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A piece of research
By downcasting the pre-axial gaskets, we achieved 47% reduction in XPS latency on the re-uptake bivalve!
By downcasting the pre-axial gaskets, we achieved 47% reduction in XPS latency on the re-uptake bivalve!

OK, but what does it do, and why do I care?
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The good news

- There are principles you can follow that will help you write clearer, more readable prose

  - Based on how readers process information

  "Be clear"
  "Omit needless words"
  ...

- "The Elements of Style"
  - William Strunk Jr. and E.B. White
The good news

- There are **principles** you can follow that will help you write clearer, more readable prose
  - Based on how readers process information

- These principles are **constructive**:
  - Easy to check if your text satisfies these principles
  - If not, principles suggest improvements
Inspirations for this talk

- **Joseph M. Williams.** *Style: Toward clarity and grace.* 1990. (book)

- **Norman Ramsey.** *Learn technical writing in two hours per week.* (course notes)

- **Simon Peyton Jones.** *How to write a great research paper.* (talk)
Inspirations for this talk
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- Simon Peyton Jones. *How to write a great research paper*. (talk)
  
Sentences & paragraphs
Flow

It should be clear how each sentence and paragraph relates to the adjacent ones.
Does this text flow?
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Security proofs of cryptographic protocols are crucial for the security of everyday electronic communication. However, these proofs tend to be complex and difficult to get right. The game-playing technique, originally proposed by Jones et al., follows a code-based approach where the security properties are formulated in terms of probabilistic programs, called games. This is a general design principle for cryptographic proofs to ease their management.
Security proofs of cryptographic protocols are crucial for the security of everyday electronic communication. However, these proofs tend to be complex and difficult to get right. The game-playing technique, originally proposed by Jones et al., follows a code-based approach. What does this game-playing technique have to do with what came before?
Old to new

- Begin sentences with old info
  - Creates link to earlier text

- End sentences with new info
  - Creates link to the text that follows
  - Also places new info in position of emphasis
Security proofs of cryptographic protocols are crucial for the security of everyday electronic communication. However, these proofs tend to be complex and difficult to get right. The game-playing technique, originally proposed by Jones et al., follows a code-based approach where the security properties are formulated in terms of probabilistic programs, called games. This is a general design principle for cryptographic proofs to ease their management.
Applying old-to-new

Security proofs of cryptographic protocols are crucial for the security of everyday electronic communication. However, these proofs tend to be complex and difficult to get right. To make it easier to manage such proofs, Jones et al. have proposed a new design principle, called the game-playing technique. This technique follows a code-based approach where the security properties are formulated in terms of probabilistic programs, called games.
Security proofs of cryptographic protocols are crucial for the security of everyday electronic communication. However, these proofs tend to be complex and difficult to get right. To make it easier to manage such proofs, Jones et al. have proposed a new design principle, called the game-playing technique. This technique follows a code-based approach where the security properties are formulated in terms of probabilistic programs, called games.
But flow is not enough!
Lions and tigers are some of the most dramatic and awe-inspiring species of cats. Most of these large cats, however, are currently facing extinction. A smaller cat that has been more evolutionarily successful is the house cat. Although house cats are currently the most popular pet in the world, they are in many ways anti-social. It would therefore be interesting to study whether house cats can be trained to be more sociable.
Lions and tigers are some of the most dramatic and awe-inspiring species of cats. Most of these large cats, however, are currently facing extinction. A smaller cat that has been more evolutionarily successful is the house cat. Although house cats are currently the most popular pet in the world, they are in many ways anti-social. It would therefore be interesting to study whether house cats can be trained to be more sociable.
Lions and tigers are some of the most dramatic and awe-inspiring species of cats. Most of these large cats, however, are currently facing extinction. A smaller cat that has been more evolutionarily successful is the house cat. Although house cats are currently the most popular pet in the world, they are in many ways anti-social. It would therefore be interesting to study whether house cats can be trained to be more sociable.
Lions and tigers are some of the most dramatic and awe-inspiring species of cats. Most of these large cats, however, are currently facing extinction. A smaller cat that has been more evolutionarily successful is the house cat. Although house cats are currently the most popular pet in the world, they are in many ways anti-social. It would therefore be interesting to study whether house cats can be trained to be more sociable.
Lions and tigers are some of the most dramatic and awe-inspiring species of cats. Most of these large cats, however, are currently facing extinction. A smaller cat that has been more evolutionarily successful is the house cat. Although house cats are currently the most popular pet in the world, they are in many ways anti-social. It would therefore be interesting to study whether house cats can be trained to be more sociable.
Lions and tigers are some of the most dramatic and awe-inspiring species of cats. Most of these large cats, however, are currently facing extinction. A smaller cat that has been more evolutionarily successful is the house cat. Although house cats are currently the most popular pet in the world, they are in many ways anti-social. It would therefore be interesting to study whether house cats can be trained to be more sociable.
Lions and tigers are some of the most dramatic and awe-inspiring species of cats. Most of these large cats, however, are currently facing extinction. A smaller cat that has been more evolutionarily successful is the house cat. Although house cats are currently the most popular pet in the world, they are in many ways anti-social. It would therefore be interesting to study whether house cats can be trained to be more sociable.
Lions and tigers are some of the most dramatic and awe-inspiring species of cats. However, most of these large cats, however, are currently facing extinction. A smaller cat that has been more evolutionarily successful is the house cat. Although house cats are currently the most popular pet in the world, they are not very social. It would therefore be interesting to study whether house cats can be trained to be more sociable.
Coherence

