. \ell_2 := !\ell_2 + 1; !\ell_2), (\lambda_. \ell_2 := !\ell_2 + 1; !\ell_2)) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{rf}}, s')}$
- $(\mathcal{N}_n(1) \times \mathcal{N}_n(2) \rightarrow \text{bool}), (\lambda p. p.1 = p.2), (\lambda p. \text{ff})) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{rf}}, s')}$

- By definition of GK and construction of w , it suffices to show that these triples are in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_n).\mathbf{L}(\ell_1, \ell_2, \emptyset, \emptyset)(G(s_{\text{rf}}, s'))$.

- This is true by construction of \mathcal{W} .

Part II

A Relational Model for a Pure Sub-Language

5 Language

We consider the sub-language λ^μ of F^μ including only the following types.

$$\sigma \in \text{Type} ::= \alpha \mid \text{unit} \mid \text{int} \mid \text{bool} \mid \sigma_1 \times \sigma_2 \mid \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 \mid \mu\alpha. \sigma \mid \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2$$

6 Model

$$\begin{aligned} \text{CType} &:= \{ \tau \in \text{Type} \mid \text{ftv}(\tau) = \emptyset \} \\ \text{CTypeF} &:= \{ (\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2) \in \text{CType} \} \\ \text{VRelF} &:= \text{CTypeF} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\text{CVal} \times \text{CVal}) \\ \text{VRel} &:= \text{CType} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\text{CVal} \times \text{CVal}) \\ \text{ERel} &:= \text{CType} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\text{CExp} \times \text{CExp}) \\ \text{KRel} &:= \text{CType} \times \text{CType} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\text{CCont} \times \text{CCont}) \end{aligned}$$

We define local knowledges as follows.

$$\text{LK} := \{ L \in \text{VRelF} \rightarrow \text{VRelF} \mid L \text{ is monotone w.r.t. } \subseteq \wedge \forall R. \forall (f_1, f_2) \in L(R)(\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2). f_1, f_2 \in \text{FunVal} \}$$

Note that in the absence of state, the knowledge does not need to change over time.

We define the closure $\overline{R} \in \text{VRel}$ for $R \in \text{VRelF}$ as the least fixpoint of the following equation.

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{R}(\tau_{\text{base}}) &:= \text{ID}_{\tau_{\text{base}}} \\ \overline{R}(\tau_1 \times \tau_2) &:= \{ ((v_1, v'_1), (v_2, v'_2)) \mid (v_1, v_2) \in \overline{R}(\tau_1) \wedge (v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{R}(\tau_2) \} \\ \overline{R}(\tau_1 + \tau_2) &:= \{ (\text{inj}^1 v_1, \text{inj}^1 v_2) \mid (v_1, v_2) \in \overline{R}(\tau_1) \} \cup \{ (\text{inj}^2 v_1, \text{inj}^2 v_2) \mid (v_1, v_2) \in \overline{R}(\tau_2) \} \\ \overline{R}(\mu\alpha. \tau) &:= \{ (\text{roll } v_1, \text{roll } v_2) \mid (v_1, v_2) \in \overline{R}(\tau[\mu\alpha. \tau/\alpha]) \} \\ \overline{R}(\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2) &:= R(\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2) \end{aligned}$$

We define $\text{GK}(L)$ for a local knowledge L as follows.

$$\text{GK}(L) := \{ G \in \text{VRelF} \mid G \supseteq L(G) \}$$

For $L \in \text{LK}$, we coinductively define $\mathbf{E} \in \text{VRelF} \rightarrow \text{ERel}$ and $\mathbf{K} \in \text{VRelF} \rightarrow \text{KRel}$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}(G)(\tau) &:= \{ (e_1, e_2) \mid (e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{O}(\mathbf{K})(G)(\tau) \} \\ \mathbf{K}(G)(\tau_1, \tau_2) &:= \{ (K_1, K_2) \mid \forall (v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}(\tau_1). (K_1[v_1], K_2[v_2]) \in \mathbf{E}(G)(\tau_2) \} \\ \mathbf{O}(R^{\mathbf{K}})(G)(\tau) &:= \{ (e_1, e_2) \mid \\ &\quad (e_1 \hookrightarrow^\omega \wedge e_2 \hookrightarrow^\omega) \\ &\quad \vee (\exists v_1, v_2. e_1 \hookrightarrow^* v_1 \wedge e_2 \hookrightarrow^* v_2 \wedge (v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}(\tau)) \\ &\quad \vee (\exists \tau', K_1, K_2, e'_1, e'_2. e_1 \hookrightarrow^* K_1[e'_1] \wedge e_2 \hookrightarrow^* K_2[e'_2] \wedge (\tau', e'_1, e'_2) \in \mathbf{S}(G, G) \wedge \\ &\quad (K_1, K_2) \in R^{\mathbf{K}}(G)(\tau', \tau)) \} \\ \mathbf{S}(R_f, R_v) &:= \{ (\tau, f_1 v_1, f_2 v_2) \mid \exists \tau'. (f_1, f_2) \in R_f(\tau' \rightarrow \tau) \wedge (v_1, v_2) \in \overline{R}_v(\tau') \} \end{aligned}$$

We define the following predicate on local knowledges.

$$\text{consistent}(L) \quad \text{iff} \quad \forall G \in \text{GK}(L). \forall (\tau, e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{S}(L(G), G). \\ (\tau, \text{beta}(e_1), \text{beta}(e_2)) \in \mathbf{E}(G)$$

We define program equivalence $\Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \tau$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Env}(\Gamma, R) &:= \{ (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \mid \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \text{dom}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \text{CVal} \wedge \forall x. (\Gamma(x), \gamma_1(x), \gamma_2(x)) \in \overline{R} \} \\ \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim_L e_2 : \tau &:= \text{consistent}(L) \wedge \\ &\quad \forall G \in \text{GK}(L). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\Gamma, G). (\tau, \gamma_1 e_1, \gamma_2 e_2) \in \mathbf{E}(G) \\ \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \tau &:= \exists L. \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim_L e_2 : \tau \end{aligned}$$

7 Soundness

7.1 Basic Properties

Notation. For a monotone function $F \in \text{VRelF} \rightarrow \text{VRelF}$ and $R \in \text{VRelF}$, we define $[F]_R^*$ as the least fixpoint of the monotone function $F(-) \cup R$:

$$[F]_R^* := \mu X. F(X) \cup R .$$

For $L \in \text{LK}$, we define $[L] \in \text{VRelF}$ as follows:

$$[L] := [L]_{\emptyset}^* .$$

Lemma 63. $\forall L \in \text{LK}. [L] \in \text{GK}(L)$

Proof. Immediate. □

Lemma 64. If

- $e_1 \hookrightarrow^* e'_1$,
- $e_2 \hookrightarrow^* e'_2$,
- $(\tau, e'_1, e'_2) \in \mathbf{O}(R^{\mathbf{K}})$,

then $(\tau, e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{O}(R^{\mathbf{K}})$.

Proof. Follows easily from the definition of \mathbf{O} . □

Lemma 65. $G \subseteq \overline{G} \subseteq \mathbf{E}(G)$

Proof. Both inclusions hold immediately by definition. □

Lemma 66. $(\tau, \tau, \bullet, \bullet) \in \mathbf{K}(G)$

Proof. We need to show $(\tau, v_1, v_2) \in \mathbf{E}(G)$ for $(\tau, v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}$, which holds by Lemma 65. □

Lemma 67. If $L_1, L_2 \in \text{LK}$ and $G \in \text{GK}(L_1 \cup L_2)$, then $G \in \text{GK}(L_1) \cap \text{GK}(L_2)$.

Proof. We must show $G \supseteq L_1(G)$ and $G \supseteq L_2(G)$. Both follow from $G \supseteq (L_1 \cup L_2)(G)$. □

Lemma 68. If $\text{consistent}(L_1)$ and $\text{consistent}(L_2)$, then $\text{consistent}(L_1 \cup L_2)$.

Proof.

