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A piece of research
By downcasting the pre-axial gaskets, we achieved 47% reduction in XPS latency on the re-uptake bivalve!
By downcasting the pre-axial gaskets, we achieved 47% reduction in XPS latency on the re-uptake bivalve!

OK, but what does it do, and why do I care?
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  - Based on how readers process information
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The good news

- There are **principles** you can follow that will help you write clearer, more readable prose

  - Based on how readers process information

  "Be clear"
  "Omit needless words"
  ...

---

**The Elements of Style**

*William Strunk Jr. and E.B. White*

*Fourth Edition*
The good news

- There are **principles** you can follow that will help you write clearer, more readable prose
  - Based on how readers process information

- These principles are **constructive**:
  - Easy to check if your text satisfies these principles
  - If not, principles suggest improvements
Inspirations for this talk

- **Joseph M. Williams.** *Style: Toward clarity and grace.* 1990. (book)

- **Norman Ramsey.** *Learn technical writing in two hours per week.* (course notes)

- **Simon Peyton Jones.** *How to write a great research paper.* (talk)
Inspirations for this talk

- **Joseph M. Williams.** *Style: Toward clarity and grace.* 1990. (book)

- **Simon Peyton Jones.** *How to write a great research paper.* (talk)

Talk developed jointly with Rose Hoberman @ MPI-SWS
Sentences & paragraphs
Flow

It should be clear how each sentence and paragraph relates to the adjacent ones.
Does this text flow?
Security proofs of cryptographic protocols are crucial for the security of everyday electronic communication. However, these proofs tend to be complex and difficult to get right. The game-playing technique, originally proposed by Jones et al., follows a code-based approach where the security properties are formulated in terms of probabilistic programs, called games. This is a general design principle for cryptographic proofs to ease their management.
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Security proofs of cryptographic protocols are crucial for the security of everyday electronic communication. However, these proofs tend to be complex and difficult to get right. The game-playing technique, originally proposed by Jones et al., follows a code-based approach where the security properties are formulated in terms of probabilistic programs, called games. This is a general design principle for cryptographic proofs to ease their management.
Security proofs of cryptographic protocols are crucial for the security of everyday electronic communication. However, these proofs tend to be complex and difficult to get right. The game-playing technique, originally proposed by Jones et al., follows a code-based approach. What does this game-playing technique have to do with what came before?
Old to new

- Begin sentences with old info
  - Creates link to earlier text

- End sentences with new info
  - Creates link to the text that follows
  - Also places new info in position of emphasis
Applying old-to-new

Security proofs of cryptographic protocols are crucial for the security of everyday electronic communication. However, these proofs tend to be complex and difficult to get right. The game-playing technique, originally proposed by Jones et al., follows a code-based approach where the security properties are formulated in terms of probabilistic programs, called games. This is a general design principle for cryptographic proofs to ease their management.
Applying old-to-new

Security proofs of cryptographic protocols are crucial for the security of everyday electronic communication. However, these proofs tend to be complex and difficult to get right. To make it easier to manage such proofs, Jones et al. have proposed a new design principle, called the game-playing technique. This technique follows a code-based approach where the security properties are formulated in terms of probabilistic programs, called games.
Security proofs of cryptographic protocols are crucial for the security of everyday electronic communication. However, these proofs tend to be complex and difficult to get right. To make it easier to manage such proofs, Jones et al. have proposed a new design principle, called the game-playing technique. This technique follows a code-based approach where the security properties are formulated in terms of probabilistic programs, called games.
But flow is not enough!
Lions and tigers are some of the most dramatic and awe-inspiring species of cats. Most of these large cats, however, are currently facing extinction. A smaller cat that has been more evolutionarily successful is the house cat. Although house cats are currently the most popular pet in the world, they are in many ways anti-social. It would therefore be interesting to study whether house cats can be trained to be more sociable.
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Lions and tigers are some of the most dramatic and awe-inspiring species of cats. Most of these large cats, however, are currently facing extinction. A smaller cat that has been more evolutionarily successful is the house cat. Although house cats are currently the most popular pet in the world, they are in many ways anti-social. It would therefore be interesting to study whether house cats can be trained to be more sociable.
Lions and tigers are some of the most dramatic and awe-inspiring species of cats. Most of these large cats, however, are currently facing extinction. A smaller cat that has been more evolutionarily successful is the house cat. Although house cats are currently the most popular pet in the world, they are in many ways anti-social. It would therefore be interesting to study whether house cats can be trained to be more sociable.
Lions and tigers are some of the most dramatic and awe-inspiring species of cats. Most of these large cats, however, are currently facing extinction. A smaller cat that has been more evolutionarily successful is the house cat. Although house cats are currently the most popular pet in the world, they are in many ways anti-social. It would therefore be interesting to study whether house cats can be trained to be more sociable.
Lions and tigers are some of the most dramatic and awe-inspiring species of cats. Most of these large cats, however, are currently facing extinction. A smaller cat that has been more evolutionarily successful is the house cat. Although house cats are currently the most popular pet in the world, they are in many ways anti-social. It would therefore be interesting to study whether house cats can be trained to be more sociable.
Lions and tigers are some of the most dramatic and awe-inspiring species of cats. Most of these large cats, however, are currently facing extinction. A smaller cat that has been more evolutionarily successful is the house cat. Although house cats are currently the most popular pet in the world, they are in many ways anti-social. It would therefore be interesting to study whether house cats can be trained to be more sociable.
Lions and tigers are some of the most dramatic and awe-inspiring species of cats. However, most of these large cats, however, are currently facing extinction. A smaller but more evolutionarily successful species of cats is the house cat. Although house cats are currently the most popular pet in the world, they are in many ways anti-social. It would therefore be interesting to study whether house cats can be trained to be more sociable.
Coherence