It should be clear how each sentence and paragraph relates to the big picture
One paragraph, one point

- A paragraph should have one main point, expressed in a single **point sentence**

- **Typically** the point sentence should appear at or near the beginning of the paragraph
Lions and tigers are some of the most dramatic and awe-inspiring species of cats. Most of these large cats, however, are currently facing extinction. A smaller cat that has been more evolutionarily successful is the house cat. Although house cats are currently the most popular pet in the world, they are in many ways anti-social. It would therefore be interesting to study whether house cats can be trained to be more sociable.
There appears to be a negative correlation between the charisma of a species and its ability to survive. Lions and tigers, for instance, are among the most majestic creatures in the animal kingdom, yet they are currently facing extinction. In contrast, the house cat is evolutionarily quite successful, even though it is mostly known for stupid pet tricks.
Flow & coherence

Create flow with old to new

Create coherence with one paragraph, one point
Two other principles

- **Name your baby:**
  - Give unique names to things and use them consistently

- **Just in time:**
  - Give information precisely when it is needed, not before
Structure of a research paper
The basic idea

**TOP-DOWN**

Explain your work at multiple levels of abstraction, starting at a high level and getting progressively more detailed.
A structure that works

- **Abstract** (1-2 paragraphs, 1000 readers)
- **Intro** (1-2 pages, 100 readers)
- **Key ideas** (2-3 pages, 50 readers)
- **Technical meat** (4-6 pages, 5 readers)
- **Related work** (1-2 pages, 100 readers)
A structure that works

- Abstract (1-2 paragraphs, 1000 readers)
- Intro (1-2 pages, 100 readers)
- Key ideas (2-3 pages, 50 readers)
- Technical meat (4-6 pages, 5 readers)
- Related work (1-2 pages, 100 readers)
The CGI model for an abstract/intro

• **Context:**
  - Set the stage, motivate the general topic

• **Gap:**
  - Explain your specific problem and why existing work does not adequately solve it

• **Innovation:**
  - State what you’ve done that is new, and explain how it helps fill the gap
An abstract for this talk
Context

Learning to write well is an essential part of becoming a successful researcher.
Gap

Learning to write well is an essential part of becoming a successful researcher. Unfortunately, many researchers find it very hard to write well because they do not know how to view their text from the perspective of the reader.
Innovation

Learning to write well is an essential part of becoming a successful researcher. Unfortunately, many researchers find it very hard to write well because they do not know how to view their text from the perspective of the reader. In this talk, we present a simple set of principles for good writing, based on an understanding of how readers process information. Unlike such platitudes as "Be clear" or "Omit needless words", our principles are constructive: one can easily check whether a piece of text satisfies them, and if it does not, the principles suggest concrete ways to improve it.
Introduction

- Like an expanded version of the abstract

- Alternative approach (SPJ): Eliminate Context
  - Start with a concrete example, e.g. “Consider this Haskell code…”
  - If this works, it can be effective, but I find it often doesn’t work
  - It assumes reader already knows context
A structure that works

- Abstract (1-2 paragraphs, 1000 readers)
- Intro (1-2 pages, 100 readers)
- **Key ideas** (2-3 pages, 50 readers)
- Technical meat (4-6 pages, 5 readers)
- Related work (1-2 pages, 100 readers)
“Key ideas” section

- Use **concrete illustrative examples** and high-level intuition
- Do **not** have to show the general solution (that’s what the technical section is for)
Why have a “key ideas” section at all?