- We suppose (1) $G \in \text{GK}(L_1 \cup L_2)$ and (2) $(\tau, e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{S}((L_1 \cup L_2)(G), G)$.
- We must show $(\tau, \text{beta}(e_1), \text{beta}(e_2)) \in \mathbf{E}(G)$.
- From (2) we know $(\tau, e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{S}(L_1(G), G) \vee (\tau, e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{S}(L_2(G), G)$.
- If the former is true, the goal follows from $\text{consistent}(L_1)$ with the help of Lemma 67.

- If the latter is true, the goal follows from $\text{consistent}(L_2)$ with the help of Lemma 67.

□

Lemma 69. If $(\tau', \tau, K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}(G)$, then:

1. $(\tau', e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}(G)$ implies $(\tau, K_1[e_1], K_2[e_2]) \in \mathbf{E}(G)$.
2. $(\tau'', \tau', K'_1, K'_2) \in \mathbf{K}(G)$ implies $(\tau'', \tau, K_1[K'_1], K_2[K'_2]) \in \mathbf{K}(G)$.

Proof. We define \mathbf{E}'_L and \mathbf{K}'_L as follows:

$$\mathbf{E}'_L(G) = \{ (\tau, K_1[e_1], K_2[e_2]) \mid \exists \tau'. (\tau', e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}(G) \wedge (\tau', \tau, K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}(G) \}$$

$$\mathbf{K}'_L(G) = \{ (\tau'', \tau, K_1[K'_1], K_2[K'_2]) \mid \exists \tau'. (\tau'', \tau', K'_1, K'_2) \in \mathbf{K}(G) \wedge (\tau', \tau, K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}(G) \}$$

It suffices to show $\mathbf{E}' \subseteq \mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{K}' \subseteq \mathbf{K}$, which we do by coinduction. Concretely, we have to show:

1. $\forall K_1, K_2, e_1, e_2, G, \tau.$
 $(K_1[e_1], K_2[e_2]) \in \mathbf{E}'(G)(\tau) \implies (K_1[e_1], K_2[e_2]) \in \mathbf{O}(\mathbf{K}')(G)(\tau)$
2. $\forall K_1, K_2, K'_1, K'_2, G, \tau'', \tau.$
 $(K_1[K'_1], K_2[K'_2]) \in \mathbf{K}'(G)(\tau'', \tau) \implies \forall (v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}(\tau''). (K_1[K'_1][v_1], K_2[K'_2][v_2]) \in \mathbf{E}'(G)(\tau)$

For (1):

- Suppose $(K_1[e_1], K_2[e_2]) \in \mathbf{E}'(G)(\tau)$.
- By definition of \mathbf{E}' we know $(e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}(G)(\tau')$ and

$$(K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}(G)(\tau', \tau)$$

for some τ' .

- We must show $(K_1[e_1], K_2[e_2]) \in \mathbf{O}(\mathbf{K}')(G)(\tau)$.
- We know $(e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{O}(\mathbf{K})(G)(\tau')$.
- Hence at least one of the following three properties holds:
 - A) $e_1 \hookrightarrow^\omega$ and $e_2 \hookrightarrow^\omega$
 - B) (a) $e_1 \hookrightarrow^* v_1$ and $e_2 \hookrightarrow^* v_2$
(b) $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}(\tau')$
 - C) (a) $e_1 \hookrightarrow^* K'_1[e'_1]$ and $e_2 \hookrightarrow^* K'_2[e'_2]$
(b) $(e'_1, e'_2) \in \mathbf{S}(G, G)(\tilde{\tau})$
(c) $(K'_1, K'_2) \in \mathbf{K}(G)(\tilde{\tau}, \tau')$

- If (A) holds:

– Then $K_1[e_1] \hookrightarrow^\omega$ and $K_2[e_2] \hookrightarrow^\omega$, so we are done.

- If (B) holds:

– Then $K_1[e_1] \hookrightarrow^* K_1[v_1]$ and $K_2[e_2] \hookrightarrow^* K_2[v_2]$ from (Ba).

– Since $(K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}(G)(\tau', \tau)$, we get $(K_1[v_1], K_2[v_2]) \in \mathbf{E}(G)(\tau)$ from (Bb).

– We show $\mathbf{O}(\mathbf{K})(G)(\tau) \subseteq \mathbf{O}(\mathbf{K}')(G)(\tau)$:

* It suffices to show $\mathbf{K} \subseteq \mathbf{K}'$.

- * By definition of the latter, this follows from Lemma 66.
- Consequently, $(K_1[v_1], K_2[v_2]) \in \mathbf{O}(\mathbf{K}')(G)(\tau)$.
- We are done by Lemma 64.

- If (C) holds:
 - Then $e_1 \hookrightarrow^* K_1[K'_1][e'_1]$ and $e_2 \hookrightarrow^* K_2[K'_2][e'_2]$ from (Ca).
 - Due to (Cb) it remains to show:

$$(K_1[K'_1], K_2[K'_2]) \in \mathbf{K}'_L(G)(\tilde{\tau}, \tau)$$

- By definition of \mathbf{K}' it suffices to show $(K'_1, K'_2) \in \mathbf{K}(G)(\tilde{\tau}, \tau')$ and $(K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}(G)(\tau', \tau)$, which hold by (Cc) and the premise.

For (2):

- Suppose $(K_1[K'_1], K_2[K'_2]) \in \mathbf{K}'(G)(\tau'', \tau)$ and $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}(\tau'')$.
- By definition of \mathbf{K}' we know $(K'_1, K'_2) \in \mathbf{K}(G)(\tau'', \tau')$ and

$$(K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}(G)(\tau', \tau)$$

for some τ' .

- We must show $(K_1[K'_1][v_1], K_2[K'_2][v_2]) \in \mathbf{E}'(G)(\tau)$.
- By definition of \mathbf{E}' it suffices to show $(K'_1[v_1], K'_2[v_2]) \in \mathbf{E}(G)(\tau')$ and $(K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}(G)(\tau', \tau)$.
- The latter is given and the former follows from $(K'_1, K'_2) \in \mathbf{K}(G)(\tau'', \tau')$ and $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}(\tau'')$.

□

Lemma 70. If *consistent*(L_2), then:

$$\Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim_{L_1} e_2 : \tau \implies \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim_{L_1 \cup L_2} e_2 : \tau$$

Proof.

- Using the assumptions and Lemma 68, we get *consistent*($L_1 \cup L_2$).
- Now suppose $G \in \text{GK}(L_1 \cup L_2)$ and $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\Gamma, G)$.
- We must show $(\gamma_1 e_1, \gamma_2 e_2) \in \mathbf{E}(G)(\tau)$.
- This follows from $\Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim_{L_1} e_2 : \tau$ and Lemma 67.

□

Lemma 71. If $\forall L \in \text{LK}$. $(\forall i \in \{1 \dots n\}. \Gamma_i \vdash e_i \sim_L e'_i : \tau_i) \implies \Gamma \vdash e \sim_L e' : \tau$, then:

$$(\forall i \in \{1 \dots n\}. \Gamma_i \vdash e_i \sim e'_i : \tau_i) \implies \Gamma \vdash e \sim e' : \tau$$

Proof.

- Suppose $\forall L \in \text{LK}$. $(\forall i \in \{1 \dots n\}. \Gamma_i \vdash e_i \sim_L e'_i : \tau_i) \implies \Gamma \vdash e \sim_L e' : \tau$ and $\forall i \in \{1 \dots n\}. \Gamma_i \vdash e_i \sim e'_i : \tau_i$.
- From the latter we have L_i 's such that for all i , $\Gamma_i \vdash e_i \sim_{L_i} e'_i : \tau_i$.

- By applying Lemma 70 repeatedly we get $\Gamma_i \vdash e_i \sim_{L_1 \cup \dots \cup L_n} e'_i : \tau_i$ for all i .
- By the assumption we thus have $\Gamma \vdash e \sim_{L_1 \cup \dots \cup L_n} e' : \tau$ and thus $\Gamma \vdash e \sim e' : \tau$.