It should be clear how each sentence and paragraph relates to the big picture
One paragraph, one point

• A paragraph should have one main point, expressed in a single **point sentence**

• **Typically** the point sentence should appear at or near the beginning of the paragraph

Get to the **point**!
Lions and tigers are some of the most dramatic and awe-inspiring species of cats. Most of these large cats, however, are currently facing extinction. A smaller cat that has been more evolutionarily successful is the house cat. Although house cats are currently the most popular pet in the world, they are in many ways anti-social. It would therefore be interesting to study whether house cats can be trained to be more sociable.
There appears to be a negative correlation between the charisma of a species and its ability to survive. Lions and tigers, for instance, are among the most majestic creatures in the animal kingdom, yet they are currently facing extinction. In contrast, the house cat is evolutionarily quite successful, even though it is mostly known for stupid pet tricks.
Flow & coherence

Create flow with old to new

Create coherence with one paragraph, one point
Two other principles

● Name your baby:
  – Give unique names to things and use them consistently

● Just in time:
  – Give information precisely when it is needed, not before
Three other principles

- Give unique names to things and use them consistently

Just in time:
- Give information precisely when it is needed, not before

Bonus principle from Rose

Short subjects:
Subject of sentence should be at most 8 words long
Structure of a research paper
Program committee (PC) is diverse:

- Your reviewers may not be “experts”

Review period is short (~2 months):

- ~10-20 papers per PC member
- Often < 1 day to review each paper
**Overarching Principle #1**

**TOP-DOWN**

Explain your work at multiple levels of abstraction, starting at a high level (accessible to non-experts) and getting progressively more detailed.
Overarching Principle #2

Tell them what they want to know.
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Tell them what they want to know

☑️ How is your work **important**?
☑️ How is your work **novel**?
☑️ How is your work **interesting**?
Overarching Principle #2

Tell them what they want to know

- How is your work important?
- How is your work novel?
- How is your work interesting?
- How was your work challenging?
A structure that works

- **Abstract** (1-2 paragraphs, 1000 readers)
- **Intro** (2-4 pages, 100 readers)
- **Key ideas** (4-6 pages, 50 readers)
- **Technical meat** (8-12 pages, 5 readers)
- **Related work** (1-3 pages, 100 readers)
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The CGI model for an abstract/intro

- **Context:**
  - Set the stage, motivate the general topic

- **Gap:**
  - Explain your specific problem and why existing work does not adequately solve it

- **Innovation:**
  - State what you’ve done that is new, and explain how it helps fill the gap
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- **Gap:**
  - Explain your specific problem and why existing work does not adequately solve it

- **Innovation:**
  - State what you’ve done that is new, and explain how it helps fill the gap

**Importance**
The CGI model for an abstract/intro

- **Context:**
  - Set the stage, motivate the general topic

- **Gap:**
  - Explain your specific problem and why existing work does not adequately solve it

- **Innovation:**
  - State what you’ve done that is new, and explain how it helps fill the gap
An abstract for this talk
Context

Learning to write well is an essential part of becoming a successful researcher.
Learning to write well is an essential part of becoming a successful researcher. Unfortunately, many researchers find it very hard to write well because they do not know how to view their text from the perspective of the reader.
Innovation

