

The Marriage of Bisimulations and Kripke Logical Relations

Technical Appendix

Chung-Kil Hur Derek Dreyer Georg Neis Viktor Vafeiadis
Max Planck Institute for Software Systems (MPI-SWS)
{gil,dreyer,neis,viktor}@mpi-sws.org

November 2011

Contents

I	Relation Transition Systems for the Full Language	3
1	Language	3
1.1	Syntax	3
1.2	Dynamic Semantics	3
1.3	Static Semantics	3
1.4	Contextual Equivalence	5
2	Model	6
2.1	Definitions	6
2.2	Basic Properties	10
2.3	Compatibility	21
2.4	Soundness	33
2.5	Symmetry	34
3	Examples	37
3.1	World Generator	37
3.2	Substitutivity	40
3.3	Expansion	40
3.4	Beta Law	41
3.5	Awkward Example	41
3.6	Well-Bracketed State Change	43
3.7	Twin Abstraction	45
II	A Relational Model for a Pure Sub-Language	49
4	Language	49

5	Model	49
5.1	Definitions	49
5.2	Basic Properties	50
5.3	Compatibility	54
5.4	Soundness	59
5.5	Symmetry	60
6	Transitivity	61

Part I

Relation Transition Systems for the Full Language

1 Language

We define the language $F^{\mu!}$.

1.1 Syntax

$$\begin{array}{l}
\ell \in \text{Loc} \\
x \in \text{Var} \\
\alpha \in \text{TypeVar} \\
\sigma \in \text{Typ} \quad ::= \alpha \mid \text{unit} \mid \text{int} \mid \text{bool} \mid \sigma_1 \times \sigma_2 \mid \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 \mid \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2 \mid \mu\alpha. \sigma \mid \forall\alpha. \sigma \mid \exists\alpha. \sigma \mid \text{ref } \sigma \\
v \in \text{Val} \quad ::= x \mid \langle \rangle \mid n \mid \text{tt} \mid \text{ff} \mid \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle \mid \text{inj}^1 v \mid \text{inj}^2 v \mid \text{roll } v \mid \\
\quad \text{fix } f(x). e \mid \Lambda. e \mid \text{pack } v \mid \ell \\
e \in \text{Exp} \quad ::= v \mid \text{if } e_0 \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2 \mid \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle \mid e.1 \mid e.2 \mid \text{inj}^1 e \mid \text{inj}^2 e \mid \\
\quad (\text{case } e \text{ of } \text{inj}^1 x \Rightarrow e_1 \mid \text{inj}^2 x \Rightarrow e_2) \mid \text{roll } e \mid \text{unroll } e \mid e_1 e_2 \mid e[] \mid \text{pack } e \mid \\
\quad \text{unpack } e_1 \text{ as } x \text{ in } e_2 \mid \text{ref } e \mid !e \mid e_1 := e_2 \mid e_1 == e_2 \\
K \in \text{Cont} \quad ::= \bullet \mid \text{if } K \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2 \mid \langle K, e \rangle \mid \langle v, K \rangle \mid K.1 \mid K.2 \mid \text{inj}^1 K \mid \text{inj}^2 K \mid \\
\quad \text{case } K \text{ of } [\text{inj}^i x \Rightarrow e_i] \mid \text{roll } K \mid \text{unroll } K \mid K e \mid v K \mid K[] \mid \text{pack } K \mid \\
\quad \text{unpack } K \text{ as } x \text{ in } e \mid \text{ref } K \mid !K \mid K := e \mid v := K \mid K == e \mid v == K \\
p \in \text{Prog} \quad ::= x \mid \langle \rangle \mid n \mid \text{tt} \mid \text{ff} \mid \text{if } p_0 \text{ then } p_1 \text{ else } p_2 \mid \langle p_1, p_2 \rangle \mid p.1 \mid p.2 \mid \text{inj}_\sigma^1 p \mid \text{inj}_\sigma^2 p \mid \\
\quad (\text{case } p \text{ of } \text{inj}^1 x \Rightarrow p_1 \mid \text{inj}^2 x \Rightarrow p_2) \mid \text{roll}_\sigma p \mid \text{unroll } p \mid \text{fix } f(x:\sigma_1):\sigma_2. p \mid p_1 p_2 \mid \Lambda\alpha. p \mid \\
\quad p[\sigma] \mid \text{pack } \langle \sigma, p \rangle \text{ as } \exists\alpha. \sigma' \mid \text{unpack } p_1 \text{ as } \langle \alpha, x \rangle \text{ in } p_2 \mid \text{ref } p \mid !p \mid p_1 := p_2 \mid p_1 == p_2 \\
h \in \text{Heap} \quad := \text{Loc} \xrightarrow{\text{fin}} \text{CVal}
\end{array}$$

1.2 Dynamic Semantics

$$\begin{array}{l}
h, \text{if } \text{tt} \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2 \hookrightarrow h, e_1 \\
h, \text{if } \text{ff} \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2 \hookrightarrow h, e_2 \\
h, \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle.i \hookrightarrow h, v_i \\
h, \text{case } \text{inj}^j v \text{ of } [\text{inj}^i x \Rightarrow e_i] \hookrightarrow h, e_j[v/x] \\
h, (\text{fix } f(x). e) v \hookrightarrow h, e[(\text{fix } f(x). e)/f, v/x] \\
h, (\Lambda. e)[] \hookrightarrow h, e \\
h, \text{unpack } (\text{pack } v) \text{ as } x \text{ in } e \hookrightarrow h, e[v/x] \\
h, \text{unroll } (\text{roll } v) \hookrightarrow h, v \\
h, \text{ref } v \hookrightarrow h \uplus [\ell \mapsto v], \ell \quad \text{where } \ell \notin \text{dom}(h) \\
h \uplus [\ell \mapsto v], !\ell \hookrightarrow h \uplus [\ell \mapsto v], v \\
h \uplus [\ell \mapsto v], \ell := v' \hookrightarrow h \uplus [\ell \mapsto v'], \langle \rangle \\
h, \ell == \ell \hookrightarrow h, \text{tt} \\
h, \ell == \ell' \hookrightarrow h, \text{ff} \quad \text{where } \ell \neq \ell' \\
h, K[e] \hookrightarrow h', K[e'] \quad \text{where } h, e \hookrightarrow h', e'
\end{array}$$

1.3 Static Semantics

$$\begin{array}{l}
\text{Type environments } \Delta \quad ::= \cdot \mid \Delta, \alpha \\
\text{Term environments } \Gamma \quad ::= \cdot \mid \Gamma, x:\sigma
\end{array}$$

$\Delta \vdash \sigma$

$$\frac{\text{fv}(\sigma) \subseteq \Delta \quad \text{names}(\sigma) = \emptyset}{\Delta \vdash \sigma}$$

 $\Delta \vdash \Gamma$

$$\frac{\forall x:\sigma \in \Gamma. \Delta \vdash \sigma}{\Delta \vdash \Gamma}$$

 $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash p : \sigma$

$$\frac{\Delta \vdash \Gamma \quad x:\sigma \in \Gamma}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash x : \sigma} \quad \frac{\Delta \vdash \Gamma}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash c : \tau_{\text{base}}}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash p_1 : \sigma_1 \quad \Delta; \Gamma \vdash p_2 : \sigma_2}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \langle p_1, p_2 \rangle : \sigma_1 \times \sigma_2} \quad \frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash p : \sigma_1 \times \sigma_2}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash p.1 : \sigma_1} \quad \frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash p : \sigma_1 \times \sigma_2}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash p.2 : \sigma_2}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma, x:\sigma_1 \vdash p : \sigma_2}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \lambda x:\sigma_1. p : \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2} \quad \frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash p_1 : \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2 \quad \Delta; \Gamma \vdash p_2 : \sigma_1}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash p_1 p_2 : \sigma_2}$$

$$\frac{\Delta, \alpha; \Gamma \vdash p : \sigma}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \Lambda \alpha. p : \forall \alpha. \sigma} \quad \frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash p : \forall \alpha. \sigma_1 \quad \Delta \vdash \sigma_2}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash p[\sigma_2] : \sigma_1[\sigma_2/\alpha]}$$

$$\frac{\Delta \vdash \sigma_1 \quad \Delta; \Gamma \vdash p : \sigma_2[\sigma_1/\alpha]}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \text{pack } \langle \sigma_1, p \rangle \text{ as } \exists \alpha. \sigma_2 : \exists \alpha. \sigma_2} \quad \frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash p_1 : \exists \alpha. \sigma_1 \quad \Delta, \alpha; \Gamma, x:\sigma_1 \vdash p_2 : \sigma_2 \quad \Delta \vdash \sigma_2}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \text{unpack } p_1 \text{ as } \langle \alpha, x \rangle \text{ in } p_2 : \sigma_2}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash p : \sigma[\mu\alpha. \sigma/\alpha]}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \text{roll}_{\mu\alpha. \sigma} p : \mu\alpha. \sigma} \quad \frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash p : \mu\alpha. \sigma}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \text{unroll } p : \sigma[\mu\alpha. \sigma/\alpha]}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash p : \sigma}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \text{ref } p : \text{ref } \sigma} \quad \frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash p_1 : \text{ref } \sigma \quad \Delta; \Gamma \vdash p_2 : \sigma}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash p_1 := p_2 : \text{unit}}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash p : \text{ref } \sigma}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash !p : \sigma} \quad \frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash p_1 : \text{ref } \sigma \quad \Delta; \Gamma \vdash p_2 : \text{ref } \sigma}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash p_1 == p_2 : \text{bool}}$$

...