□

Lemma 72. If $\forall G \in \text{GK}(L)$. $\forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\Gamma, G)$. $(\gamma_1 K_1, \gamma_2 K_2) \in \mathbf{K}(G)(\tau', \tau)$ then

$$\Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim_L e_2 : \tau' \implies \Gamma \vdash K_1[e_1] \sim_L K_2[e_2] : \tau$$

Proof.

- Suppose $G \in \text{GK}(L)$ and $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\Gamma, G)$.
- We must show $((\gamma_1 K_1)[\gamma_1 e_1], (\gamma_2 K_2)[\gamma_2 e_2]) \in \mathbf{E}(G)(\tau)$.
- From the premise we get $(\gamma_1 e_1, \gamma_2 e_2) \in \mathbf{E}(G)(\tau')$.
- By Lemma 69 it suffices to show $(\gamma_1 K_1, \gamma_2 K_2) \in \mathbf{K}(G)(\tau', \tau)$.
- This follows from the assumption.

□

Lemma 73 (External call). For $G \in \text{GK}(L)$, if $\text{consistent}(L) \wedge G = L(G) \cup R$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall (\tau, e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}(G). \\ & (e_1 \hookrightarrow^\omega \wedge e_2 \hookrightarrow^\omega) \\ & \vee (\exists v_1, v_2. e_1 \hookrightarrow^* v_1 \wedge e_2 \hookrightarrow^* v_2 \wedge (v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}(\tau)) \\ & \vee (\exists \tau', K_1, K_2, e'_1, e'_2. e_1 \hookrightarrow^* K_1[e'_1] \wedge e_2 \hookrightarrow^* K_2[e'_2] \wedge (\tau', e'_1, e'_2) \in \mathbf{S}(R, G) \wedge \\ & (K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}(G)(\tau', \tau)) \end{aligned}$$

Proof.

- We prove the following proposition by induction on n .

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall (\tau, e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}(G). \\ & (e_1 \hookrightarrow^n \wedge e_2 \hookrightarrow^n) \\ & \vee (\exists v_1, v_2. e_1 \hookrightarrow^* v_1 \wedge e_2 \hookrightarrow^* v_2 \wedge (v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}(\tau)) \\ & \vee (\exists \tau', K_1, K_2, e'_1, e'_2. e_1 \hookrightarrow^* K_1[e'_1] \wedge e_2 \hookrightarrow^* K_2[e'_2] \wedge (\tau', e'_1, e'_2) \in \mathbf{S}(R, G) \wedge \\ & (K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}(G)(\tau', \tau)) \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

- When $n = 0$, the first case holds vacuously.
- When $n > 0$, we assume that the goal (2) holds for $n - 1$. Then we need to show that the goal (2) holds for n .
- By definition of $\mathbf{E}(G)$, we have three cases.
- In the first two cases, the goal (2) is trivially satisfied.
- In the third case, we have

$$\exists \tau', K_1, K_2, e'_1, e'_2. e_1 \hookrightarrow^* K_1[e'_1] \wedge e_2 \hookrightarrow^* K_2[e'_2] \wedge (\tau', e'_1, e'_2) \in \mathbf{S}(G, G) \wedge (K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}(G)(\tau', \tau)$$

- As $G = L(G) \cup R$, by definition of \mathbf{S} , we have

$$(\tau', e'_1, e'_2) \in \mathbf{S}(G, G) = \mathbf{S}(L(G), G) \cup \mathbf{S}(R, G) .$$

- If $(\tau', e'_1, e'_2) \in \mathbf{S}(R, G)$, then the goal (2) is satisfied.
- If $(\tau', e'_1, e'_2) \in \mathbf{S}(L(G), G)$, then by *consistent(L)*, we have that $K_1[e'_1] \hookrightarrow^1 K_1[\text{beta}(e'_1)]$ and $K_2[e'_2] \hookrightarrow^1 K_2[\text{beta}(e'_2)]$ and $(\tau', \text{beta}(e'_1), \text{beta}(e'_2)) \in \mathbf{E}(G)$.
- By Lemma 69, we have $(\tau, K_1[\text{beta}(e'_1)], K_2[\text{beta}(e'_2)]) \in \mathbf{E}(G)$.
- By induction hypothesis we have that $K_1[\text{beta}(e'_1)]$ and $K_2[\text{beta}(e'_2)]$ satisfy the goal (2) for $n - 1$.
- As $e_1 \hookrightarrow^+ K_1[\text{beta}(e'_1)]$ and $e_2 \hookrightarrow^+ K_2[\text{beta}(e'_2)]$, we have that e_1 and e_2 satisfy the goal (2) for n , so we are done.
- The original goal is obtained from the sub-goal (2) by pushing the quantification over n inside the first case and then observing that $\forall n. e \hookrightarrow^n$ is equivalent to $e \hookrightarrow^\omega$.

□

Corollary 74. If

- *consistent(L)*
- $G = L(G)$
- $(\tau, e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}(G)$

then one of the following holds:

1. $e_1 \hookrightarrow^\omega \wedge e_2 \hookrightarrow^\omega$
2. $\exists v_1, v_2. e_1 \hookrightarrow^* v_1 \wedge e_2 \hookrightarrow^* v_2 \wedge (\tau, v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}$

Proof. Follows from Lemma 73 for $R = \emptyset$.

□

7.2 Compatibility

Lemma 75 (Compatibility: Var).

$$\frac{\Gamma \text{ well-formed} \quad x:\tau \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x \sim x : \tau}$$

Proof.

- Let $L := \lambda R. \emptyset$.
- We are done if we can show $\Gamma \vdash x \sim_L x : \tau$.
- It is obvious that *consistent(L)*.
- Now suppose $G \in \text{GK}(L)$ and $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\Gamma, G)$.
- We must show $(\gamma_1(x), \gamma_2(x)) \in \mathbf{E}(G)(\tau)$.
- From $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\Gamma, G)$ we know $(\gamma_1(x), \gamma_2(x)) \in \overline{G}(\tau)$.
- We are done by Lemma 65.

□

Lemma 76.

1. If $(\tau, v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}$, then $(\tau', \tau \times \tau', \langle v_1, \bullet \rangle, \langle v_2, \bullet \rangle) \in \mathbf{K}(G)$.
2. If $(\tau', e'_1, e'_2) \in \mathbf{E}(G)$, then $(\tau, \tau \times \tau', \langle \bullet, e'_1 \rangle, \langle \bullet, e'_2 \rangle) \in \mathbf{K}(G)$.

Proof.

1.
 - Suppose $(v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G}(\tau')$.
 - We need to show $(\langle v_1, v'_1 \rangle, \langle v_2, v'_2 \rangle) \in \mathbf{E}(G)(\tau \times \tau')$.
 - By Lemma 65 it suffices to show $(\langle v_1, v'_1 \rangle, \langle v_2, v'_2 \rangle) \in \overline{G}(\tau \times \tau')$.
 - Hence it suffices to show $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}(\tau)$ and $(v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G}(\tau')$, which we both already have.
2.
 - Suppose $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}(\tau)$.
 - We need to show $(\langle v_1, e'_1 \rangle, \langle v_2, e'_2 \rangle) \in \mathbf{E}(G)(\tau \times \tau')$.
 - By Lemma 69 it suffices to show $(\langle v_1, \bullet \rangle, \langle v_2, \bullet \rangle) \in \mathbf{K}(G)(\tau', \tau \times \tau')$.
 - By part (1) it then suffices to show $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}(\tau)$, which we have.

□

Lemma 77 (Compatibility: Pair).

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash e'_1 \sim e'_2 : \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash \langle e_1, e'_1 \rangle \sim \langle e_2, e'_2 \rangle : \tau \times \tau'}$$

Proof.

- By Lemmas 71 and 72 it suffices to show

$$\forall G \in \text{GK}(L). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\Gamma, G). (\langle \bullet, \gamma_1 e'_1 \rangle, \langle \bullet, \gamma_2 e'_2 \rangle) \in \mathbf{K}(G)(\tau, \tau \times \tau')$$

under the assumption $\Gamma \vdash e'_1 \sim_L e'_2 : \tau'$.