1. Forces you to have a “takeaway”
2. Many readers only care about the takeaway, not the technical details
3. For those who want the technical details, the key ideas are still useful as “scaffolding”
A confession

I don’t always have a key ideas section.
Breadth-first traversal
Breadth-first traversal

Intro:

Key ideas:

Technical meat
Breadth-first traversal

Intro:

Key ideas:

Sometimes breadth-first doesn’t work!

E.g., if explaining 3 & 4 requires first explaining subtree rooted at 2
A Promising Semantics for Relaxed-Memory Concurrency

Jeehoon Kang  Chung-Kil Hur *  Ori Lahav  Viktor Vafeiadis  Derek Dreyer
Seoul National University, Korea  {jeehoon.kang,gil.hur}@sf.snu.ac.kr
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Abstract
Despite many years of research, it has proven very difficult to develop a memory model for concurrent programming languages that adequately balances the conflicting desiderata of programmers, compilers, and hardware. In this paper, we propose the first relaxed memory model that (1) accounts for a broad spectrum of features from the C++11 concurrency model, (2) is implementable, in the sense that it provably validates many standard compiler optimizations and reorderings, as well as standard compilation schemes to x86-TSO and Power, (3) justifies simple invariant-based reasoning, thus demonstrating the absence of bad “out-of-thin-air” behaviors, (4) supports “DRF” guarantees, ensuring that programmers who use sufficient synchronization need not understand the full complexities of relaxed-memory semantics, and (5) defines the semantics of racy programs without relying on undefined behaviors, which is a prerequisite for applicability to type-safe languages like Java.

The key novel idea behind our model is the notion of promises: a thread may promise to execute a write in the future, thus enabling other threads to read from that write out of order. Crucially, to memory shared by all threads. To simulate SC semantics on these architectures, one must therefore insert expensive fence instructions to subvert the efforts of the hardware. Secondly, a number of common compiler optimizations—such as constant propagation—are rendered unsound by a naive SC semantics because they effectively reorder memory operations. Moreover, SC semantics is stronger (i.e., more restrictive) than necessary for many concurrent algorithms.

Hence, languages like Java and C++ have opted instead to provide relaxed (aka weak) memory models [22, 13], which enable programmers to demand SC semantics when they need it, but which also support a range of cheaper memory operations that trade off strongly consistent and/or well-defined behavior for efficiency.

1.1 Criteria for a Programming Language Memory Model
Unfortunately, despite many years of research, it has proven very difficult to develop a memory model for concurrent programming languages that adequately balances the conflicting desiderata of programmers, compilers, and hardware. In particular, we would like to find a memory model that satisfies the following properties:
Figure 3. Full operational semantics.
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Layering

Figure 1. Operational semantics for the simplified model handling only relaxed read and write accesses.

- **Intro:** A few paragraphs about main key idea

- **Section 2:** More details about main key idea in a simplified version of the semantics

- **Section 3-4:** Presented other key ideas and built up to the full semantics incrementally
Layering

“The paper is extremely well written.”

“The presentation of the semantics is well-motivated and understandable.”

- **Section 3-4**: Presented other key ideas and built up to the full semantics incrementally
Layering

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>THREAD: READ</th>
<th>(THREAD: WRITE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma \xrightarrow{R(x,v)} \sigma'$</td>
<td>$\sigma \xrightarrow{W(x,v)} \sigma'$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V(x) \leq t$</td>
<td>$V(x) &lt; t$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V' = V[x \mapsto t]$</td>
<td>$V' = V[x \mapsto t]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\langle \sigma', V', P \rangle, M \rightarrow \langle \sigma', V', P \rangle, M'$</td>
<td>$\langle \sigma, V, P \rangle, M \rightarrow \langle \sigma, V, P \rangle, M'$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"Theorem: The semantics of a program is well-defined."

"Theorem: The semantics is order-independent."

- Section 3-4. Presented other key ideas and built up to the full semantics incrementally."
Layering

Figure 1. Operational semantics for the simplified model handling only relaxed read and write accesses.

- **Intro**: A few paragraphs about main key idea
- **Section 2**: More details about main key idea in a simplified version of the semantics
- **Section 3-4**: Presented other key ideas and built up to the full semantics incrementally
Layering

- What if you don’t have enough space for such a layered presentation?
  - Move some technical details to appendix
  - Submit to a better conference (i.e. a conference with a higher page limit)
A structure that works

- Abstract (1-2 paragraphs, 1000 readers)
- Intro (1-2 pages, 100 readers)
- Key ideas (2-3 pages, 50 readers)
- Technical meat (4-6 pages, 5 readers)
- Related work (1-2 pages, 100 readers)
Related work

1. **It goes at the end** of the paper.
   - You can only properly compare to related work once you’ve explained your own.