 $\vdash C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \sigma')$

$$\frac{\Delta \subseteq \Delta' \quad \Gamma \subseteq \Gamma'}{\vdash \bullet : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \sigma)}$$

$$\frac{\vdash C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \sigma_1) \quad \Delta'; \Gamma' \vdash p_2 : \sigma_2}{\vdash \langle C, p_2 \rangle : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)}$$

$$\frac{\vdash C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)}{\vdash C.1 : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \sigma_1)} \quad \frac{\vdash C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)}{\vdash C.2 : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \sigma_2)}$$

$$\frac{\vdash C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma', x:\sigma_1; \sigma_2)}{\vdash \lambda x:\sigma_1. C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2)}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\vdash C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2) \quad \Delta'; \Gamma' \vdash p_2 : \sigma_1}{\vdash C p_2 : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \sigma_2)} \\
\\
\frac{\vdash C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \sigma_1) \quad \Delta'; \Gamma' \vdash p_1 : \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2}{\vdash p_1 C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \sigma_2)} \\
\\
\frac{\vdash C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta', \alpha; \Gamma'; \sigma_1)}{\vdash \Lambda \alpha. C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \forall \alpha. \sigma_1)} \quad \frac{\vdash C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \forall \alpha. \sigma_1)}{\vdash C[\sigma_2] : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \sigma_1[\sigma_2/\alpha])} \\
\\
\frac{\vdash C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \sigma_2[\sigma_1/\alpha])}{\vdash \text{pack } \langle \sigma_1, C \rangle \text{ as } \exists \alpha. \sigma_2 : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \exists \alpha. \sigma_2)} \\
\\
\frac{\vdash C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \exists \alpha. \sigma_1) \quad \Delta', \alpha; \Gamma', x:\sigma_1 \vdash p_2 : \sigma_2 \quad \Delta' \vdash \sigma_2}{\vdash \text{unpack } C \text{ as } \langle \alpha, x \rangle \text{ in } p_2 : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \sigma_2)} \\
\\
\frac{\Delta'; \Gamma' \vdash p_1 : \exists \alpha. \sigma_1 \quad \vdash C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta', \alpha; \Gamma', x:\sigma_1; \sigma_2) \quad \Delta' \vdash \sigma_2}{\vdash \text{unpack } p_1 \text{ as } \langle \alpha, x \rangle \text{ in } C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \sigma_2)} \\
\\
\frac{\vdash C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \sigma_1[\mu \alpha. \sigma_1/\alpha])}{\vdash \text{roll}_{\mu \alpha. \sigma_1} C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \mu \alpha. \sigma_1)} \\
\frac{\vdash C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \mu \alpha. \sigma_1)}{\vdash \text{unroll } C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \sigma_1[\mu \alpha. \sigma_1/\alpha])} \\
\\
\frac{\vdash C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \sigma_1)}{\vdash \text{ref } C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \text{ref } \sigma_1)} \\
\\
\frac{\vdash C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \text{ref } \sigma_1) \quad \Delta'; \Gamma' \vdash p_2 : \sigma_1}{\vdash C := p_2 : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \text{unit})} \\
\\
\frac{\Delta'; \Gamma' \vdash p_1 : \text{ref } \sigma_1 \quad \vdash C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \sigma_1)}{\vdash p_1 := C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \text{unit})} \\
\\
\frac{\vdash C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \text{ref } \sigma_1)}{\vdash !C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \sigma_1)} \\
\\
\frac{\vdash C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \text{ref } \sigma_1) \quad \Delta'; \Gamma' \vdash p_2 : \text{ref } \sigma_1}{\vdash C == p_2 : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \text{bool})} \\
\\
\frac{\Delta'; \Gamma' \vdash p_1 : \text{ref } \sigma_1 \quad \vdash C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \text{ref } \sigma_1)}{\vdash p_1 == C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \text{bool})}
\end{array}$$

...

1.4 Contextual Equivalence

Definition 1 (Contextual equivalence).

Let $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash p_1 : \sigma$ and $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash p_2 : \sigma$. Then:

$$\Delta; \Gamma \vdash p_1 \sim_{\text{ctx}} p_2 : \sigma := \forall C, h, \tau. \vdash C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\cdot; \cdot; \tau) \implies (h, |C[p_1]| \uparrow \iff h, |C[p_2]| \uparrow)$$

2 Model

2.1 Definitions

Various Relations.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{beta}(e) &:= \begin{cases} e' & \text{if } \forall h. h, e \hookrightarrow^1 h, e' \\ \text{undef} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \text{FunVal} &:= \{ f \in \text{CVal} \mid \forall v. \text{beta}(f v) \text{ defined} \} \\ \text{TyFunVal} &:= \{ v \in \text{CVal} \mid \text{beta}(v[]) \text{ defined} \} \end{aligned}$$

$\mathbf{n} \in \text{TypeName}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Names} &:= \{ \mathcal{N} \in \mathbb{P}(\text{TypeName}) \mid \mathcal{N} \text{ is countably infinite} \} \\ \sigma \in \text{Type} &:= \mathbf{n} \mid \alpha \mid \text{unit} \mid \text{int} \mid \text{bool} \mid \sigma_1 \times \sigma_2 \mid \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 \mid \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2 \mid \mu\alpha. \sigma \mid \forall\alpha. \sigma \mid \exists\alpha. \sigma \mid \text{ref } \sigma \\ \text{CType} &:= \{ \tau \in \text{Type} \mid \text{ftv}(\tau) = \emptyset \} \\ \text{CTypeF} &:= \{ (\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2) \in \text{CType} \} \cup \{ \text{ref } \tau \in \text{CType} \} \cup \{ (\forall\alpha. \sigma) \in \text{CType} \} \cup \text{TypeName} \\ \text{VRelF} &:= \text{CTypeF} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\text{CVal} \times \text{CVal}) \\ \text{VRel} &:= \text{CType} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\text{CVal} \times \text{CVal}) \\ \text{ERel} &:= \text{CType} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\text{CExp} \times \text{CExp}) \\ \text{KRel} &:= \text{CType} \times \text{CType} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\text{CCont} \times \text{CCont}) \\ \text{HRel} &:= \mathbb{P}(\text{Heap} \times \text{Heap}) \end{aligned}$$

Note that as a notational convention we use σ to range over possibly open types and τ over closed types.

Value Closure. We define the closure $\bar{R} \in \text{VRel}$ for $R \in \text{VRelF}$ as the least fixpoint of the following equation.

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}(\tau_{\text{base}}) &:= \text{ID}_{\tau_{\text{base}}} \\ \bar{R}(\tau_1 \times \tau_2) &:= \{ ((v_1, v'_1), (v_2, v'_2)) \mid (v_1, v_2) \in \bar{R}(\tau_1) \wedge (v'_1, v'_2) \in \bar{R}(\tau_2) \} \\ \bar{R}(\tau_1 + \tau_2) &:= \{ (\text{inj}^1 v_1, \text{inj}^1 v_2) \mid (v_1, v_2) \in \bar{R}(\tau_1) \} \cup \{ (\text{inj}^2 v_1, \text{inj}^2 v_2) \mid (v_1, v_2) \in \bar{R}(\tau_2) \} \\ \bar{R}(\mu\alpha. \sigma) &:= \{ (\text{roll } v_1, \text{roll } v_2) \mid (v_1, v_2) \in \bar{R}(\sigma[\mu\alpha. \sigma/\alpha]) \} \\ \bar{R}(\exists\alpha. \sigma) &:= \{ (\text{pack } v_1, \text{pack } v_2) \mid \exists \tau \in \text{CType}. (v_1, v_2) \in \bar{R}(\sigma[\tau/\alpha]) \} \\ \bar{R}(\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2) &:= R(\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2) \\ \bar{R}(\text{ref } \tau) &:= R(\text{ref } \tau) \\ \bar{R}(\mathbf{n}) &:= R(\mathbf{n}) \\ \bar{R}(\forall\alpha. \sigma) &:= R(\forall\alpha. \sigma) \end{aligned}$$

Dependent World. For a preordered set $P = (\mathbf{S}_P, \sqsubseteq_P)$ we define

$$\begin{aligned} \text{DepWorld}(P) &:= \\ &\{ (\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{S}, \sqsubseteq, \sqsubseteq_{\text{pub}}, \mathbf{L}, \mathbf{H}) \\ &\quad \in \mathbb{P}(\text{TypeName}) \times \text{Set} \times \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{S}) \times \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{S}) \times \\ &\quad (\mathbf{S}_P \rightarrow \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \text{VRelF} \rightarrow \text{VRelF}) \times (\mathbf{S}_P \rightarrow \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \text{VRelF} \rightarrow \text{HRel}) \mid \\ &\quad \sqsubseteq, \sqsubseteq_{\text{pub}} \text{ are preorders } \wedge \\ &\quad \sqsubseteq_{\text{pub}} \text{ is a subset of } \sqsubseteq \wedge \\ &\quad \mathbf{L} \text{ is monotone in the first argument w.r.t. } \sqsubseteq_P, \text{ in the second w.r.t. } \sqsubseteq, \text{ in the third w.r.t. } \leq \wedge \\ &\quad \mathbf{H} \text{ is monotone in the third argument w.r.t. } \leq \wedge \\ &\quad (\forall s_1, s_2. \forall R. \forall \mathbf{n} \notin \mathbf{N}. \mathbf{L}(s_1)(s_2)(R)(\mathbf{n}) = \emptyset) \wedge \\ &\quad (\forall s_1, s_2. \forall R. \forall (\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2, f_1, f_2) \in \mathbf{L}(s_1)(s_2)(R). f_1, f_2 \in \text{FunVal}) \wedge \\ &\quad (\forall s_1, s_2. \forall R. \forall (\forall\alpha. \sigma, v_1, v_2) \in \mathbf{L}(s_1)(s_2)(R). v_1, v_2 \in \text{TyFunVal}) \quad \} \end{aligned}$$

Here we write \leq for the pointwise lifting of the usual subset ordering \subseteq to function spaces.

Full World. We define

$$\text{World} := \{ W \in \text{DepWorld}(\{*\}, \{(*, *)\}) \}$$

and for $W \in \text{World}$ and $s \in W.\mathbf{S}$ often write just $W.\mathbf{H}(s)$ for $W.\mathbf{H}(*)(s)$ (and similar for the \mathbf{L} component).

World for Mutable References. We define the reference world $W_{\text{ref}} \in \text{World}$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\text{ref}}.\mathbf{N} &:= \emptyset \\ W_{\text{ref}}.\mathbf{S} &:= \{ s_{\text{ref}} \in \mathbb{P}_{\text{fin}}(\text{CType} \times \text{Loc} \times \text{Loc}) \mid \\ &\quad \forall (\tau, \ell_1, \ell_2), (\tau', \ell'_1, \ell'_2) \in s_{\text{ref}}. \\ &\quad (\ell_1 = \ell'_1 \implies \tau = \tau' \wedge \ell_2 = \ell'_2) \wedge (\ell_2 = \ell'_2 \implies \tau = \tau' \wedge \ell_1 = \ell'_1) \} \\ s'_{\text{ref}} \sqsupseteq s_{\text{ref}} &\text{ iff } s'_{\text{ref}} \supseteq s_{\text{ref}} \\ s'_{\text{ref}} \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s_{\text{ref}} &\text{ iff } s'_{\text{ref}} \supseteq_{\text{pub}} s_{\text{ref}} \\ W_{\text{ref}}.\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{ref}})(R) &:= \{ (\text{ref } \tau, \ell_1, \ell_2) \mid (\tau, \ell_1, \ell_2) \in s_{\text{ref}} \} \\ W_{\text{ref}}.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}})(R) &:= \{ (h_1, h_2) \mid \text{dom}(h_1) = s_{\text{ref}[1]} \wedge \text{dom}(h_2) = s_{\text{ref}[2]} \wedge \\ &\quad \forall (\tau, \ell_1, \ell_2) \in s_{\text{ref}}. (\tau, h_1(\ell_1), h_2(\ell_2)) \in \overline{R} \} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} s_{[1]} &:= \{ \ell_1 \mid \exists \tau, \ell_2. (\tau, \ell_1, \ell_2) \in s \}, \\ s_{[2]} &:= \{ \ell_2 \mid \exists \tau, \ell_1. (\tau, \ell_1, \ell_2) \in s \}. \end{aligned}$$