Learning to write well is an essential part of becoming a successful researcher. Unfortunately, many researchers find it very hard to write well because they do not know how to view their text from the perspective of the reader. In this talk, we present a simple set of principles for good writing, based on an understanding of how readers process information. Unlike such platitudes as "Be clear" or "Omit needless words", our principles are constructive: one can easily check whether a piece of text satisfies them, and if it does not, the principles suggest concrete ways to improve it.
Introduction

- Like an expanded version of the abstract
- Alternative approach (SPJ): Eliminate Context
  - Start with a concrete example, e.g. “Consider this Haskell code…”
  - If this works, it can be effective, but I find it often doesn’t work
  - It assumes reader already knows context
A structure that works

- Abstract (1-2 paragraphs, 1000 readers)
- Intro (2-4 pages, 100 readers)
- **Key ideas** (4-6 pages, 50 readers)
- Technical meat (8-12 pages, 5 readers)
- Related work (1-3 pages, 100 readers)
“Key ideas” section

- Use **concrete illustrative examples** and high-level intuition
- Do **not** have to show the general solution (that’s what the technical section is for)
Why have a “key ideas” section at all?

1. Forces you to have a takeaway, i.e. something interesting!

2. Many readers only care about the takeaway, not the technical details

3. For those who want the technical details, the key ideas are still useful as “scaffolding”
A structure that works

- Abstract (1-2 paragraphs, 1000 readers)
- Intro (2-4 pages, 100 readers)
- Key ideas (4-6 pages, 50 readers)
- Technical meat (8-12 pages, 5 readers)
- Related work (1-3 pages, 100 readers)
Related work

1. **It goes at the end** of the paper.
   - You can only properly compare to related work once you’ve explained your own.

2. **Give real comparisons**, not a “laundry list”!
   - Explain in detail how your work fills the Gap in a way that related work doesn’t.
Summary of principles

- Flow via “old to new”
- Coherence via “one paragraph, one point”
- Name your baby, just in time, short subjects
- CGI model for abstract/intro
- Layer presentation with “key ideas” section
- Detailed related work section goes at the end
My job as a researcher

- Do research
- Write papers
- Give talks
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- Do research
- Write papers
- Give talks
Entertain your audience!

- **Simon Peyton Jones.** *How to give a great research talk.* (MSR Summer School, 2016)
  - “Your mission is to **wake them up!**”
  - “Your most potent weapon, by far, is **your enthusiasm!**”

- **John Hughes.** *Unaccustomed as I am to public speaking.* (PLMW, 2016)
  - “**Put on a show!**”
Entertain your audience!

- Simon Peyton Jones. *How to give a great research talk.* (MSR Summer School, 2016)

  "Your mission is to wake them up!"  "Your most potent weapon, by far, is your enthusiasm!"

Good advice, but I don’t know how to teach people to be entertaining...

- John Hughes. *Unaccustomed as I am to public speaking.* (PLMW, 2016)

  “Put on a show!”
What is your main goal in giving a conference talk?
What is your main goal in giving a conference talk?

Get people to read your paper?
What is your main goal in giving a conference talk?

Get people to read your paper?

No! Talk ≠ Paper
What is your main goal in giving a conference talk?

Give people positive feelings about you and your work!
How is a conference talk different from a paper?
Conference talks

On the plus side:

✅ Great advertising for you and your work!

On the minus side:
Conference talks

**On the plus side:**

✔ Great advertising for you and your work!

**On the minus side:**

✘ You can’t say much.

✘ The audience may or may not care.

✘ Even those who care will easily get lost.

✘ Slides are a visual medium.
Conference talks

On the plus side:

✔ Great advertising for you and your work!

On the minus side:

✘ You can’t say much.
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✘ Slides are a visual medium.
A paper structure that works

- Abstract
- Intro
- Key ideas
- Technical meat
- Related work
talk
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- Abstract
- Intro
- Key ideas
- Technical meat
- Related work
A paper structure that works

- Intro (8 minutes)
- Key ideas (11 minutes)
A paper structure that works

- **Intro** (8 minutes)
- **Key ideas** (11 minutes)
- **What else is in the paper** (1 minute)
Conference talks

On the plus side:
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**On the plus side:**

✅ Great advertising for you and your work!

**On the minus side:**

❌ You can’t say much.

❌ The audience may or may not care.

❌ Even those who care will easily get lost.