- By Lemma 76 it then suffices to show $(\gamma_1 e'_1, \gamma_2 e'_2) \in \mathbf{E}(G)(\tau')$, which follows from the assumption.

□

Lemma 78 (Compatibility: Fst (Snd analogously)).

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \tau \times \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash e_1.1 \sim e_2.1 : \tau}$$

Proof.

- By Lemmas 71 and 72 it suffices to show

$$\forall L \in \text{LK}. \forall G \in \text{GK}(L). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\Gamma, G). (\bullet.1, \bullet.1) \in \mathbf{K}(G)(\tau \times \tau', \tau)$$

- Suppose $(v_1^\circ, v_2^\circ) \in \overline{G}(\tau \times \tau')$.
- We need to show $(v_1^\circ.1, v_2^\circ.1) \in \mathbf{E}(G)(\tau)$.
- We know $v_1^\circ = \langle v_1, v'_1 \rangle$ and $v_2^\circ = \langle v_2, v'_2 \rangle$ with $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}(\tau)$.
- Hence $v_1^\circ.1 \hookrightarrow v_1$ and $v_2^\circ.1 \hookrightarrow v_2$, so we are done.

□

Lemma 79 (Compatibility: Inl (Inr analogously)).

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{inj}^1 e_1 \sim \text{inj}^1 e_2 : \tau + \tau'}$$

Proof.

- By Lemmas 71 and 72 it suffices to show

$$\forall L \in \text{LK}. \forall G \in \text{GK}(L). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\Gamma, G). (\text{inj}^1 \bullet, \text{inj}^1 \bullet) \in \mathbf{K}(G)(\tau, \tau + \tau')$$

- Suppose $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}(\tau)$.
- We need to show $(\text{inj}^1 v_1, \text{inj}^1 v_2) \in \mathbf{E}(G)(\tau + \tau')$.
- By Lemma 65 it suffices to show $(\text{inj}^1 v_1, \text{inj}^1 v_2) \in \overline{G}(\tau + \tau')$.
- This follows from $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}(\tau)$.

□

Lemma 80 (Compatibility: Case).

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \tau' + \tau'' \quad \Gamma, x:\tau' \vdash e'_1 \sim e'_2 : \tau \quad \Gamma, x:\tau'' \vdash e''_1 \sim e''_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{case } e_1 \text{ of } \text{inj}^1 x \Rightarrow e'_1 \mid \text{inj}^2 x \Rightarrow e''_1 \sim \text{case } e_2 \text{ of } \text{inj}^1 x \Rightarrow e'_2 \mid \text{inj}^2 x \Rightarrow e''_2 : \tau}$$

Proof.

- By Lemmas 71 and 72 it suffices to show

$$\forall G \in \text{GK}(L). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\Gamma, G).$$

$$(\text{case } \bullet \text{ of } \text{inj}^1 x \Rightarrow \gamma_1 e'_1 \mid \text{inj}^2 x \Rightarrow \gamma_1 e''_1, \text{case } \bullet \text{ of } \text{inj}^1 x \Rightarrow \gamma_2 e'_2 \mid \text{inj}^2 x \Rightarrow \gamma_2 e''_2) \in \mathbf{K}(G)(\tau' + \tau'', \tau)$$

assuming $\Gamma, x:\tau' \vdash e'_1 \sim_L e'_2 : \tau$ and $\Gamma, x:\tau'' \vdash e''_1 \sim_L e''_2 : \tau$.

- Thus it suffices to show

$$(\text{case } v_1 \text{ of } \text{inj}^1 x \Rightarrow \gamma_1 e'_1 \mid \text{inj}^2 x \Rightarrow \gamma_1 e''_1, \text{case } v_2 \text{ of } \text{inj}^1 x \Rightarrow \gamma_2 e'_2 \mid \text{inj}^2 x \Rightarrow \gamma_2 e''_2) \in \mathbf{E}(G)(\tau)$$

for $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}(\tau' + \tau'')$.

- By definition of $\overline{G}(\tau' + \tau'')$, we have v'_1, v'_2 such that either

1. $v_1 = \text{inj}^1 v'_1 \wedge v_2 = \text{inj}^1 v'_2 \wedge (v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G}(\tau')$; or
2. $v_1 = \text{inj}^2 v'_1 \wedge v_2 = \text{inj}^2 v'_2 \wedge (v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G}(\tau'')$.

- We continue for the former case (the latter is analogous).

- Let $\gamma'_1 := \gamma_1, x \mapsto v'_1$ and $\gamma'_2 := \gamma_2, x \mapsto v'_2$.

- We have

$$\text{case } v_1 \text{ of } \text{inj}^1 x \Rightarrow \gamma_1 e'_1 \mid \text{inj}^2 x \Rightarrow \gamma_1 e''_1 \hookrightarrow \gamma'_1 e'_1$$

and

$$\text{case } v_2 \text{ of } \text{inj}^1 x \Rightarrow \gamma_2 e'_2 \mid \text{inj}^2 x \Rightarrow \gamma_2 e''_2 \hookrightarrow \gamma'_2 e'_2$$

- Thus by Lemma 64 it suffices to show

$$(\tau', \gamma'_1 e'_1, \gamma'_2 e'_2) \in \mathbf{O}(\mathbf{E})(G)$$

- This follows from the assumption and $(\gamma'_1, \gamma'_2) \in \text{Env}((\Gamma, x : \tau'), G)$.

□

Lemma 81 (Compatibility: Fix).

$$\frac{\Gamma, f : \tau' \rightarrow \tau, x : \tau' \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{fix } f(x). e_1 \sim \text{fix } f(x). e_2 : \tau' \rightarrow \tau}$$

Proof.

- From the premise we have L such that $\Gamma, f : \tau' \rightarrow \tau, x : \tau' \vdash e_1 \sim_L e_2 : \tau$.
- Let $L' := \lambda R. \{(\tau' \rightarrow \tau, \gamma_1 \text{fix } f(x). e_1, \gamma_2 \text{fix } f(x). e_2) \mid (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\Gamma, R)\}$.
- It suffices to show $\Gamma \vdash \text{fix } f(x). e_1 \sim_{L \cup L'} \text{fix } f(x). e_2 : \tau' \rightarrow \tau$.
- To do so, we first prove *consistent*($w \cup w'$):

– We suppose

1. $G \in \text{GK}(L \cup L')$
2. $(v_1, v_2) \in (L \cup L')(G)(\tilde{\tau}' \rightarrow \tilde{\tau})$
3. $(v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G}(\tilde{\tau}')$

and must show:

$$(\text{beta}(v_1 v'_1), \text{beta}(v_2 v'_2)) \in \mathbf{E}(G)(\tilde{\tau})$$

– From (2) we know:

$$\begin{aligned} (v_1, v_2) &\in L(G)(\tilde{\tau}' \rightarrow \tilde{\tau}) \vee \\ (v_1, v_2) &\in L'(G)(\tilde{\tau}' \rightarrow \tilde{\tau}) \end{aligned}$$

– If the former is true, the claim follows from *consistent*(L) with the help of Lemma 67.

– So suppose the latter.

– Then $\tilde{\tau}' \rightarrow \tilde{\tau} = \tau' \rightarrow \tau$ and $v_1 = \gamma_1 \text{fix } f(x). e_1$ and $v_2 = \gamma_2 \text{fix } f(x). e_2$ for $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\Gamma, G)$.

– Let $\gamma'_1 = \gamma_1, f \mapsto \gamma_1 \text{fix } f(x). e_1, x \mapsto v'_1$ and $\gamma'_2 = \gamma_2, f \mapsto \gamma_2 \text{fix } f(x). e_2, x \mapsto v'_2$

– It remains to show $(\gamma'_1 e_1, \gamma'_2 e_2) \in \mathbf{E}(G)(\tau)$.