Local World. We define

$$\text{LWorld} := \{ w \in \text{DepWorld}(W_{\text{ref}}.\mathbf{S}, W_{\text{ref}}.\square) \mid \forall s_{\text{ref}}, s, R, \tau. w.\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{ref}})(s)(R)(\text{ref } \tau) = \emptyset \}$$

Product World. For $w_1, w_2 \in \text{LWorld}$, we define $w_1 \otimes w_2 \in \text{LWorld}$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{N} &:= w_1.\mathbf{N} \uplus w_2.\mathbf{N} \\ \mathbf{S} &:= w_1.\mathbf{S} \times w_2.\mathbf{S} \\ (s'_1, s'_2) \sqsupseteq (s_1, s_2) &\text{ iff } s'_1 \sqsupseteq s_1 \wedge s'_2 \sqsupseteq s_2 \\ (s'_1, s'_2) \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} (s_1, s_2) &\text{ iff } s'_1 \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s_1 \wedge s'_2 \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s_2 \\ \mathbf{L}(s_{\text{ref}})(s_1, s_2)(R) &:= w_1.\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{ref}})(s_1)(R) \cup w_2.\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{ref}})(s_2)(R) \\ \mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}})(s_1, s_2)(R) &:= w_1.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}})(s_1)(R) \otimes w_2.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}})(s_2)(R) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$H_1 \otimes H_2 := \{ (h_1 \uplus h'_1, h_2 \uplus h'_2) \mid (h_1, h_2) \in H_1 \wedge (h'_1, h'_2) \in H_2 \}$$

Note that $w_1 \otimes w_2$ is undefined iff $w_1.\mathbf{N}$ and $w_2.\mathbf{N}$ is not disjoint.

Lifting of a Local World. For $w \in \text{LWorld}$, we define $w \uparrow \in \text{World}$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{N} &:= w.\mathbf{N} \\ \mathbf{S} &:= W_{\text{ref}}.\mathbf{S} \times w.\mathbf{S} \\ (s'_{\text{ref}}, s') \sqsupseteq (s_{\text{ref}}, s) &\text{ iff } s'_{\text{ref}} \sqsupseteq s_{\text{ref}} \wedge s' \sqsupseteq s \\ (s'_{\text{ref}}, s') \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} (s_{\text{ref}}, s) &\text{ iff } s'_{\text{ref}} \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s_{\text{ref}} \wedge s' \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s \\ \mathbf{L}(s_{\text{ref}}, s)(R) &:= W_{\text{ref}}.\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{ref}})(R) \cup w.\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{ref}})(s)(R) \\ \mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}}, s)(R) &:= W_{\text{ref}}.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}})(R) \otimes w.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}})(s)(R) \end{aligned}$$

Single-State Worlds. Given a local knowledge $L \in \text{VRelF} \xrightarrow{\text{mon}} \text{VRelF}$ and a heap relation $H \in \text{VRelF} \xrightarrow{\text{mon}} \text{HRel}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \forall R. \forall (\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2, f_1, f_2) \in L(R). f_1, f_2 \in \text{FunVal} \wedge \\ \forall R. \forall (\forall \alpha. \tau, f_1, f_2) \in L(R). f_1, f_2 \in \text{TyFunVal} \end{aligned}$$

we define the single-state local world $w_{\text{single}}(L, H) \in \text{LWorld}$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} w_{\text{single}}(L, H).\mathbf{N} &:= \emptyset \\ w_{\text{single}}(L, H).\mathbf{S} &:= \{ * \} \\ * &\sqsupseteq * \\ * &\sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} * \\ w_{\text{single}}(L, H).\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{ref}})(*)(R) &:= \{ (\tau' \rightarrow \tau, f_1, f_2) \in L(R) \} \cup \{ (\forall \alpha. \tau, f_1, f_2) \in L(R) \} \\ w_{\text{single}}(L, H).\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}})(*)(R) &:= H(R) \end{aligned}$$

Global Knowledge. We define the ref-name-preserving order $\geq_{\text{ref}}^{\mathcal{N}}$ between $R, R' \in \text{VRelF}$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} R' \geq_{\text{ref}}^{\mathcal{N}} R \quad \text{iff} \quad &\forall \tau. R'(\tau) \sqsupseteq R(\tau) \wedge \\ &\forall \tau. R'(\text{ref } \tau) = R(\text{ref } \tau) \wedge \\ &\forall \mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{N}. R'(\mathbf{n}) = R(\mathbf{n}) \end{aligned}$$

Note that $R' \geq_{\text{ref}}^{\mathcal{N}} R \implies R' \geq R$.

We define $\text{GK}(W)$ for $W \in \text{World}$ as follows.

$$\text{GK}(W) := \{ G \in W.\mathbf{S} \rightarrow \text{VRelF} \mid G \text{ is monotone w.r.t. } \sqsupseteq \wedge \forall s. G(s) \geq_{\text{ref}}^{W.\mathbf{N}} W.\mathbf{L}(s)(G(s)) \}$$

Expression and Continuation Equivalence. We define the following notation.

$$s' \sqsupseteq [s_0, s] \quad \text{iff} \quad s' \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s_0 \wedge s' \sqsupseteq s$$

For $W \in \text{World}$, we coinductively define $\mathbf{E}_W \in \text{GK}(W) \rightarrow W.\mathbf{S} \times W.\mathbf{S} \rightarrow \text{ERel}$ and $\mathbf{K}_W \in \text{GK}(W) \rightarrow W.\mathbf{S} \times W.\mathbf{S} \rightarrow \text{KRel}$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s_0, s)(\tau) &:= \{ (e_1, e_2) \mid \forall (h_1, h_2) \in W.\mathbf{H}(s)(G(s)). \forall h_1^F, h_2^F. \\ &\quad ((h_1, h_1^F, e_1), (h_2, h_2^F, e_2)) \in \mathbf{O}_W(\mathbf{K}_W)(G)(s_0, s)(\tau) \} \\ \mathbf{K}_W(G)(s_0, s)(\tau_1, \tau_2) &:= \{ (K_1, K_2) \mid \forall (v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\tau_1). (K_1[v_1], K_2[v_2]) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s_0, s)(\tau_2) \} \\ \mathbf{O}_W(R^K)(G)(s_0, s)(\tau) &:= \{ ((h_1, h_1^F, e_1), (h_2, h_2^F, e_2)) \mid h_1 \uplus h_1^F \text{ defined} \wedge h_2 \uplus h_2^F \text{ defined} \implies \\ &\quad (h_1 \uplus h_1^F, e_1 \uparrow \wedge h_2 \uplus h_2^F, e_2 \uparrow) \\ &\quad \vee (\exists h'_1, h'_2, v_1, v_2. h_1 \uplus h_1^F, e_1 \hookrightarrow^* h'_1 \uplus h_1^F, v_1 \wedge h_2 \uplus h_2^F, e_2 \hookrightarrow^* h'_2 \uplus h_2^F, v_2 \wedge \\ &\quad \exists s' \sqsupseteq [s_0, s]. (h'_1, h'_2) \in W.\mathbf{H}(s')(G(s')) \wedge (v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s')}(\tau)) \\ &\quad \vee (\exists h'_1, h'_2, \tau', K_1, K_2, e'_1, e'_2. \\ &\quad h_1 \uplus h_1^F, e_1 \hookrightarrow^* h'_1 \uplus h_1^F, K_1[e'_1] \wedge h_2 \uplus h_2^F, e_2 \hookrightarrow^* h'_2 \uplus h_2^F, K_2[e'_2] \wedge \\ &\quad \exists s' \sqsupseteq s. (h'_1, h'_2) \in W.\mathbf{H}(s')(G(s')) \wedge (\tau', e'_1, e'_2) \in \mathbf{S}(G(s'), G(s')) \wedge \\ &\quad \forall s'' \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s'. \forall G' \geq G. (K_1, K_2) \in R^K(G')(s_0, s'')(\tau', \tau)) \} \\ \mathbf{S}(R_f, R_v) &:= \{ (\tau, f_1, v_1, f_2, v_2) \mid \exists \tau'. (f_1, f_2) \in R_f(\tau' \rightarrow \tau) \wedge (v_1, v_2) \in \overline{R_v}(\tau') \} \\ &\quad \cup \{ (\sigma[\tau/\alpha], f_1[], f_2[]) \mid \tau \in \text{CType} \wedge (f_1, f_2) \in R_f(\forall \alpha. \sigma) \} \end{aligned}$$

Program Equivalence.

For $w \in \text{LWorld}$, we define:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{stable}(w) &:= \forall G \in \text{GK}(w \uparrow). \forall s_{\text{ref}}, s. \forall (h_1, h_2) \in w.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}})(s)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s)). \\ &\quad \forall s'_{\text{ref}} \sqsupseteq s_{\text{ref}}. \forall (h^1_{\text{ref}}, h^2_{\text{ref}}) \in W_{\text{ref}}.\mathbf{H}(s'_{\text{ref}})(G(s'_{\text{ref}}, s)). h^1_{\text{ref}} \uplus h_1 \text{ defined} \wedge h^2_{\text{ref}} \uplus h_2 \text{ defined} \implies \\ &\quad \exists s' \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s. (h_1, h_2) \in w.\mathbf{H}(s'_{\text{ref}})(s')(G(s'_{\text{ref}}, s')) \end{aligned}$$

For $W \in \text{World}$, we define:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{inhabited}(W) &:= \forall G \in \mathbf{GK}(W). \exists s_0. (\emptyset, \emptyset) \in W.H(s_0)(G(s_0)) \\ \text{consistent}(W) &:= \forall G \in \mathbf{GK}(W). \forall s. \forall (\tau, e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{S}(W.L(s)(G(s)), G(s)). \\ &\quad (\tau, \text{beta}(e_1), \text{beta}(e_2)) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s, s) \end{aligned}$$

We define program equivalence $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \sigma$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{TyEnv}(\Delta) &:= \{ \delta \mid \delta \in \Delta \rightarrow \text{CType} \} \\ \text{Env}(\Gamma, R) &:= \{ (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \mid \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \text{dom}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \text{CVal} \wedge \forall x. (\Gamma(x), \gamma_1(x), \gamma_2(x)) \in \bar{R} \} \\ \Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim_W e_2 : \sigma &:= \text{inhabited}(W) \wedge \text{consistent}(W) \wedge \\ &\quad \forall G \in \mathbf{GK}(W). \forall s. \forall \delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s)). \\ &\quad (\delta\sigma, \gamma_1 e_1, \gamma_2 e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s, s) \\ \Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim_w e_2 : \sigma &:= \text{stable}(w) \wedge \Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim_{w\uparrow} e_2 : \sigma \\ \Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \sigma &:= \forall \mathcal{N} \in \text{Names}. \exists w \in \text{LWorld}. w.N \subseteq \mathcal{N} \wedge \Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim_w e_2 : \sigma \end{aligned}$$

2.2 Basic Properties

Notation. For a monotone function $F \in \text{VRelF} \rightarrow \text{VRelF}$ and $R \in \text{VRelF}$, we define $R^{[F]}$ as the least fixpoint of the monotone function $F(-) \cup R$:

$$R^{[F]} := \mu X. F(X) \cup R.$$

For $W \in \text{World}$, we define $[W] \in W.S \rightarrow \text{VRelF}$ as follows:

$$[W](s) := \emptyset^{[W.L(s)]}.$$

Lemma 1. If $G' \geq G$ and $s' \sqsupseteq s$, then:

1. $G'(s') \geq G(s)$
2. $\text{Env}(\Gamma, G'(s')) \supseteq \text{Env}(\Gamma, G(s))$

Proof.