❌ Slides are a visual medium.
BRO

WHATS YOUR PROBLEM?!
Stage the motivation

- First, get to a problem.
  - Explain a general version of your problem (but not too general) in the first 2 minutes.

- Then, get to the problem.
  - Motivate and explicitly state your specific problem in the next 4 minutes.
  - Limit discussion of prior work only to what is needed to explain your problem.
Tell them what you did!

- **Proudly state your contributions.**
  - After the motivation, the audience eagerly wants to hear what you did. Tell them!

- **Follow immediately with a crisp statement of your key idea(s).**
  - It will give audience a take-home message, and give focus to the rest of your talk.
Conference talks

**On the plus side:**

✅ Great advertising for you and your work!

**On the minus side:**

❌ You can’t say much.
❌ The audience may or may not care.
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Conference talks

On the plus side:

✔️ Great advertising for you and your work!

On the minus side:

❌ You can’t say much.

❌ The audience may or may not care.

❌ Even those who care will easily get lost.

❌ Slides are a visual medium.
Flow & coherence

Create flow with old to new

Create coherence with one paragraph, one point
How do flow & coherence apply in giving talks?
Flow in talks

- **Within** a slide:
  - Script should follow “old to new”

- **Between** slides:
  - Don’t just flip to next slide and say, “So…”
  - Plan something to say **during** the transition
Flow & coherence

Create flow with old to new

Create coherence with one paragraph, one point
Flow & coherence

Create flow with old to new

Create coherence with one paragraph, one point slide
Optimization & Concurrency

- Compiler performs several optimizations to generate optimized code.
  - >100 optimizations in GCC, LLVM.

*Correct optimizations for sequential programs may be incorrect for shared memory concurrency.*

State-of-the-Art:

- Compilers are over-conservative;
  * optimization opportunities are lost.

or

- Buggy optimization
  * "Premature optimization is the root of all evil" ~ Donald Knuth
Talklets

- **Break long stretches of talk into talklets.**
  - More digestible units of story (2-4 min.)
  - But just having talklets is not enough…

- **Use transitions between talklets to remind the audience of the big picture.**
  - Summarize the point of the last talklet and how it connects to the next one.
Conference talks

On the plus side:

✔️ Great advertising for you and your work!

On the minus side:

✖️ You can’t say much.
✖️ The audience may or may not care.
✖️ Even those who care will easily get lost.
✖️ Slides are a visual medium.
Conference talks

On the plus side:

✅ Great advertising for you and your work!

On the minus side:

❌ You can’t say much.
❌ The audience may or may not care.
❌ Even those who care will easily get lost.
❌ Slides are a visual medium.
Core Idea of Rust

Unrestricted mutation and aliasing lead to:
- use-after-free errors (dangling references)
- data races
- iterator invalidation

Rust prevents all these errors using a sophisticated "ownership" type system.
Make the focus obvious!

(h/t Ranjit Jhala, “How to Design Talks”)

(Imaginary image of a person speaking.)
Make it clear where to look!

(h/t Ranjit Jhala, “How to Design Talks”)
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Unrestricted mutation and aliasing lead to:

- use-after-free errors (dangling references)
- data races
- iterator invalidation

Core Idea of Rust

Rust prevents all these errors using a sophisticated “ownership” type system
One exception to the rule...
Talklets
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Talklets

• Break long stretches of talk into talklets.
  - More digestible units of story (2-4 min.)
  - But just having talklets is not enough…

• Use transitions between talklets to remind the audience of the big picture.
  - Summarize the point of the last talklet and how it connects to the next one.
Make it clear where to look

**DO:**
- Build slide visuals incrementally
- Use smooth animations to clarify transitions

**DON’T:**
- Reveal bullet points one at a time
Access control is inadequate, scenario 2: Facebook timeline
- Facebook introduced timeline in 2011 and chronologically order all the information on your profile. Make them easily searchable for other users.
- Easier to search potentially embarrassing older content.
- Users were afraid of privacy violation.
- Access control was not changed!

Access control is inadequate, scenario 3: Spokeo
- Service aggregating information about individuals. E.g., your Facebook profile, address.
- One can infer new non-public information. Estimating wealth using address and public property records.
- Users complain of privacy violation. Access control was not changed!

Access control is inadequate: Summary
- User retention suggests each of the cases violate privacy.
- However, in none of the cases access control is violated.
- We propose a new model to reason about privacy.

Exposure: Definition
- We define exposure at time t or P(t) as the state of the user at time t.
- Then E, exposure of i is: $E = \lim_{t \to \infty} P(t)$.