– This follows from the premise if we can show $(\gamma'_1, \gamma'_2) \in \text{Env}((\Gamma, f : \tau' \rightarrow \tau, x : \tau'), G)$.

– This reduces to showing $(v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G}(\tau')$ and $(\gamma_1 \text{fix } f(x). e_1, \gamma_2 \text{fix } f(x). e_2) \in \overline{G}(\tau' \rightarrow \tau)$.

– The former is given as (3).

– For the latter, note that by (1) it suffices to show

$$(\gamma_1 \text{fix } f(x). e_1, \gamma_2 \text{fix } f(x). e_2) \in (L \cup L')(G)(\tau' \rightarrow \tau).$$

– For this, it suffices to show $(\gamma_1 \text{fix } f(x). e_1, \gamma_2 \text{fix } f(x). e_2) \in L'(G)(\tau' \rightarrow \tau)$.

– Since $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\Gamma, G)$, this holds by construction.

- Now suppose $G \in \text{GK}(L \cup L')$ and $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\Gamma, G)$.
- We must show $(\gamma_1 \text{fix } f(x). e_1, \gamma_2 \text{fix } f(x). e_2) \in \mathbf{E}(G)(\tau' \rightarrow \tau)$.
- By Lemma 65 it suffices to show $(\gamma_1 \text{fix } f(x). e_1, \gamma_2 \text{fix } f(x). e_2) \in G(\tau' \rightarrow \tau)$.
- By definition of GK it suffices to show:

$$(\gamma_1 \text{fix } f(x). e_1, \gamma_2 \text{fix } f(x). e_2) \in (L \cup L')(G)(\tau' \rightarrow \tau)$$

- For this, it suffices to show $(\gamma_1 \text{fix } f(x). e_1, \gamma_2 \text{fix } f(x). e_2) \in L'(G)(\tau' \rightarrow \tau)$.
- Since $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\Gamma, G)$, this holds by construction of L' .

□

Lemma 82.

1. If $(\tau' \rightarrow \tau, v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}$, then $(\tau', \tau, v_1 \bullet, v_2 \bullet) \in \mathbf{K}(G)$.
2. If $(\tau', e'_1, e'_2) \in \mathbf{E}(G)$, then $(\tau' \rightarrow \tau, \tau, \bullet e'_1, \bullet e'_2) \in \mathbf{K}(G)$.

Proof.

1.
 - Suppose $(v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G}(\tau')$.
 - We need to show $(v_1 v'_1, v_2 v'_2) \in \mathbf{E}(G)(\tau)$.
 - By definition of \mathbf{E} it suffices to show the following:
 - (a) $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}(\tau' \rightarrow \tau)$
 - (b) $(v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G}(\tau')$
 - (c) $(\bullet, \bullet) \in \mathbf{K}(G)(\tau, \tau)$
 - (a) and (b) are already given.
 - (c) holds by Lemma 66.
2.
 - Suppose $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}(\tau' \rightarrow \tau)$.
 - We need to show $(v_1 e'_1, v_2 e'_2) \in \mathbf{E}(G)(\tau)$.
 - By Lemma 69 it suffices to show $(v_1 \bullet, v_2 \bullet) \in \mathbf{K}(G)(\tau', \tau)$.
 - By part (1) it then suffices to show $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}(\tau' \rightarrow \tau)$, which we have.

□

Lemma 83 (Compatibility: App).

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \tau' \rightarrow \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash e'_1 \sim e'_2 : \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 e'_1 \sim e_2 e'_2 : \tau}$$

Proof.

- By Lemmas 71 and 72 it suffices to show

$$\forall G \in \text{GK}(L). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\Gamma, G). (\bullet \gamma_1 e'_1, \bullet \gamma_2 e'_2) \in \mathbf{K}(G)(\tau' \rightarrow \tau, \tau)$$

assuming $\Gamma \vdash e'_1 \sim_L e'_2 : \tau'$.

- By Lemma 82 it suffices to show $(\gamma_1 e'_1, \gamma_2 e'_2) \in \mathbf{E}(G)(\tau')$, which follows from the assumption.

□

Lemma 84 (Compatibility: Roll).

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \sigma[\mu\alpha. \sigma/\alpha]}{\Gamma \vdash \text{roll } e_1 \sim \text{roll } e_2 : \mu\alpha. \sigma}$$

Proof.

- By Lemmas 71 and 72 it suffices to show

$$\forall L \in \text{LK}. \forall G \in \text{GK}(L). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\Gamma, G). (\text{roll } \bullet, \text{roll } \bullet) \in \mathbf{K}(G)(\sigma[\mu\alpha. \sigma/\alpha], \mu\alpha. \sigma)$$

- Suppose $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}(\sigma[\mu\alpha. \sigma/\alpha])$.
- We need to show $(\text{roll } v_1, \text{roll } v_2) \in \mathbf{E}(G)(\mu\alpha. \sigma)$.
- By Lemma 65 it suffices to show $(\text{roll } v_1, \text{roll } v_2) \in \overline{G}(\mu\alpha. \sigma)$.
- This follows from $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}(\sigma[\mu\alpha. \sigma/\alpha])$.

□

Lemma 85 (Compatibility: Unroll).

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \mu\alpha. \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash \text{unroll } e_1 \sim \text{unroll } e_2 : \sigma[\mu\alpha. \sigma/\alpha]}$$

Proof.

- By Lemmas 71 and 72 it suffices to show

$$\forall L \in \text{LK}. \forall G \in \text{GK}(L). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\Gamma, G). (\text{unroll } \bullet, \text{unroll } \bullet) \in \mathbf{K}(G)(\mu\alpha. \sigma, \sigma[\mu\alpha. \sigma/\alpha])$$

- Suppose $(v_1^\circ, v_2^\circ) \in \overline{G}(\mu\alpha. \sigma)$.
- We need to show $(\text{unroll } v_1^\circ, \text{unroll } v_2^\circ) \in \mathbf{E}(G)(\sigma[\mu\alpha. \sigma/\alpha])$.
- We know $v_1^\circ = \text{roll } v_1$ and $v_2^\circ = \text{roll } v_2$ with $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}(\sigma[\mu\alpha. \sigma/\alpha])$.
- Hence $\text{unroll } v_1^\circ \hookrightarrow v_1$ and $\text{unroll } v_2^\circ \hookrightarrow v_2$ and we are done.

□

7.3 Soundness

Theorem 86 (Fundamental Property). If $\Gamma \vdash p : \tau$, then $\Gamma \vdash |p| \sim |p| : \tau$.

Proof. By induction on the typing derivation, in each case using the appropriate compatibility lemma. □

Lemma 87 (Weakening). If $\Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \tau$ and $\Gamma \subseteq \Gamma'$, then $\Gamma' \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \tau$.

Proof. One can easily see that the goal is a direct consequence of the definition from the following observation:

$$\text{for } i = 1, 2, \forall R. \forall \gamma_i \in \text{Env}(\Gamma', R). \lfloor \gamma_i \rfloor_{\text{dom}(\Gamma)} \in \text{Env}(\Gamma, R) \wedge \gamma_i e_i = \lfloor \gamma_i \rfloor_{\text{dom}(\Gamma)} e_i$$

where $\lfloor f \rfloor_d$ denotes the restriction of the function f on domain d . □

Lemma 88 (Congruence). If $\Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \tau$ and $\vdash C : (\Gamma; \tau) \rightsquigarrow (\Gamma'; \tau')$, then

$$\Gamma' \vdash |C|[e_1] \sim |C|[e_2] : \tau' .$$

Proof. By induction on the derivation of the context typing: in each case using the corresponding compatibility lemma. For a context containing subterms we also need Theorem 86. The rule for an empty context requires Lemma 87. □

Lemma 89 (Adequacy). If $\cdot \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \tau$, then

1. neither e_1 nor e_2 gets stuck.
2. $e_1 \hookrightarrow^\omega \iff e_2 \hookrightarrow^\omega$.

Proof.