1. By definition of GK we know $G'(s') \geq G'(s)$. And since $G' \geq G$ we also know $G'(s) \geq G(s)$.
2. Follows immediately from (1).

□

Lemma 2. $\forall W \in \text{World}. [W] \in \text{GK}(W)$

Proof. We must establish four properties:

- a) To show: $[W]$ is monotone w.r.t. \sqsubseteq .
Follows from monotonicity of $W.L$.
- b) To show: $\forall s, \tau. [W](s)(\tau) \supseteq W.L(s)([W](s))(\tau)$.
Immediate after unrolling fixpoint once.
- c) To show: $\forall s, \tau. [W](s)(\text{ref } \tau) = W.L(s)([W](s))(\text{ref } \tau)$.
Easy fixpoint induction.
- d) To show: $\forall s, \mathbf{n} \in W.N. [W](s)(\mathbf{n}) = W.L(s)([W](s))(\mathbf{n})$.
Easy fixpoint induction.

□

Lemma 3. $\forall W \in \text{World}, G \in \text{GK}(W). [W] \leq G$

Proof. Easy fixpoint induction.

□

Lemma 4. If

- $h_1 \uplus h_1^f, e_1 \hookrightarrow^* h'_1 \uplus h_1^f, e'_1,$
- $h_2 \uplus h_2^f, e_2 \hookrightarrow^* h'_2 \uplus h_2^f, e'_2,$
- $s' \sqsupseteq s,$ and
- $(\tau, (h'_1, h_1^f, e'_1), (h'_2, h_2^f, e'_2)) \in \mathbf{O}_W(R^K)(s_0, s'),$

then $(\tau, (h_1, h_1^f, e_1), (h_2, h_2^f, e_2)) \in \mathbf{O}_W(R^K)(s_0, s)$.

Proof. Follows easily from the definition of \mathbf{O}_W .

□

Lemma 5. $G(s) \leq \overline{G(s)} \leq \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s, s)$

Proof. The first inclusion holds immediately by definition; the second by choosing the final state to be s . \square

Lemma 6. $(\tau, \tau, \bullet, \bullet) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G)(s, s)$

Proof. We need to show $(\tau, v_1, v_2) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s, s)$ for $(\tau, v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s)}$, which holds by Lemma 5. \square

Lemma 7. If $s'_0 \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s_0$, then:

1. $\mathbf{E}_W(G)(s'_0, s) \leq \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s_0, s)$
2. $\mathbf{K}_W(G)(s'_0, s) \leq \mathbf{K}_W(G)(s_0, s)$

Proof. We define \mathbf{E}'_W and \mathbf{K}'_W as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}'_W(G)(s_0, s) &= \{ (\tau, e_1, e_2) \mid \exists s'_0. s'_0 \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s_0 \wedge (\tau, e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s'_0, s) \} \\ \mathbf{K}'_W(G)(s_0, s) &= \{ (\tau_1, \tau_2, K_1, K_2) \mid \exists s'_0. s'_0 \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s_0 \wedge (\tau_1, \tau_2, K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G)(s'_0, s) \} \end{aligned}$$

If $\mathbf{E}'_W \leq \mathbf{E}_W$ and $\mathbf{K}'_W \leq \mathbf{K}_W$, then for $s'_0 \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s_0$ we have

$$\mathbf{E}_W(G)(s'_0, s) \leq \mathbf{E}'_W(G)(s_0, s) \leq \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s_0, s)$$

(and similar for \mathbf{K}_W).

We now prove $\mathbf{E}'_W \leq \mathbf{E}_W$ and $\mathbf{K}'_W \leq \mathbf{K}_W$ by coinduction. Concretely, we have to show:

1. $\forall e_1, e_2, G, s_0, s, \tau.$
 $(e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}'_W(G)(s_0, s)(\tau) \implies$
 $\forall (h_1, h_2) \in W.H(s)(G(s)). \forall h_1^F, h_2^F. ((h_1, h_1^F, e_1), (h_2, h_2^F, e_2)) \in \mathbf{O}_W(\mathbf{K}'_W)(G)(s_0, s)(\tau)$
2. $\forall K_1, K_2, G, s_0, s, \tau', \tau.$
 $(K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}'_W(G)(s_0, s)(\tau', \tau) \implies$
 $\forall (v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\tau'). (K_1[v_1], K_2[v_2]) \in \mathbf{E}'_W(G)(s_0, s)(\tau)$

For (1):

- Suppose $(e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}'_W(G)(s_0, s)(\tau)$ and $(h_1, h_2) \in W.H(s)(G(s))$.
- We must show $((h_1, h_1^F, e_1), (h_2, h_2^F, e_2)) \in \mathbf{O}_W(\mathbf{K}'_W)(G)(s_0, s)(\tau)$.
- By definition of \mathbf{E}'_W we know $(e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s'_0, s)(\tau)$ for some $s'_0 \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s_0$.
- Hence $((h_1, h_1^F, e_1), (h_2, h_2^F, e_2)) \in \mathbf{O}_W(\mathbf{K}_W)(G)(s'_0, s)(\tau)$.
- It is easy to see that this implies $((h_1, h_1^F, e_1), (h_2, h_2^F, e_2)) \in \mathbf{O}_W(\mathbf{K}'_W)(G)(s_0, s)(\tau)$.

For (2):

- Suppose $(K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}'_W(G)(s_0, s)(\tau', \tau)$ and $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\tau')$.
- We must show $(K_1[v_1], K_2[v_2]) \in \mathbf{E}'_W(G)(s_0, s)(\tau)$.
- By definition of \mathbf{K}'_W we know $(K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G)(s'_0, s)(\tau', \tau)$ for some $s'_0 \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s_0$.
- Hence $(K_1[v_1], K_2[v_2]) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s'_0, s)(\tau) \subseteq \mathbf{E}'_W(G)(s_0, s)(\tau)$.

\square

Lemma 8. If $w_1, w_2 \in \text{LWorld}$, then $\forall G \in \text{GK}((w_1 \otimes w_2)\uparrow). \forall s_2 \in w_2.S. G(-, -, s_2) \in \text{GK}(w_1\uparrow)$.

Proof. We must establish four properties:

- a) To show: $G(-, -, s_2)$ is monotone w.r.t. \sqsubseteq .
This follows directly from the definition of \uparrow, \otimes and the monotonicity of G .
- b) To show: $\forall s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, \tau. G(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2)(\tau) \supseteq w_1 \uparrow \cdot \mathbf{L}(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2))(\tau)$.
We know $G(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2)(\tau) \supseteq (w_1 \otimes w_2) \uparrow \cdot \mathbf{L}(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2))(\tau)$.
By definition, the latter equals $w_1 \uparrow \cdot \mathbf{L}(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2))(\tau) \cup w_2 \cdot \mathbf{L}(s_{\text{ref}})(s_2)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2))(\tau)$.
- c) To show: $\forall s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, \tau. G(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2)(\text{ref } \tau) = w_1 \uparrow \cdot \mathbf{L}(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2))(\text{ref } \tau)$.
We know $G(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2)(\text{ref } \tau) = (w_1 \otimes w_2) \uparrow \cdot \mathbf{L}(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2))(\text{ref } \tau)$.
By definition, the latter equals $w_1 \uparrow \cdot \mathbf{L}(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2))(\text{ref } \tau) \cup w_2 \cdot \mathbf{L}(s_{\text{ref}})(s_2)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2))(\text{ref } \tau)$.
Since $w_2 \in \text{LWorld}$, we are done.
- d) To show: $\forall s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, \mathbf{n} \in w_1 \uparrow \cdot \mathbf{N}. G(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2)(\mathbf{n}) = w_1 \uparrow \cdot \mathbf{L}(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2))(\mathbf{n})$.
We know $G(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2)(\mathbf{n}) = (w_1 \otimes w_2) \uparrow \cdot \mathbf{L}(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2))(\mathbf{n})$.
By definition, the latter equals $w_1 \uparrow \cdot \mathbf{L}(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2))(\mathbf{n}) \cup w_2 \cdot \mathbf{L}(s_{\text{ref}})(s_2)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2))(\mathbf{n})$.
Since $\mathbf{n} \notin w_2 \cdot \mathbf{N}$ by definition of \uparrow, \otimes , we are done.

□

Lemma 9. If $w_1, w_2 \in \text{LWorld}$, then $\forall G \in \text{GK}((w_1 \otimes w_2) \uparrow). \forall s_1 \in w_1 \cdot \mathbf{S}. G(-, s_1, -) \in \text{GK}(w_2 \uparrow)$.

Proof. Similar to Lemma 8.