2. **Give real comparisons**, not a “laundry list”!
   - Explain in detail how your work fills the Gap in a way that related work doesn’t.
A structure that works

- Abstract (1-2 paragraphs, 1000 readers)
- Intro (1-2 pages, 100 readers)
- Key ideas (2-3 pages, 50 readers)
- Technical meat (4-6 pages, 5 readers)
- Related work (1-2 pages, 100 readers)
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1. Do research
2. Write papers
3. Give talks
Entertain your audience!

- Simon Peyton Jones. *How to give a great research talk.* (MSR Summer School, 2016)
  - “Your mission is to **wake them up!**”
  - “Your most potent weapon, by far, is **your enthusiasm!**”

- John Hughes. *Unaccustomed as I am to public speaking.* (PLMW, 2016)
  - “**Put on a show!**”
Entertain your audience!

- Simon Peyton Jones. *How to give a great research talk.* (MSR Summer School, 2016)

  "Your mission is to wake them up!"

  "Your most potent weapon, by far, is your enthusiasm!"

- John Hughes. *Unaccustomed as I am to public speaking.* (PLMW, 2016)

  - "Put on a show!"

  Good advice, but I don’t know how to teach people to be entertaining…
How is a conference talk different from a paper?
Conference talks

On the plus side:

✅ Lots of eyeballs on you and your work!

On the minus side:
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- Abstract
- Intro
- Key ideas
- Technical meat
- Related work
A paper structure that works

- Intro (8 minutes)
- Key ideas (11 minutes)
A talk structure that works

- Intro (8 minutes)
- Key ideas (11 minutes)
- What else is in the paper (1 minute)
Conference talks

On the plus side:

✅ Lots of eyeballs on you and your work!
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❌ The audience may or may not care.
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On the plus side:

✅ Lots of eyeballs on you and your work!

On the minus side:

🚫 You can’t say much.
🚫 The audience may or may not care.
🚫 Even those who care will easily get lost.
BRO

WHATS YOUR PROBLEM?!
Stage the motivation

- First, get to a problem.
  - Explain a general version of your problem (but not too general) in the first 2 minutes.

- Then, get to the problem.
  - Motivate and explicitly state your specific problem in the next 4 minutes.
  - Limit discussion of prior work only to what is needed to explain your problem.
WHAT DID YOU DO?!?
Tell them what you did!

• Proudly state your contributions.
  – After the motivation, the audience eagerly wants to hear what you did. Tell them!

• Follow immediately with a crisp statement of your key idea(s).
  – It will give audience a take-home message, and give focus to the rest of your talk.
Conference talks

On the plus side:

✓ Lots of eyeballs on you and your work!

On the minus side:

✗ You can’t say much.

✗ The audience may or may not care.

✗ Even those who care will easily get lost.
Conference talks

On the plus side:

✔️ Lots of eyeballs on you and your work!

On the minus side:

✗ You can’t say much.
✗ The audience may or may not care.
✗ Even those who care will easily get lost.
Flow & coherence

Create flow with **old to new**

Create **coherence** with **one paragraph, one point**
Flow in talks

• **Within** a slide:
  - Script should follow “old to new”

• **Between** slides:
  - Don’t just flip to next slide and say, “So…”
  - Plan something to say *during* the transition
Flow & coherence

Create **flow** with **old to new**

Create **coherence** with **one paragraph, one point**
Flow & coherence

Create flow with old to new

Create coherence with one paragraph, one point slide
Optimization & Concurrency

- Compiler performs several optimizations to generate optimized code.
  - >100 optimizations in GCC, LLVM.

Correct optimizations for sequential programs may be incorrect for shared memory concurrency.

State-of-the-Art:

- Compilers are over-conservative;
  * optimization opportunities are lost.

  or

- Buggy optimization
  * “Premature optimization is the root of all evil” ~ Donald Knuth
Talklets

- Break long stretches of talk into talklets.
  - More digestible units of story (2-4 min.)
  - But just having talklets is not enough…

- Use transitions between talklets to remind the audience of the big picture.
  - Summarize the point of the last talklet and how it connects to the next one.
A few words about

Slide Design
Don’t worry

The most important aspects of slide design have nothing to do with style
Access control is inadequate, scenario 2: Facebook timeline
- Facebook introduced timeline in 2011 end
  - Chronologically order the information on your profile
  - Make it easy to search for others
- Easier to search, potentially embarrassing older content
- Users were afraid of privacy violation
  - Access control was not changed!