- We know $\cdot \vdash e_1 \sim_L e_2 : \tau$ for some L .
- Hence we have $\text{consistent}(L)$ and, using Lemma 63, $(e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}([L])(\tau)$.
- Since $[L] = L([L])$, by Corollary 74 either e_1 and e_2 diverge or both terminate without getting stuck. □

Theorem 90 (Soundness). If $\Gamma \vdash p_1 : \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash p_2 : \tau$, then:

$$\Gamma \vdash |p_1| \sim |p_2| : \tau \implies \Gamma \vdash p_1 \sim_{\text{ctx}} p_2 : \tau$$

Proof.

- Suppose $\Gamma \vdash |p_1| \sim |p_2| : \tau$ as well as $\vdash C : (\Gamma; \tau) \rightsquigarrow (\cdot; \tau)$.
- By congruence (Lemma 88), we have $\cdot \vdash |C[p_1]| \sim |C[p_2]| : \tau$.
- By adequacy (Lemma 89), we have $|C[p_1]| \hookrightarrow^\omega \iff |C[p_2]| \hookrightarrow^\omega$, so we are done. □

7.4 Symmetry

Definition 6. Given $R \in \text{VRel}$ (or VRelF), we define $R^{-1} \in \text{VRel}$ (or VRelF) as follows:

$$R^{-1} := \lambda\tau. R(\tau)^{-1}$$

Lemma 91. $(\overline{R})^{-1} = \overline{R^{-1}}$

Proof. Easy to check by induction. □

Lemma 92. $\mathbf{S}(R_f^{-1}, R_v^{-1}) = (\mathbf{S}(R_f, R_v))^{-1}$

Proof. Easy to check. □

Definition 7. Given $L \in \text{LK}$, we define $L^{-1} \in \text{LK}$ as follows:

$$L^{-1}(R) := (L(R^{-1}))^{-1}.$$

Lemma 93. If $G \in \text{GK}(L^{-1})$, then $G^{-1} \in \text{GK}(L)$.

Proof. It holds vacuously by definition. □

Lemma 94.

$$(\mathbf{E}(G^{-1}))^{-1} \subseteq \mathbf{E}(G)$$

Proof. By definition with the help of Lemmas 91 and 92. □

Lemma 95. If $\text{consistent}(L)$, then $\text{consistent}(L^{-1})$.

Proof. By definition with the help of Lemmas 92, 93, and 94. □

Theorem 96. If $\Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \tau$, then $\Gamma \vdash e_2 \sim e_1 : \tau$.

Proof. Suppose $\Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim_L e_2 : \tau$. It suffices to show $\Gamma \vdash e_2 \sim_{L^{-1}} e_1 : \tau$. Using Lemma 95, this in turn reduces to showing:

$$\forall G \in \text{GK}(L^{-1}). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\Gamma, G). (\gamma_1 e_2, \gamma_2 e_1) \in \mathbf{E}(G)(\tau)$$

From $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\Gamma, G)$ we have $(\gamma_2, \gamma_1) \in \text{Env}(\Gamma, G^{-1})$. Lemma 93 and the assumption thus yield $(\gamma_2 e_1, \gamma_1 e_2) \in \mathbf{E}(G^{-1})(\tau)$. We are done by Lemma 94. □

8 Transitivity

Definition 8. For $R_1, R_2 \in \text{VRelF}$, we define the composition as follows.

$$(R_1 \circ R_2)(\tau) := \{ (v_1, v_3) \mid \exists v_2. (\tau, v_1, v_2) \in R_1 \wedge (\tau, v_2, v_3) \in R_2 \}$$

Since CType and CVal are countable sets, there exists an injective function $\mathbf{I} \in \text{CType} \times \text{CType} \times \text{CVal} \times \text{CVal} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 9. Using the function \mathbf{I} , we decompose $R \in \text{VRelF}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\{1\}}(\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2) &:= \{ (f_1, \mathbf{I}(\tau_1, \tau_2, f_1, f_3)) \mid (f_1, f_3) \in R(\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2) \} \\ R_{\{2\}}(\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2) &:= \{ (\mathbf{I}(\tau_1, \tau_2, f_1, f_3), f_3) \mid (f_1, f_3) \in R(\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2) \} \end{aligned}$$

Recall that for a monotone function $F \in \text{VRelF} \rightarrow \text{VRelF}$ and $R \in \text{VRelF}$, we write $[F]_R^*$ for the least fixpoint of the monotone function $F(-) \cup R$.

Definition 10. For any local knowledges L_1, L_2 , we define $L_1 \circ L_2$ as follows.

$$(L_1 \circ L_2)(R) := L_1([L_1]_{R_{\{1\}}}^*) \circ L_2([L_2]_{R_{\{2\}}}^*)$$

Note that

$$[L_1]_{R_{\{1\}}}^* \in \text{GK}(L_1) \quad \wedge \quad [L_2]_{R_{\{2\}}}^* \in \text{GK}(L_2)$$

because $[L_1]_{R_{\{1\}}}^* = L_1([L_1]_{R_{\{1\}}}^*) \cup R_{\{1\}}$; and $[L_2]_{R_{\{2\}}}^* = L_2([L_2]_{R_{\{2\}}}^*) \cup R_{\{2\}}$.

Lemma 97. For any $R, R' \in \text{VRelF}$, we have

$$(L(R) \circ R'_{\{2\}})(\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2) = (R'_{\{1\}} \circ L(R))(\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2) = \emptyset$$

Proof. The claim holds vacuously since we have $f_1, f_2 \in \text{FunVal}$ for any $(f_1, f_2) \in L(R)(\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2)$ and $\mathbf{I}(\tau_1, \tau_2, v_1, v_2) \notin \text{FunVal}$ for any τ_1, τ_2, v_1, v_2 . \square

Lemma 98. $\forall R_1, R_2 \in \text{VRelF}. \overline{R_1 \circ R_2} = \overline{R_1} \circ \overline{R_2}$

Proof. Recall that \overline{R} is the least fixpoint of the monotone function F_R given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} F_R(X)(\tau_{\text{base}}) &:= \text{ID}_{\tau_{\text{base}}} \\ F_R(X)(\tau_1 \times \tau_2) &:= \{ ((v_1, v'_1), (v_2, v'_2)) \mid (v_1, v_2) \in X(\tau_1) \wedge (v'_1, v'_2) \in X(\tau_2) \} \\ F_R(X)(\tau_1 + \tau_2) &:= \{ (\text{inj}^1 v_1, \text{inj}^1 v_2) \mid (v_1, v_2) \in X(\tau_1) \} \cup \{ (\text{inj}^2 v_1, \text{inj}^2 v_2) \mid (v_1, v_2) \in X(\tau_2) \} \\ F_R(X)(\mu\alpha. \tau) &:= \{ (\text{roll } v_1, \text{roll } v_2) \mid (v_1, v_2) \in X(\tau[\mu\alpha. \tau/\alpha]) \} \\ F_R(X)(\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2) &:= R(\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2) \end{aligned}$$

By case analysis on types, one can easily check that the following equality holds.

$$\forall X, Y. F_{R_1}(X) \circ F_{R_2}(Y) = F_{R_1 \circ R_2}(X \circ Y)$$

(Part 1: $\overline{R_1} \circ \overline{R_2} \subseteq \overline{R_1 \circ R_2}$)

- We define the set S_1 as $\{ (\tau, v_1, v_2) \mid \forall v_3. (\tau, v_2, v_3) \in \overline{R_2} \implies (\tau, v_1, v_3) \in \overline{R_1 \circ R_2} \}$.
- Then we have the property that $\forall X. X \subseteq S_1 \iff X \circ \overline{R_2} \subseteq \overline{R_1 \circ R_2}$.
- Thus it suffices to show that $\overline{R_1} \subseteq S_1$, which is equivalent to show that $F_{R_1}(S_1) \subseteq S_1$ (since $\overline{R_1}$ is the least fixpoint of F_{R_1}), which is again equivalent to show that $F_{R_1}(S_1) \circ \overline{R_2} \subseteq \overline{R_1 \circ R_2}$:

$$F_{R_1}(S_1) \circ \overline{R_2} = F_{R_1}(S_1) \circ F_{R_2}(\overline{R_2}) = F_{R_1 \circ R_2}(S_1 \circ \overline{R_2}) \subseteq F_{R_1 \circ R_2}(\overline{R_1 \circ R_2}) = \overline{R_1 \circ R_2}.$$