□

Lemma 10. If $w = w_1 \otimes w_2$ with $w_1, w_2 \in \text{LWorld}$ and *stable*(w_2), then for all $G \in \text{GK}(w \uparrow)$ and for all $s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_{\text{ref}} \in W_{\text{ref}} \cdot \mathbf{S}, s_1^0, s_1 \in w_1 \cdot \mathbf{S}, s_2^0, s_2 \in w_2 \cdot \mathbf{S}$ with $s_2 \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s_2^0$:

1. $\mathbf{E}_{w_1 \uparrow}(G(-, -, s_2))((s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_1^0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s_1)) \leq \mathbf{E}_{w \uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_1^0, s_2^0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2))$
2. $\mathbf{K}_{w_1 \uparrow}(G(-, -, s_2))((s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_1^0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s_1)) \leq \mathbf{K}_{w \uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_1^0, s_2^0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2))$

Proof. We define $\mathbf{E}'_{w \uparrow}$ and $\mathbf{K}'_{w \uparrow}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}'_{w \uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_1^0, s_2^0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2)) &= \{ (\tau, e_1, e_2) \mid \\ & \quad s_2 \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s_2^0 \wedge (\tau, e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{w_1 \uparrow}(G(-, -, s_2))((s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_1^0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s_1)) \} \\ \mathbf{K}'_{w \uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_1^0, s_2^0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2)) &= \{ (\tau', \tau, K_1, K_2) \mid \\ & \quad s_2 \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s_2^0 \wedge (\tau', \tau, K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}_{w_1 \uparrow}(G(-, -, s_2))((s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_1^0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s_1)) \} \end{aligned}$$

We now prove $\mathbf{E}'_{w \uparrow} \leq \mathbf{E}_{w \uparrow}$ and $\mathbf{K}'_{w \uparrow} \leq \mathbf{K}_{w \uparrow}$ by coinduction. Concretely, we have to show:

1. $\forall e_1, e_2, G, s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_{\text{ref}}, s_1^0, s_2^0, s_1, s_2, \tau.$
 $(e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}'_{w \uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_1^0, s_2^0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2))(\tau) \implies$
 $\forall (h_1, h_2) \in w \uparrow \cdot \mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2)). \forall h_1^F, h_2^F.$
 $((h_1, h_1^F, e_1), (h_2, h_2^F, e_2)) \in \mathbf{O}_{w \uparrow}(\mathbf{K}'_{w \uparrow})(G)((s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_1^0, s_2^0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2))(\tau)$
2. $\forall K_1, K_2, G, s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_{\text{ref}}, s_1^0, s_2^0, s_1, s_2, \tau', \tau.$
 $(K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}'_{w \uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_1^0, s_2^0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2))(\tau', \tau) \implies$
 $\forall (v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2)}(\tau'). (K_1[v_1], K_2[v_2]) \in \mathbf{E}'_{w \uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_1^0, s_2^0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2))(\tau)$

For (1):

- Suppose $(e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}'_{w \uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_1^0, s_2^0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2))(\tau)$ and $(h_1, h_2) \in w \uparrow \cdot \mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2))$.
- By definition of $\mathbf{E}'_{w \uparrow}$ we know $s_2 \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s_2^0$ and $(e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{w_1 \uparrow}(G(-, -, s_2))((s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_1^0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s_1))(\tau)$.
- We must show $((h_1, h_1^F, e_1), (h_2, h_2^F, e_2)) \in \mathbf{O}_{w \uparrow}(\mathbf{K}'_{w \uparrow})(G)((s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_1^0, s_2^0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2))(\tau)$.

- So suppose $\text{defined}(h_1 \uplus h_1^F)$ and $\text{defined}(h_2 \uplus h_2^F)$.
- From $(h_1, h_2) \in w \uparrow. \mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2))$ and the definition of \uparrow, \otimes , we know $h_1 = h'_1 \uplus h''_1$ and $h_2 = h'_2 \uplus h''_2$ with $(h'_1, h'_2) \in w_1 \uparrow. \mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2))$ and $(h''_1, h''_2) \in w_2. \mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}})(s_2)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2))$.
- Hence $((h'_1, h''_1 \uplus h_1^F, e_1), (h'_2, h''_2 \uplus h_2^F, e_2)) \in \mathbf{O}_{w_1 \uparrow}(\mathbf{K}_{w_1 \uparrow})(G(-, -, s_2))((s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_1^0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s_1))(\tau)$.
- Consequently at least one of the following three properties holds:
 - A) $h_1 \uplus h_1^F, e_1 \uparrow$ and $h_2 \uplus h_2^F, e_2 \uparrow$
 - B) (a) $h_1 \uplus h_1^F, e_1 \hookrightarrow^* \widetilde{h}'_1 \uplus h''_1 \uplus h_1^F, v_1$ and $h_2 \uplus h_2^F, e_2 \hookrightarrow^* \widetilde{h}'_2 \uplus h''_2 \uplus h_2^F, v_2$
 (b) $(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s}_1) \sqsupseteq [(s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_1^0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s_1)]$
 (c) $(\widetilde{h}'_1, \widetilde{h}'_2) \in w_1 \uparrow. \mathbf{H}(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s}_1)(G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s}_1, s_2))$
 (d) $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s}_1, s_2)(\tau)$
 - C) (a) $h_1 \uplus h_1^F, e_1 \hookrightarrow^* \widetilde{h}'_1 \uplus h''_1 \uplus h_1^F, K_1[e'_1]$ and $h_2 \uplus h_2^F, e_2 \hookrightarrow^* \widetilde{h}'_2 \uplus h''_2 \uplus h_2^F, K_2[e'_2]$
 (b) $(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s}_1) \sqsupseteq (s_{\text{ref}}, s_1)$
 (c) $(\widetilde{h}'_1, \widetilde{h}'_2) \in w_1 \uparrow. \mathbf{H}(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s}_1)(G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s}_1, s_2))$
 (d) $(e'_1, e'_2) \in \mathbf{S}(G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s}, s_2), G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s}, s_2))(\widetilde{\tau})$
 (e) $\forall (\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widehat{s}_1) \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} (\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s}_1). \forall G' \geq G(-, -, s_2). (K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}_{w_1 \uparrow}(G')((s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_1^0), (\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widehat{s}_1))(\widetilde{\tau}, \tau)$

• If (A) holds, then we are done.

• If (B) holds:

– By $\text{stable}(w_2)$ there is $\widetilde{s}_2 \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s_2$ such that

$$(h''_1, h''_2) \in w_2. \mathbf{H}(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}})(\widetilde{s}_2)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2)) .$$

– By monotonicity of $w_2. \mathbf{H}$, from $G(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2) \subseteq G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s}_1, \widetilde{s}_2)$, we have

$$(h''_1, h''_2) \in w_2. \mathbf{H}(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}})(\widetilde{s}_2)(G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s}_1, \widetilde{s}_2)) .$$

– From (Bc) and monotonicity we also know $(\widetilde{h}'_1, \widetilde{h}'_2) \in w_1 \uparrow. \mathbf{H}(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s}_1)(G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s}_1, \widetilde{s}_2))$.

– Thus by the definition of \uparrow, \otimes , we get $(\widetilde{h}'_1 \uplus h''_1, \widetilde{h}'_2 \uplus h''_2) \in w \uparrow. \mathbf{H}(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s}_1, \widetilde{s}_2)(G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s}_1, \widetilde{s}_2))$.

– From (Bb) and the definition of \uparrow, \otimes , we get $(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s}_1, \widetilde{s}_2) \sqsupseteq [(s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_1^0, s_2^0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2)]$.

– Together with (Ba) (Bd) we are done.

• If (C) holds:

– By $\text{stable}(w_2)$ there is $\widetilde{s}_2 \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s_2$ such that

$$(h''_1, h''_2) \in w_2. \mathbf{H}(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}})(\widetilde{s}_2)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2)) .$$

– By monotonicity of $w_2. \mathbf{H}$, from $G(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2) \subseteq G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s}_1, \widetilde{s}_2)$, we have

$$(h''_1, h''_2) \in w_2. \mathbf{H}(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}})(\widetilde{s}_2)(G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s}_1, \widetilde{s}_2)) .$$

– From (Cc) and monotonicity we also know $(\widetilde{h}'_1, \widetilde{h}'_2) \in w_1 \uparrow. \mathbf{H}(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s}_1)(G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s}_1, \widetilde{s}_2))$.

– Thus by the definition of \uparrow, \otimes , we get $(\widetilde{h}'_1 \uplus h''_1, \widetilde{h}'_2 \uplus h''_2) \in w \uparrow. \mathbf{H}(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s}_1, \widetilde{s}_2)(G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s}_1, \widetilde{s}_2))$.

– From (Cb) and the definition of \uparrow, \otimes , we get $(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s}_1, \widetilde{s}_2) \sqsupseteq [(s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_1^0, s_2^0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2)]$.

– Now it remains to show:

$$\forall (\widehat{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widehat{s}_1, \widehat{s}_2) \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} (\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s}_1, \widetilde{s}_2). \forall G' \geq G. (K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}'_{w\uparrow}(G')((s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_1^0, s_2^0), (\widehat{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widehat{s}_1, \widehat{s}_2))(\widetilde{\tau}, \tau)$$

– So suppose $(\widehat{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widehat{s}_1, \widehat{s}_2) \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} (\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s}_1, \widetilde{s}_2)$ and $G' \geq G$.

– Note that $(\widehat{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widehat{s}_1) \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} (\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s}_1)$ and, by monotonicity, $G'(-, -, \widehat{s}_2) \geq G(-, -, s_2)$.

– From (Ce) we therefore get $(K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}'_{w\uparrow}(G'(-, -, \widehat{s}_2))((s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_1^0), (\widehat{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widehat{s}_1))(\widetilde{\tau}, \tau)$.

– By definition of $\mathbf{K}'_{w\uparrow}$ this implies

$$(K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}'_{w\uparrow}(G')((s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_1^0, s_2^0), (\widehat{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widehat{s}_1, \widehat{s}_2))(\widetilde{\tau}, \tau)$$

For (2):

- Suppose $(K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}'_{w\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_1^0, s_2^0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2))(\tau', \tau)$ and $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2)}(\tau')$.
- By definition of $\mathbf{K}'_{w\uparrow}$ we know $s_2 \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s_2^0$ and $(K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}'_{w\uparrow}(G(-, -, s_2))((s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_1^0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s_1))(\tau', \tau)$.
- We must show $(K_1[v_1], K_2[v_2]) \in \mathbf{E}'_{w\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_1^0, s_2^0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2))(\tau)$.
- By definition of $\mathbf{E}'_{w\uparrow}$ it suffices to show

$$(K_1[v_1], K_2[v_2]) \in \mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}(G(-, -, s_2))((s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_1^0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s_1))(\tau).$$

- Since $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2)}(\tau')$, we are done. □

Lemma 11. If $w = w_1 \otimes w_2$ with $w_1, w_2 \in \text{LWorld}$ and $\text{stable}(w_1)$, then for all $G \in \text{GK}(w\uparrow)$ and for all $s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_{\text{ref}} \in W_{\text{ref}}.\mathcal{S}$, $s_1^0, s_1 \in w_1.\mathcal{S}$, $s_2^0, s_2 \in w_2.\mathcal{S}$ with $s_1 \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s_1^0$:

1. $\mathbf{E}_{w_2\uparrow}(G(-, s_1, -))((s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_2^0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s_2)) \leq \mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_1^0, s_2^0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2))$
2. $\mathbf{K}_{w_2\uparrow}(G(-, s_1, -))((s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_2^0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s_2)) \leq \mathbf{K}_{w\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_1^0, s_2^0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2))$

Proof. Similar to Lemma 10. □

Lemma 12. If $w = w_1 \otimes w_2$ with $w_1, w_2 \in \text{LWorld}$ and $\text{stable}(w_1)$, $\text{stable}(w_2)$, then:

1. $\text{stable}(w)$
2. If $\text{inhabited}(w_1\uparrow)$ and $\text{inhabited}(w_2\uparrow)$, then $\text{inhabited}(w\uparrow)$.
3. If $\text{consistent}(w_1\uparrow)$ and $\text{consistent}(w_2\uparrow)$, then $\text{consistent}(w\uparrow)$.