Access control is inadequate, scenario 3: Spokes
- Service aggregating information about individuals
  - Each individual’s information is public content
  - E.g., your Facebook profile and address
  - One can infer new non-public information
  - Estimating wealth using address and public property records
- Users complain of privacy violation
  - Access control was not changed!

Access controls inadequate: Summary
- User reaction suggests each of these cases violate privacy
- However, in none of these cases access control is violated
- We propose a new model to reason about privacy

Exposure: Definition
- We define exposure of information I at time t as π_i(t)
  - π_i(t) = |U| is aware of I at time t
- Then, exposure of I is:
  \[ E_I = \lim_{t \to \infty} \pi_i(t) \]

Modeling user privacy using exposure
- For each content, users have an expected exposure
  - How many other users are likely to access the content
- We can model privacy violation for an information as:
  - Large deviation of actual exposure from expected exposure

Rewriting scenario 1: Facebook newsfeed
- Before newsfeed was introduced:
  - Expected exposure: Friends who will visit user’s profile
  - Actual exposure was same as expected exposure
- After newsfeed was introduced:
  - Actual exposure: All friends to whom the information is pushed
  - Actual exposure is much higher than the expected exposure

Rewriting scenario 2: Facebook timeline
- Before timeline was introduced:
  - Expected exposure for older data: Friends who will visit an old content
  - Actual exposure for older data was same as expected exposure
- After timeline was introduced:
  - Actual exposure for older data: All friends who visit the profile
  - Actual exposure is much higher than the expected exposure

Rewriting scenario 3: Spokes
- Before spoke aggregated data:
  - Expected exposure for new inferred data: Users who dig up each individual piece of content from different sources
  - Actual exposure for older data was same as expected exposure
- After spoke aggregated data:
  - Actual exposure for new inferred data: All users who visit public spoke website
  - Actual exposure is much higher than the expected exposure

Proposed model: managing privacy via exposure
- Major deviation from expected exposure can capture the privacy violations not covered by access control

Key challenge: Predicting future exposure
- Huge existing work for predicting growth in content popularity
  - Future YouTube videos, Facebook likes, tweets
  - Use machine learning, regression techniques
  - We can leverage advances in those fields to predict exposure
- OSN operators are best-positioned to do the predictions
  - Empirical data on how information disseminates in these sites
  - Facebook or YouTube already provide number of likes or views

Limitations of our model
- Privacy violation by inference using available data
  - It is extremely hard to enumerate all possible inference
- Privacy violation using cross-site prediction
  - Prediction across multiple systems
  - E.g., posting a picture taken from Facebook in Twitter
The Protocol

Stack transfer

Our implementation (in C#)

Key idea: Messages are resources

Store in lock-free bags
- parallelized matching
- decreased communication

Is this just STM?

Interaction
Message passing

Isolation
Shared state

Using lock-free bags, based on earlier work with Russo [OOPSLA'11]

The Problem:
Concurrency libraries are indispensable, but hard to build and extend

Joins: a crash course

class Lock {
    public Synchronous.Channel Acquire = new ... public Asynchronous.Channel Release = new ...
    public Lock() {
        When(Acquire).And(Release).Do(async () => {});
        // initially available
        Release();
    }
}

Lambda: the ultimate abstraction

This work

Use join patterns for synchronization: [Fournet & Gonthier]

Expressive
Write synchronization primitives declaratively and concisely

Scalable
Competitive with industrial libraries; can recover existing algorithms

java.util.concurrent

Synchronization
Reentrant locks
Semaphores
R/W locks
Reentrant R/W locks
Condition variables
Countdown latches
Cyclic barriers
Phasers
Exchangers

Data structures
Queues
Nonblocking
Blocking (array & list)
Synchronous
Priority, nonblocking
Priority, blocking
Deques
Sets
Maps (hash & skip lists)

This work

Use join patterns for synchronization: [Fournet & Gonthier]

Expressive
Write synchronization primitives declaratively and concisely

Scalable
Competitive with industrial libraries; can recover existing algorithms
Key takeaways

- **Avoid PowerPoint-itis**
  - Don’t put lots of text on slides just so they are readable independently of the talk

- **Vary the look of the slides**
  - Some text-only slides are fine, but if there are too many in a row, audience falls asleep
That's all Folks!