(Part 2: $\overline{R_1 \circ R_2} \subseteq \overline{R_1} \circ \overline{R_2}$)

- Since $\overline{R_1 \circ R_2}$ is the least fixpoint of $F_{R_1 \circ R_2}$, it suffices to show that $F_{R_1 \circ R_2}(\overline{R_1} \circ \overline{R_2}) \subseteq \overline{R_1} \circ \overline{R_2}$:

$$F_{R_1 \circ R_2}(\overline{R_1} \circ \overline{R_2}) = F_{R_1}(\overline{R_1}) \circ F_{R_2}(\overline{R_2}) = \overline{R_1} \circ \overline{R_2} .$$

□

Lemma 99. For any $G \in \text{GK}(L_1 \circ L_2)$, we have

$$[L_1]_{G_{\{1\}}}^* \circ [L_2]_{G_{\{2\}}}^* = G .$$

Proof. Let $L := L_1 \circ L_2$ and $\tau := \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$. Then the goal follows from

$$\begin{aligned} & [L_1]_{G_{\{1\}}}^*(\tau) \circ [L_2]_{G_{\{2\}}}^*(\tau) \\ &= (L_1([L_1]_{G_{\{1\}}}^*(\tau) \cup G_{\{1\}}(\tau)) \circ (L_2([L_2]_{G_{\{2\}}}^*(\tau) \cup G_{\{2\}}(\tau))) \\ &= (L_1([L_1]_{G_{\{1\}}}^*(\tau)) \circ L_2([L_2]_{G_{\{2\}}}^*(\tau))) \cup (G_{\{1\}}(\tau) \circ G_{\{2\}}(\tau)) \quad (\text{by Lemma 97}) \\ &= L(G)(\tau) \cup G(\tau) \\ &= G(\tau) . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{by } G \supseteq L(G))$$

□

Lemma 100. For any L_1, L_2 with $\text{consistent}(L_1)$, $\text{consistent}(L_2)$, let $L := L_1 \circ L_2$. Then for any $G \in \text{GK}(L)$, we have

1. $(\exists e_2. (\tau, e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}([L_1]_{G_{\{1\}}}^*) \wedge (\tau, e_2, e_3) \in \mathbf{E}([L_2]_{G_{\{2\}}}^*))$
 $\implies (\tau, e_1, e_3) \in \mathbf{E}(G)$
2. $(\exists K_2. (\tau_1, \tau_2, K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}([L_1]_{G_{\{1\}}}^*) \wedge (\tau_1, \tau_2, K_2, K_3) \in \mathbf{K}([L_2]_{G_{\{2\}}}^*))$
 $\implies (\tau_1, \tau_2, K_1, K_3) \in \mathbf{K}(G)$

Proof.

- Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}'(G) &= \{ (\tau, e_1, e_3) \mid \exists e_2. (\tau, e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}([L_1]_{G_{\{1\}}}^*) \wedge (\tau, e_2, e_3) \in \mathbf{E}([L_2]_{G_{\{2\}}}^*) \} \\ \mathbf{K}'(G) &= \{ (\tau_1, \tau_2, K_1, K_3) \mid \exists K_2. (\tau_1, \tau_2, K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}([L_1]_{G_{\{1\}}}^*) \wedge \\ & \quad (\tau_1, \tau_2, K_2, K_3) \in \mathbf{K}([L_2]_{G_{\{2\}}}^*) \} \end{aligned}$$

- Now it suffices to show that \mathbf{E}', \mathbf{K}' forms a post-fixpoint.
- From $(\tau, e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}([L_1]_{G_{\{1\}}}^*)$ and $[L_1]_{G_{\{1\}}}^* = L_1([L_1]_{G_{\{1\}}}^*) \cup G_{\{1\}}$, by Lemma 73, we have three cases.
- When $e_1 \hookrightarrow^\omega$ and $e_2 \hookrightarrow^\omega$:
From $(\tau, e_2, e_3) \in \mathbf{E}([L_2]_{G_{\{2\}}}^*)$ and $[L_2]_{G_{\{2\}}}^* = L_2([L_2]_{G_{\{2\}}}^*) \cup G_{\{2\}}$, by Lemma 73, we have three cases.
 - When $e_2 \hookrightarrow^\omega$ and $e_3 \hookrightarrow^\omega$:
We are done because $e_1 \hookrightarrow^\omega$ and $e_3 \hookrightarrow^\omega$.
 - When $e_2 \hookrightarrow^* v_2 \wedge e_3 \hookrightarrow^* v_3$ with $(\tau, v_2, v_3) \in \overline{[L_2]_{G_{\{2\}}}^*}$:
It is a contradiction.
 - When $e_2 \hookrightarrow^* K_2[e_2'] \wedge e_3 \hookrightarrow^* K_3[e_3']$ with
 - $(\tau', e_2', e_3') \in \mathbf{S}(G_{\{2\}}, [L_2]_{G_{\{2\}}}^*)$ and
 - $(\tau', \tau, K_2, K_3) \in \mathbf{K}([L_2]_{G_{\{2\}}}^*)$:
Since $e_2' = \mathbf{I}(\tilde{\tau}, \tau', f_1, f_3) v_2$ for some $\tilde{\tau}, f_1, f_3, v_2$, we know e_2' and thus e_2 gets stuck. Contradiction.