Proof.

1. • Suppose $G \in \text{GK}((w_1 \otimes w_2)\uparrow)$, $(h_1, h_2) \in (w_1 \otimes w_2).\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}})(s_1, s_2)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2))$ and $s'_{\text{ref}} \sqsupseteq s_{\text{ref}}$.
- Further suppose $(h'_1, h'_2) \in W_{\text{ref}}.\mathbf{H}(s'_{\text{ref}})(G(s'_{\text{ref}}, s))$ and defined $(h'_1 \uplus h_1)$ and defined $(h'_2 \uplus h_2)$.
- We must show that there is $(s'_1, s'_2) \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} (s_1, s_2)$ such that

$$(h_1, h_2) \in (w_1 \otimes w_2).\mathbf{H}(s'_{\text{ref}})(s'_1, s'_2)(G(s'_{\text{ref}}, s'_1, s'_2)).$$

- Decomposing $(w_1 \otimes w_2).\mathbf{H}$ gives us $h_1^1, h_2^1, h_1^2, h_2^2$ such that:
 - $h_1 = h_1^1 \uplus h_1^2$ and $h_2 = h_2^1 \uplus h_2^2$
 - $(h_1^1, h_2^1) \in w_1.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}})(s_1)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2))$

- $\text{defined}(h'_1 \uplus h_1^1)$ and $\text{defined}(h'_2 \uplus h_2^1)$
- $(h_1^2, h_2^2) \in w_2.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}})(s_2)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2))$
- $\text{defined}(h'_1 \uplus h_1^2)$ and $\text{defined}(h'_2 \uplus h_2^2)$
- From this, Lemmas 8–11, and the assumptions, we get $s'_1 \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s_1$ and $s'_2 \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s_2$ such that:
 - (a) $(h_1^1, h_2^1) \in w_1.\mathbf{H}(s'_{\text{ref}})(s'_1)(G(s'_{\text{ref}}, s'_1, s_2))$
 - (b) $(h_1^2, h_2^2) \in w_2.\mathbf{H}(s'_{\text{ref}})(s'_2)(G(s'_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s'_2))$
- Using monotonicity and then composing this gives us

$$(h_1, h_2) \in (w_1 \otimes w_2).\mathbf{H}(s'_{\text{ref}})(s'_1, s'_2)(G(s'_{\text{ref}}, s'_1, s'_2)).$$

2. • Suppose $G \in \mathbf{GK}(w\uparrow)$.
 - From the assumptions, Lemma 2, and definition of \uparrow and W_{ref} we get s_1, s_2 such that
 - $(\emptyset, \emptyset) \in w_1.\mathbf{H}(\emptyset)(s_1)([w_1\uparrow](\emptyset, s_1))$ and
 - $(\emptyset, \emptyset) \in w_2.\mathbf{H}(\emptyset)(s_2)([w_2\uparrow](\emptyset, s_2))$.
 - From Lemmas 2, 3, 8, 9, we know $[w_1\uparrow] \leq [w\uparrow](-, (-, s_2))$ and $[w_2\uparrow] \leq [w\uparrow](-, (s_1, -))$.
 - Hence $(\emptyset, \emptyset) \in w\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(\emptyset, (s_1, s_2))([w\uparrow](\emptyset, (s_1, s_2)))$ by monotonicity and definition of \otimes, \uparrow .
3. • We suppose
 - (a) $s = (s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, s_2) \in w\uparrow.\mathbf{S}$
 - (b) $G \in \mathbf{GK}(w\uparrow)$
 - (c) $(\tau, e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{S}(w\uparrow.\mathbf{L}(s)(G(s)), G(s))$
 and must show $(\tau, \text{beta}(e_1), \text{beta}(e_2)) \in \mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}(G)(s, s)$.
 - From (c) and the definitions of \uparrow, \otimes and \mathbf{S} we know:

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau, e_1, e_2) &\in \mathbf{S}(W_{\text{ref}}.\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{ref}})(G(s)), G(s)) \vee \\ (\tau, e_1, e_2) &\in \mathbf{S}(w_1.\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{ref}})(s_1)(G(s)), G(s)) \vee \\ (\tau, e_1, e_2) &\in \mathbf{S}(w_2.\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{ref}})(s_2)(G(s)), G(s)) \end{aligned}$$

- This implies:

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau, e_1, e_2) &\in \mathbf{S}(w_1\uparrow.\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1)(G(s)), G(s)) \vee \\ (\tau, e_1, e_2) &\in \mathbf{S}(w_2\uparrow.\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{ref}}, s_2)(G(s)), G(s)) \end{aligned}$$

- If the former is true, the goal follows from *consistent*($w_1\uparrow$) with the help of Lemmas 8 and 10.
- If the latter is true, the goal follows from *consistent*($w_2\uparrow$) with the help of Lemmas 9 and 11.

□

Lemma 13. For $G \in \mathbf{GK}(W)$, $s_0, s'_0, s \in W.\mathbf{S}$, $\tau, \tau' \in \mathbf{CType}$, $K_1, K_2 \in \mathbf{Cont}$, if

$$\forall s' \sqsupseteq [s'_0, s]. \forall G' \geq G. (\tau', \tau, K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G')(s_0, s'),$$

then:

1. $(\tau', e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s'_0, s)$ implies $(\tau, K_1[e_1], K_2[e_2]) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s_0, s)$.
2. $(\tau'', \tau', K'_1, K'_2) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G)(s'_0, s)$ implies $(\tau'', \tau, K_1[K'_1], K_2[K'_2]) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G)(s_0, s)$.

Proof. We define \mathbf{E}'_W and \mathbf{K}'_W as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}'_W(G)(s_0, s) &= \{ (\tau, K_1[e_1], K_2[e_2]) \mid \exists \tau', s'_0. (\tau', e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s'_0, s) \wedge \\ &\quad \forall s' \sqsupseteq [s'_0, s]. \forall G' \geq G. (\tau', \tau, K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G')(s_0, s') \} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{K}'_W(G)(s_0, s) &= \{ (\tau'', \tau, K_1[K'_1], K_2[K'_2]) \mid \exists \tau', s'_0. (\tau'', \tau', K'_1, K'_2) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G)(s'_0, s) \wedge \\ &\quad \forall s' \sqsupseteq [s'_0, s]. \forall G' \geq G. (\tau', \tau, K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G')(s_0, s') \} \end{aligned}$$

It suffices to show $\mathbf{E}'_W \leq \mathbf{E}_W$ and $\mathbf{K}'_W \leq \mathbf{K}_W$, which we do by coinduction. Concretely, we have to show:

1. $\forall K_1, K_2, e_1, e_2, G, s_0, s, \tau.$
 $(K_1[e_1], K_2[e_2]) \in \mathbf{E}'_W(G)(s_0, s)(\tau) \implies$
 $\forall (h_1, h_2) \in W.H(s)(G(s)). \forall h_1^F, h_2^F.$
 $((h_1, h_1^F, K_1[e_1]), (h_2, h_2^F, K_2[e_2])) \in \mathbf{O}_W(\mathbf{K}'_W)(G)(s_0, s)(\tau)$
2. $\forall K_1, K_2, K'_1, K'_2, G, s_0, s, \tau'', \tau.$
 $(K_1[K'_1], K_2[K'_2]) \in \mathbf{K}'_W(G)(s_0, s)(\tau'', \tau) \implies$
 $\forall (v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}(s)(\tau''). (K_1[K'_1][v_1], K_2[K'_2][v_2]) \in \mathbf{E}'_W(G)(s_0, s)(\tau)$

For (1):

- Suppose $(K_1[e_1], K_2[e_2]) \in \mathbf{E}'_W(G)(s_0, s)(\tau)$ and $(h_1, h_2) \in W.H(s)(G(s)).$
- By definition of \mathbf{E}'_W we know $(e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s'_0, s)(\tau')$ and

$$\forall s' \supseteq [s'_0, s]. \forall G' \geq G. (K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G')(s_0, s')(\tau', \tau)$$

for some s'_0 and τ' .

- We must show $((h_1, h_1^F, K_1[e_1]), (h_2, h_2^F, K_2[e_2])) \in \mathbf{O}_W(\mathbf{K}'_W)(G)(s_0, s)(\tau).$
- So suppose defined $(h_1 \uplus h_1^F)$ and defined $(h_2 \uplus h_2^F).$
- We know $((h_1, h_1^F, e_1), (h_2, h_2^F, e_2)) \in \mathbf{O}_W(\mathbf{K}_W)(G)(s'_0, s)(\tau').$
- Hence at least one of the following three properties holds:

- A) $h_1 \uplus h_1^F, e_1 \uparrow$ and $h_2 \uplus h_2^F, e_2 \uparrow$
- B) (a) $h_1 \uplus h_1^F, e_1 \hookrightarrow^* h'_1 \uplus h_1^F, v_1$ and $h_2 \uplus h_2^F, e_2 \hookrightarrow^* h'_2 \uplus h_2^F, v_2$
(b) $s' \supseteq [s'_0, s]$
(c) $(h'_1, h'_2) \in W.H(s')(G(s'))$
(d) $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}(s')(\tau')$
- C) (a) $h_1 \uplus h_1^F, e_1 \hookrightarrow^* h'_1 \uplus h_1^F, K'_1[e'_1]$ and $h_2 \uplus h_2^F, e_2 \hookrightarrow^* h'_2 \uplus h_2^F, K'_2[e'_2]$
(b) $s' \supseteq s$
(c) $(h'_1, h'_2) \in W.H(s')(G(s'))$
(d) $(e'_1, e'_2) \in \mathbf{S}(G(s'), G(s'))(\tilde{\tau})$
(e) $\forall s'' \supseteq_{\text{pub}} s'. \forall G' \geq G. (K'_1, K'_2) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G')(s'_0, s'')(\tilde{\tau}, \tau')$

- If (A) holds:

– Then $h_1 \uplus h_1^F, K_1[e_1] \uparrow$ and $h_2 \uplus h_2^F, K_2[e_2] \uparrow$, so we are done.