Modeling user privacy using exposure
- For each content users have an expected exposure.
  - How many other users are likely to access the content?
  - We can model privacy violation for an information as a large deviation of actual exposure from expected exposure.

Revisiting scenario 1: Facebook newsfeed
- Before newsfeed was introduced: Expected exposure: Friends who will visit your profile. Actual exposure was same as expected exposure.
- After newsfeed was introduced: Actual exposure is much higher than the expected exposure.

Revisiting scenario 2: Facebook timeline
- Before timeline was introduced: Expected exposure for friends who will find a post. Actual exposure for older data was same as expected exposure.
- After timeline was introduced: Actual exposure for older data: All friends who visit the profile. Actual exposure is much higher than the expected exposure.

Revisiting scenario 3: Spokeo
- Before spokeo aggregated data: Expected exposure for new inferred data: Users who dig up each individual piece of content from different sources.
- Actual exposure for older data was same as expected exposure.
- After spokeo aggregated data: Actual exposure for new inferred data: All users who visit public comments on website. Actual exposure is much higher than the expected exposure.

Proposed model: managing privacy via exposure
- Major deviation from expected exposure can capture the privacy violations not covered by access control.
- Predicting future exposure, making the predictions available to users, let users fine-tune the exposure by changing access control.
- Feedback to the predictor.

Key challenge: Predicting future exposure
- Huge existing work for predicting growth in content popularity.
  - Future YouTube views, Facebook likes, Retweets;
  - Use machine learning, regression techniques;
  - We can leverage advances in those fields to predict exposure.
- ONS operators are best positioned to do the predictions.
  - Empirical data on how information disseminates in networks.
  - Facebook or Youtube already provide number of likes or views.

Limitations of our model
- Privacy violation by inference using available data.
  - It is extremely hard to enumerate all possible inferences.
  - Privacy violation using cross site prediction.
  - Prediction across multiple systems.
  - E.g., posting a picture taken from Facebook on Twitter.
Introduction
- Like an expanded version of the abstract
- Alternative approach (SF): Eliminate Context
  - Start with a concrete example, e.g.
  - If this works, it can be effective, but I find it often doesn't work
  - It assumes reader already knows context

A structure that works
- Abstract (1-2 paragraphs, 1000 readers)
- Intro (1-2 pages, 100 readers)
- Key ideas (2-3 pages, 50 readers)
- Technical meat (4-6 pages, 5 readers)
- Related work (1-2 pages, 100 readers)

"Key ideas" section
- Use concrete illustrative examples and high-level intuition
- Do not have to show the general solution (that's what the technical section is for)

Why have a "key ideas" section at all?
1. Forces you to have a "takeaway"
2. Many readers only care about the takeaway, not the technical details
3. For those who want the technical details, the key ideas are still useful as "scaffolding"

A confession
I don't always have a key ideas section.

Breadth-first traversal
Sometimes breadth-first doesn't work!
- e.g., if explaining 3 & 4 requires first explaining subtree rooted at 2
- Key idea:

Layering the presentation
- "The paper is extremely well written."
- "The presentation of the semantics is well-motivated and understandable."
- Section 3.4: Present other key ideas and built up to the full semantics incrementally

Layering the presentation
- What if you don't have enough space for such a layered presentation?
  - Move some technical details to appendix
  - Submit to a better conference (i.e., a conference with a higher page limit)

A structure that works
- Abstract (1-2 paragraphs, 1000 readers)
- Intro (1-2 pages, 100 readers)
- Key ideas (2-3 pages, 50 readers)
- Technical meat (4-6 pages, 5 readers)
- Related work (1-2 pages, 100 readers)
Key takeaways

- Avoid PowerPoint-itis
  - Don’t put lots of text on slides just so they are readable independently of the talk

- Vary the look of the slides
  - Some text-only slides are fine, but if there are too many in a row, audience falls asleep
Summary of principles

• Talk ≠ Paper
• Intro & key ideas are all you need
• First general problem, then specific problem
• State contributions & follow with key ideas
• Flow via old-to-new
• Coherence via one slide, one point
• Make it clear where to look
• Avoid lots of text & vary the look of slides
Summary of principles

- Talk ≠ Paper
- Intro & key ideas
- First general problem, then specific problem
- State contributions & follow with key ideas
- Flow via old-to-new
- Coherence via one slide, one point
- Make it clear where to look
- **Avoid lots of text** & vary the look of slides

*This is what you call “avoiding lots of text”?
That's all Folks!