- When $e_1 \hookrightarrow^* v_1 \wedge e_2 \hookrightarrow^* v_2$ with $(\tau, v_1, v_2) \in \overline{[L_1]_{G_{\{1\}}}^*}$:
From $(\tau, e_2, e_3) \in \mathbf{E}([L_2]_{G_{\{2\}}}^*)$ and $[L_2]_{G_{\{2\}}}^* = L_2([L_2]_{G_{\{2\}}}^*) \cup G_{\{2\}}$, by Lemma 73, we have three cases.
 - When $e_2 \hookrightarrow^\omega$ and $e_3 \hookrightarrow^\omega$:
It is a contradiction.
 - When $e_2 \hookrightarrow^* v'_2 \wedge e_3 \hookrightarrow^* v_3$ with $(\tau, v'_2, v_3) \in \overline{[L_2]_{G_{\{2\}}}^*}$:
We are done because we have $v_2 = v'_2$ and thus $(\tau, v_1, v_3) \in \overline{G}$ by Lemmas 98 and 99 since $(\tau, v_1, v_2) \in \overline{[L_1]_{G_{\{1\}}}^*}$ and $(\tau, v_2, v_3) \in \overline{[L_2]_{G_{\{2\}}}^*}$.
 - When $e_2 \hookrightarrow^* K_2[e'_2] \wedge e_3 \hookrightarrow^* K_3[e'_3]$ with
 - $(\tau', e'_2, e'_3) \in \mathbf{S}(G_{\{2\}}, [L_2]_{G_{\{2\}}}^*)$ and
 - $(\tau', \tau, K_2, K_3) \in \mathbf{K}([L_2]_{G_{\{2\}}}^*)$:
Since $e'_2 = \mathbf{I}(\tilde{\tau}, \tau', f_1, f_3) v_2$ for some $\tilde{\tau}, f_1, f_3, v_2$, we know e'_2 and thus e_2 gets stuck. Contradiction.
- When $e_1 \hookrightarrow^* K_1[e'_1] \wedge e_2 \hookrightarrow^n K_2[e'_2]$ with
 - $(\tau', e'_1, e'_2) \in \mathbf{S}(G_{\{1\}}, [L_1]_{G_{\{1\}}}^*)$ and
 - $(\tau', \tau, K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}([L_1]_{G_{\{1\}}}^*)$:
From $(\tau, e_2, e_3) \in \mathbf{E}([L_2]_{G_{\{2\}}}^*)$ and $[L_2]_{G_{\{2\}}}^* = L_2([L_2]_{G_{\{2\}}}^*) \cup G_{\{2\}}$, by Lemma 73, we have three cases.
 - When $e_2 \hookrightarrow^\omega$ and $e_3 \hookrightarrow^\omega$:
Since $e'_2 = \mathbf{I}(\tilde{\tau}, \tau', f_1, f_3) v_2$ for some $\tilde{\tau}, f_1, f_3, v_2$, we know e'_2 and thus e_2 gets stuck. Contradiction.
 - When $e_2 \hookrightarrow^* v_2 \wedge e_3 \hookrightarrow^* v_3$ with $(\tau, v_2, v_3) \in \overline{[L_2]_{G_{\{2\}}}^*}$:
Since $e'_2 = \mathbf{I}(\tilde{\tau}, \tau', f_1, f_3) v_2$ for some $\tilde{\tau}, f_1, f_3, v_2$, we know e'_2 and thus e_2 gets stuck. Contradiction.
 - When $e_2 \hookrightarrow^m K'_2[e''_2] \wedge e_3 \hookrightarrow^* K_3[e'_3]$ with
 - $(\tau'', e''_2, e'_3) \in \mathbf{S}(G_{\{2\}}, [L_2]_{G_{\{2\}}}^*)$ and
 - $(\tau'', \tau, K_2, K_3) \in \mathbf{K}([L_2]_{G_{\{2\}}}^*)$:
By definition of \mathbf{S} and $G_{\{1\}}$, we have for some $\tilde{\tau}, f_1, f_3, v_1, v_2$,
 - $e'_1 = f_1 v_1$;
 - $e'_2 = \mathbf{I}(\tilde{\tau}, \tau', f_1, f_3) v_2$;
 - $(\tilde{\tau} \rightarrow \tau', f_1, f_3) \in G$;
 - $(\tilde{\tau}, v_1, v_2) \in \overline{[L_1]_{G_{\{1\}}}^*}$;
By definition of \mathbf{S} and $G_{\{2\}}$, we have for some $\tilde{\tau}', f'_1, f'_3, v'_2, v_3$,
 - $e''_2 = \mathbf{I}(\tilde{\tau}', \tau'', f'_1, f'_3) v'_2$;
 - $e'_3 = f'_3 v_3$;
 - $(\tilde{\tau}' \rightarrow \tau'', f'_1, f'_3) \in G$;
 - $(\tilde{\tau}', v'_2, v_3) \in \overline{[L_2]_{G_{\{2\}}}^*}$.
Since both e'_2 and e''_2 get stuck, we have $n = m$ and thus
 - $K_2 = K'_2$;
 - $\mathbf{I}(\tilde{\tau}, \tau', f_1, f_3) = \mathbf{I}(\tilde{\tau}', \tau'', f'_1, f'_3)$;
 - $v_2 = v'_2$.
Since \mathbf{I} is injective, we have
 - $\tilde{\tau} = \tilde{\tau}'$;
 - $\tau' = \tau''$;
 - $f_1 = f'_1$;
 - $f_3 = f'_3$.
Thus we have
 - $e'_1 = f_1 v_1$;

- $e'_3 = f_3 v_3$;
 - $(f_1, f_3) \in G(\tilde{\tau} \rightarrow \tau') = \overline{G}(\tilde{\tau} \rightarrow \tau')$;
 - $(v_1, v_3) \in \overline{G}(\tilde{\tau})$ by Lemmas 98 and 99 since $(\tilde{\tau}, v_1, v_2) \in \overline{[L_1]_{G_{\{1\}}}^*}$, $(\tilde{\tau}, v_2, v_3) \in \overline{[L_2]_{G_{\{2\}}}^*}$.
- Thus it remains to show that $(\tau', \tau, K_1, K_3) \in \mathbf{K}'(G)$. By definition of \mathbf{K}' , this follows from
- $(\tau', \tau, K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}([L_1]_{G_{\{1\}}}^*)$; and
 - $(\tau', \tau, K_2, K_3) \in \mathbf{K}([L_2]_{G_{\{2\}}}^*)$.

- Now we need to show that

$$(\tau_2, K_1[v_1], K_3[v_3]) \in \mathbf{E}'(G)$$

for $(\tau_1, \tau_2, K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}([L_1]_{G_{\{1\}}}^*)$, $(\tau_1, \tau_2, K_2, K_3) \in \mathbf{K}([L_2]_{G_{\{2\}}}^*)$ and $(\tau_1, v_1, v_3) \in \overline{G}$.

- By Lemmas 98 and 99, there exists v_2 such that $(\tau_1, v_1, v_2) \in \overline{[L_1]_{G_{\{1\}}}^*}$ and $(\tau_1, v_2, v_3) \in \overline{[L_2]_{G_{\{2\}}}^*}$.
- Thus we have that $(\tau_2, K_1[v_1], K_2[v_2]) \in \mathbf{E}([L_1]_{G_{\{1\}}}^*)$ and $(\tau_2, K_2[v_2], K_3[v_3]) \in \mathbf{E}([L_2]_{G_{\{2\}}}^*)$.
- Thus, by definition of \mathbf{E}' , we have $(\tau_2, K_1[v_1], K_3[v_3]) \in \mathbf{E}'(G)$.

□

Theorem 101.

$$\Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \tau \wedge \Gamma \vdash e_2 \sim e_3 : \tau \implies \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_3 : \tau$$

Proof.

Assume $\Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim_{L_1} e_2 : \tau \wedge \Gamma \vdash e_2 \sim_{L_2} e_3 : \tau$ and let $L := L_1 \circ L_2$. We show *consistent*(L) as follows.

- Let $G \in \text{GK}(L)$, $(\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2, f_1, f_3) \in L(G)$, $(v_1, v_3) \in \overline{G}(\tau_1)$.
- Then we need to show $(\tau_2, \text{beta}(f_1 v_1), \text{beta}(f_3 v_3)) \in \mathbf{E}(G)$.
- By definition of L , we have f_2 such that $(\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2, f_1, f_2) \in L_1([L_1]_{G_{\{1\}}}^*)$ and $(\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2, f_2, f_3) \in L_2([L_2]_{G_{\{2\}}}^*)$.
- By Lemmas 98 and 99, we have v_2 such that $(\tau_1, v_1, v_2) \in \overline{[L_1]_{G_{\{1\}}}^*}$ and $(\tau_1, v_2, v_3) \in \overline{[L_2]_{G_{\{2\}}}^*}$.
- By Lemma 100, it suffices to show

$$(\tau_2, \text{beta}(f_1 v_1), \text{beta}(f_2 v_2)) \in \mathbf{E}([L_1]_{G_{\{1\}}}^*) \wedge (\tau_2, \text{beta}(f_2 v_2), \text{beta}(f_3 v_3)) \in \mathbf{E}([L_2]_{G_{\{2\}}}^*)$$

which directly follows from the assumptions.

Now we show $\forall G \in \text{GK}(L). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_3) \in \text{Env}(\Gamma, G). (\tau, \gamma_1 e_1, \gamma_3 e_3) \in \mathbf{E}(G)$.

- Let $G \in \text{GK}(L)$ and $(\gamma_1, \gamma_3) \in \text{Env}(\Gamma, G)$.
- By Lemmas 98 and 99 there exists γ_2 such that

$$(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\Gamma, [L_1]_{G_{\{1\}}}^*) \wedge (\gamma_2, \gamma_3) \in \text{Env}(\Gamma, [L_2]_{G_{\{2\}}}^*) .$$

- Thus by assumption, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau, \gamma_1 e_1, \gamma_2 e_2) &\in \mathbf{E}([L_1]_{G_{\{1\}}}^*) \\ (\tau, \gamma_2 e_2, \gamma_3 e_3) &\in \mathbf{E}([L_2]_{G_{\{2\}}}^*) \end{aligned}$$

- Thus, by Lemma 100, we have $(\tau, \gamma_1 e_1, \gamma_3 e_3) \in \mathbf{E}(G)$.

□