- If (B) holds:

- Then $h_1 \uplus h_1^F, K_1[e_1] \hookrightarrow^* h'_1 \uplus h_1^F, K_1[v_1]$ and $h_2 \uplus h_2^F, K_2[e_2] \hookrightarrow^* h'_2 \uplus h_2^F, K_2[v_2]$ from (Ba).
- Since $(K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G)(s_0, s')(\tau', \tau)$ from (Bb), we get $(K_1[v_1], K_2[v_2]) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s_0, s')(\tau)$ from (Bd).
- Using (Bc), this implies $((h'_1, h_1^F, K_1[v_1]), (h'_2, h_2^F, K_2[v_2])) \in \mathbf{O}_W(\mathbf{K}_W)(G)(s_0, s')(\tau).$
- We show $\mathbf{O}_W(\mathbf{K}_W)(G)(s_0, s')(\tau) \subseteq \mathbf{O}_W(\mathbf{K}'_W)(G)(s_0, s')(\tau):$
 - * It suffices to show $\mathbf{K}_W \leq \mathbf{K}'_W.$
 - * By definition of the latter, this follows from Lemmas 7 and 6.
- Consequently, $((h'_1, h_1^F, K_1[v_1]), (h'_2, h_2^F, K_2[v_2])) \in \mathbf{O}_W(\mathbf{K}'_W)(G)(s_0, s')(\tau).$
- We are done by (Bb) and Lemma 4.

- If (C) holds:

- Then $h_1 \uplus h_1^F, e_1 \hookrightarrow^* h'_1 \uplus h_1^F, K_1[K'_1][e'_1]$ and $h_2 \uplus h_2^F, e_2 \hookrightarrow^* h'_2 \uplus h_2^F, K_2[K'_2][e'_2]$ from (Ca).
- Due to (Cb–d) it remains to show:

$$\forall s'' \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s'. \forall G' \geq G. (K_1[K'_1], K_2[K'_2]) \in \mathbf{K}'_W(G')(s_0, s'')(\tilde{\tau}, \tau)$$

- So suppose $s'' \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s'$ and $G' \geq G$.
- By definition of \mathbf{K}'_W it suffices to show $(K'_1, K'_2) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G')(s'_0, s'')(\tilde{\tau}, \tau')$ and

$$\forall s''' \sqsupseteq [s'_0, s'']. \forall G'' \geq G'. (K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G'')(s_0, s''')(\tau', \tau).$$

- The former follows from (Ce).
- For the latter, recall that

$$\forall s' \sqsupseteq [s'_0, s]. \forall G' \geq G. (K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G')(s_0, s')(\tau', \tau).$$

- Since $s'' \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s' \sqsupseteq s$ and $G'' \geq G' \geq G$, we are done.

For (2):

- Suppose $(K_1[K'_1], K_2[K'_2]) \in \mathbf{K}'_W(G)(s_0, s)(\tau'', \tau)$ and $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\tau'')$.
- By definition of \mathbf{K}'_W we know $(K'_1, K'_2) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G)(s'_0, s)(\tau'', \tau')$ and

$$\forall s' \sqsupseteq [s'_0, s]. \forall G' \geq G. (K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G')(s_0, s')(\tau', \tau)$$

for some s'_0 and τ' .

- We must show $(K_1[K'_1][v_1], K_2[K'_2][v_2]) \in \mathbf{E}'_W(G)(s_0, s)(\tau)$.
- By definition of \mathbf{E}'_W it suffices to show $(K'_1[v_1], K'_2[v_2]) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s'_0, s)(\tau')$ and

$$\forall s' \sqsupseteq [s'_0, s]. \forall G' \geq G. (K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G')(s_0, s')(\tau', \tau).$$

- The latter is given and the former follows from $(K'_1, K'_2) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G)(s'_0, s)(\tau'', \tau')$ and $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\tau'')$.

□

Lemma 14. If $\text{inhabited}(w_2 \uparrow)$, $\text{consistent}(w_2 \uparrow)$, $\text{stable}(w_2)$, and $\text{defined}(w_1 \otimes w_2)$, then:

$$\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim_{w_1} e_2 : \sigma \implies \Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim_{w_1 \otimes w_2} e_2 : \sigma$$

Proof.

- Using the assumptions and Lemma 12, we get $\text{inhabited}((w_1 \otimes w_2) \uparrow)$ and $\text{consistent}((w_1 \otimes w_2) \uparrow)$ as well as $\text{stable}(w_1 \otimes w_2)$.
- Now suppose $G \in \text{GK}((w_1 \otimes w_2) \uparrow)$ and $\delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta)$, $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s_{\text{ref}}, s, s'))$.
- We must show $(\gamma_1 e_1, \gamma_2 e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{(w_1 \otimes w_2) \uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}}, s, s'), (s_{\text{ref}}, s, s'))(\delta\sigma)$.
- From $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim_{w_1} e_2 : \sigma$ and Lemma 8 we know:

$$(\gamma_1 e_1, \gamma_2 e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{w_1 \uparrow}(G(-, -, s'))((s_{\text{ref}}, s), (s_{\text{ref}}, s))(\delta\sigma)$$

- We are done by Lemma 10. □

Lemma 15. If $\forall w. (\forall i \in \{1 \dots n\}. \Delta_i; \Gamma_i \vdash e_i \sim_w e'_i : \sigma_i) \implies \Delta; \Gamma \vdash e \sim_{w\uparrow} e' : \sigma$, then

$$(\forall i \in \{1 \dots n\}. \Delta_i; \Gamma_i \vdash e_i \sim e'_i : \sigma_i) \implies \Delta; \Gamma \vdash e \sim e' : \sigma.$$

Proof.

- Suppose $\forall w. (\forall i \in \{1 \dots n\}. \Delta_i; \Gamma_i \vdash e_i \sim_w e'_i : \sigma_i) \implies \Delta; \Gamma \vdash e \sim_{w\uparrow} e' : \sigma$ and $\forall i \in \{1 \dots n\}. \Delta_i; \Gamma_i \vdash e_i \sim e'_i : \sigma_i$.
- Given $\mathcal{N} \in \text{Names}$, since \mathcal{N} is countably infinite, we can split it into \mathcal{N}_i 's such that $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_1 \uplus \dots \uplus \mathcal{N}_n$.
- Thus by the premise we have w_i 's such that for all i , $w_i.\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_i$ and $\Delta_i; \Gamma_i \vdash e_i \sim_{w_i} e'_i : \sigma_i$.
- Since $w_i.\mathcal{N}$'s are disjoint, by applying Lemma 14 repeatedly, we have $\Delta_i; \Gamma_i \vdash e_i \sim_{w_1 \otimes \dots \otimes w_n} e'_i : \sigma_i$ for all i .
- By the assumption we thus have $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e \sim_{(w_1 \otimes \dots \otimes w_n)\uparrow} e' : \sigma$.
- Using Lemma 12 we get $\text{stable}(w_1 \otimes \dots \otimes w_n)$ and thus $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e \sim_{w_1 \otimes \dots \otimes w_n} e' : \sigma$.
- By definition of \otimes , we have $(w_1 \otimes \dots \otimes w_n).\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_1 \uplus \dots \uplus \mathcal{N}_n = \mathcal{N}$, and thus $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e \sim e' : \sigma$. □

Lemma 16. If $\forall W. (\forall i \in \{1 \dots n\}. \Delta_i; \Gamma_i \vdash e_i \sim_W e'_i : \sigma_i) \implies \Delta; \Gamma \vdash e \sim_W e' : \sigma$, then:

$$(\forall i \in \{1 \dots n\}. \Delta_i; \Gamma_i \vdash e_i \sim e'_i : \sigma_i) \implies \Delta; \Gamma \vdash e \sim e' : \sigma$$

Proof. Immediate consequence of Lemma 15. □

Lemma 17. If $\forall G, s. \forall \delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s)). (\gamma_1 K_1, \gamma_2 K_2) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G)(s, s)(\delta\sigma', \delta\sigma)$ then

$$\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim_W e_2 : \sigma' \implies \Delta; \Gamma \vdash K_1[e_1] \sim_W K_2[e_2] : \sigma$$

Proof.

- Suppose $G \in \text{GK}(W)$, $\delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta)$ and $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s))$.
- We must show $((\gamma_1 K_1)[\gamma_1 e_1], (\gamma_2 K_2)[\gamma_2 e_2]) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s, s)(\delta\sigma)$.
- From the premise we get $(\gamma_1 e_1, \gamma_2 e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s, s)(\delta\sigma')$.
- By Lemma 13 it suffices to show

$$(\gamma_1 K_1, \gamma_2 K_2) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G')(s, s^\circ)(\delta\sigma', \delta\sigma)$$

for $s^\circ \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s$ and $G' \geq G$.

- By Lemma 7 it then suffices to show

$$(\gamma_1 K_1, \gamma_2 K_2) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G')(s^\circ, s^\circ)(\delta\sigma', \delta\sigma),$$

which follows from Lemma 1 and the assumption. □

Lemma 18 (External call). For any $G \in \text{GK}(W)$ and $\mathcal{R} \in W.S \rightarrow \text{VRelF}$, if

$$\text{consistent}(W) \wedge \forall s. G(s) = W.L(s)(G(s)) \cup \mathcal{R}(s),$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall (\tau, e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s_0, s). \forall (h_1, h_2) \in W.H(s)(G(s)). \\ & \forall h_1^F, h_2^F. h_1 \uplus h_1^F \text{ defined} \wedge h_2 \uplus h_2^F \text{ defined} \implies \\ & \quad (h_1 \uplus h_1^F, e_1 \uparrow \wedge h_2 \uplus h_2^F, e_2 \uparrow) \\ & \quad \vee (\exists h'_1, h'_2, v_1, v_2. h_1 \uplus h_1^F, e_1 \hookrightarrow^* h'_1 \uplus h_1^F, v_1 \wedge h_2 \uplus h_2^F, e_2 \hookrightarrow^* h'_2 \uplus h_2^F, v_2 \wedge \\ & \quad \exists s' \sqsupseteq [s_0, s]. (h'_1, h'_2) \in W.H(s')(G(s')) \wedge (v_1, v_2) \in G(s')(\tau)) \\ & \quad \vee (\exists h'_1, h'_2, \tau', K_1, K_2, e'_1, e'_2. \\ & \quad h_1 \uplus h_1^F, e_1 \hookrightarrow^* h'_1 \uplus h_1^F, K_1[e'_1] \wedge h_2 \uplus h_2^F, e_2 \hookrightarrow^* h'_2 \uplus h_2^F, K_2[e'_2] \wedge \\ & \quad \exists s' \sqsupseteq s. (h'_1, h'_2) \in W.H(s')(G(s')) \wedge (\tau', e'_1, e'_2) \in \mathbf{S}(\mathcal{R}(s'), G(s')) \wedge \\ & \quad \forall s'' \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s'. \forall G' \geq G. (K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G')(s_0, s'')(\tau', \tau)) \end{aligned}$$

Proof.

- We prove the following proposition by induction on n .

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall (\tau, e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s_0, s). \forall (h_1, h_2) \in W.H(s)(G(s)). \\ & \forall h_1^F, h_2^F. h_1 \uplus h_1^F \text{ defined} \wedge h_2 \uplus h_2^F \text{ defined} \implies \\ & \quad (h_1 \uplus h_1^F, e_1 \uparrow^n \wedge h_2 \uplus h_2^F, e_2 \uparrow^n) \\ & \quad \vee (\exists h'_1, h'_2, v_1, v_2. h_1 \uplus h_1^F, e_1 \hookrightarrow^* h'_1 \uplus h_1^F, v_1 \wedge h_2 \uplus h_2^F, e_2 \hookrightarrow^* h'_2 \uplus h_2^F, v_2 \wedge \\ & \quad \exists s' \sqsupseteq [s_0, s]. (h'_1, h'_2) \in W.H(s')(G(s')) \wedge (v_1, v_2) \in G(s')(\tau)) \tag{1} \\ & \quad \vee (\exists h'_1, h'_2, \tau', K_1, K_2, e'_1, e'_2. \\ & \quad h_1 \uplus h_1^F, e_1 \hookrightarrow^* h'_1 \uplus h_1^F, K_1[e'_1] \wedge h_2 \uplus h_2^F, e_2 \hookrightarrow^* h'_2 \uplus h_2^F, K_2[e'_2] \wedge \\ & \quad \exists s' \sqsupseteq s. (h'_1, h'_2) \in W.H(s')(G(s')) \wedge (\tau', e'_1, e'_2) \in \mathbf{S}(\mathcal{R}(s'), G(s')) \wedge \\ & \quad \forall s'' \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s'. \forall G' \geq G. (K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G')(s_0, s'')(\tau', \tau)) \end{aligned}$$

- When $n = 0$, the first case holds vacuously.
- When $n > 0$, we assume that the goal (1) holds for $n - 1$. Then we need to show that the goal (1) holds for n .
- By definition of $\mathbf{E}_W(G)(s_0, s)$, we have three cases.
- In the first two cases, the goal (1) is trivially satisfied.
- In the third case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \exists h'_1, h'_2, \tau', K_1, K_2, e'_1, e'_2. \\ & \quad h_1 \uplus h_1^F, e_1 \hookrightarrow^* h'_1 \uplus h_1^F, K_1[e'_1] \wedge h_2 \uplus h_2^F, e_2 \hookrightarrow^* h'_2 \uplus h_2^F, K_2[e'_2] \wedge \\ & \quad \exists s' \sqsupseteq s. (h'_1, h'_2) \in W.H(s')(G(s')) \wedge (\tau', e'_1, e'_2) \in \mathbf{S}(G(s'), G(s')) \wedge \\ & \quad \forall s'' \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s'. \forall G' \geq G. (K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G')(s_0, s'')(\tau', \tau) \end{aligned}$$

- As $G(s') = W.L(s')(G(s')) \cup \mathcal{R}(s')$, by definition of \mathbf{S} , we have

$$(\tau', e'_1, e'_2) \in \mathbf{S}(G(s'), G(s')) = \mathbf{S}(W.L(s')(G(s')), G(s')) \cup \mathbf{S}(\mathcal{R}(s'), G(s')).$$

- If $(\tau', e'_1, e'_2) \in \mathbf{S}(\mathcal{R}(s'), G(s'))$, then the goal (1) is satisfied.
- If $(\tau', e'_1, e'_2) \in \mathbf{S}(W.L(s')(G(s')), G(s'))$, then by *consistent*(W), we have that $h'_1 \uplus h_1^F, K_1[e'_1] \hookrightarrow^1 h'_1 \uplus h_1^F, K_1[\text{beta}(e'_1)]$ and $h'_2 \uplus h_2^F, K_2[e'_2] \hookrightarrow^1 h'_2 \uplus h_2^F, K_2[\text{beta}(e'_2)]$ and $(\tau', \text{beta}(e'_1), \text{beta}(e'_2)) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s', s')$.

- By Lemma 13, we have $(\tau, K_1[\text{beta}(e'_1)], K_2[\text{beta}(e'_2)]) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s_0, s')$.
- As $(h'_1, h'_2) \in W.H(s')(G(s'))$, by induction hypothesis we have that $h'_1 \uplus h_1^F, K_1[\text{beta}(e'_1)]$ and $h'_2 \uplus h_2^F, K_2[\text{beta}(e'_2)]$ satisfy the goal (1) for $n - 1$ w.r.t. (s_0, s') .
- As $h_1 \uplus h_1^F, e_1 \hookrightarrow^+ h'_1 \uplus h_2^F, K_1[\text{beta}(e'_1)] \wedge h_2 \uplus h_2^F, e_2 \hookrightarrow^+ h'_2 \uplus h_2^F, K_2[\text{beta}(e'_2)]$ and $s' \sqsupseteq s$, we have that $h_1 \uplus h_1^F, e_1$ and $h_2 \uplus h_2^F, e_2$ satisfy the goal (1) for n w.r.t. (s_0, s) , so we are done.
- The original goal is obtained from the sub-goal (1) by pushing the quantification over n inside the first case and then observing that $\forall n. h, e \uparrow^n$ is equivalent to $h, e \uparrow$.

□

Corollary 19. If

- $\text{consistent}(W)$
- $\forall s. G(s) = W.L(s)(G(s))$
- $(\tau, e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s_0, s)$
- $(h_1, h_2) \in W.H(s)(G(s))$ and $h_1 \uplus h_1^F, h_2 \uplus h_2^F$ defined

then one of the following holds:

1. $h_1 \uplus h_1^F, e_1 \uparrow \wedge h_2 \uplus h_2^F, e_2 \uparrow$
2. $\exists h'_1, h'_2, v_1, v_2, s'. \quad h_1 \uplus h_1^F, e_1 \hookrightarrow^* h'_1 \uplus h_1^F, v_1 \wedge h_2 \uplus h_2^F, e_2 \hookrightarrow^* h'_2 \uplus h_2^F, v_2 \wedge s' \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} [s_0, s] \wedge (h'_1, h'_2) \in W.H(s')(G(s')) \wedge (\tau, v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s')}$

Proof. Follows from Lemma 18 for $\mathcal{R} = \lambda s. \emptyset$.

□

2.3 Compatibility

Lemma 20 (Compatibility: Var).

$$\frac{\Delta \vdash \Gamma \quad x : \sigma \in \Gamma}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash x \sim x : \sigma}$$

Proof.

- Let $w_{\text{id}} = w_{\text{single}}(\lambda R.\emptyset, \lambda R.\{(\emptyset, \emptyset)\})$ (so $w_{\text{id}} \cdot \mathbf{N} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ for any \mathcal{N}).
- We are done if we can show $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash x \sim_{w_{\text{id}}} x : \sigma$.
- It is obvious that $\text{stable}(w_{\text{id}})$ (the dependency is vacuous) and that $\text{consistent}(w_{\text{id}}\uparrow)$ (neither W_{ref} nor w_{id} relates any functions).
- $\text{inhabited}(w_{\text{id}}\uparrow)$ is witnessed by state $(\emptyset, *)$.
- Now suppose $G \in \text{GK}(w_{\text{id}}\uparrow)$ and $\delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta)$, $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s))$.
- We must show $(\gamma_1(x), \gamma_2(x)) \in \mathbf{E}_{w_{\text{id}}\uparrow}(G)(s, s)(\delta\sigma)$.
- From $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s))$ we know $(\gamma_1(x), \gamma_2(x)) \in \overline{G(s)}(\delta\sigma)$.
- We are done by Lemma 5.

□

Lemma 21.

1. If $(\tau, v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s)}$, then $(\tau', \tau \times \tau', \langle v_1, \bullet \rangle, \langle v_2, \bullet \rangle) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G)(s, s)$.
2. If $(\tau', e'_1, e'_2) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s_0, s)$, then $(\tau, \tau \times \tau', \langle \bullet, e'_1 \rangle, \langle \bullet, e'_2 \rangle) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G)(s_0, s)$.

Proof.

1.
 - Suppose $(v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\tau')$.
 - We need to show $(\langle v_1, v'_1 \rangle, \langle v_2, v'_2 \rangle) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s, s)(\tau \times \tau')$.
 - By Lemma 5 it suffices to show $(\langle v_1, v'_1 \rangle, \langle v_2, v'_2 \rangle) \in \overline{G(s)}(\tau \times \tau')$.
 - Hence it suffices to show $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\tau)$ and $(v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\tau')$, which we both already have.
2.
 - Suppose $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\tau)$.
 - We need to show $(\langle v_1, e'_1 \rangle, \langle v_2, e'_2 \rangle) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s_0, s)(\tau \times \tau')$.
 - By Lemma 13 it suffices to show

$$(\langle v_1, \bullet \rangle, \langle v_2, \bullet \rangle) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G')(s_0, s')(\tau', \tau \times \tau')$$

for $s' \sqsupseteq [s_0, s]$ and $G' \geq G$.

- By Lemma 7 it suffices to show $(\langle v_1, \bullet \rangle, \langle v_2, \bullet \rangle) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G')(s', s')(\tau', \tau \times \tau')$.
- By part (1) it then suffices to show $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G'(s')}(\tau)$, which follows from $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\tau)$ by Lemma 1.

□

Lemma 22 (Compatibility: Pair).

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \sigma \quad \Delta; \Gamma \vdash e'_1 \sim e'_2 : \sigma'}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \langle e_1, e'_1 \rangle \sim \langle e_2, e'_2 \rangle : \sigma \times \sigma'}$$

Proof.

- By Lemmas 16 and 17, it suffices to show $\forall G, s. \forall \delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s)),$

$$\langle \bullet, \gamma_1 e'_1 \rangle, \langle \bullet, \gamma_2 e'_2 \rangle \in \mathbf{K}_W(G)(s, s)(\delta\sigma, \delta\sigma \times \delta\sigma')$$

assuming $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e'_1 \sim_W e'_2 : \sigma'$.

- By Lemma 21 it suffices to show $(\gamma_1 e'_1, \gamma_2 e'_2) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s, s)(\delta\sigma')$, which follows from the assumption. □

Lemma 23 (Compatibility: Fst (Snd analogously)).

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \sigma \times \sigma'}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1.1 \sim e_2.1 : \sigma}$$

Proof.

- By Lemmas 16 and 17, it suffices to show $\forall G, s. \forall \delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s)),$

$$\langle \bullet.1, \bullet.1 \rangle \in \mathbf{K}_W(G)(s, s)(\delta\sigma \times \delta\sigma', \delta\sigma) .$$

- Suppose $(v_1^\circ, v_2^\circ) \in \overline{G(s)}(\delta\sigma \times \delta\sigma')$.
- We need to show $(v_1^\circ.1, v_2^\circ.1) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s, s)(\delta\sigma)$.
- Suppose $(h_1, h_2) \in W.H(s)(G(s))$ as well as $\text{defined}(h_1 \uplus h_1^F)$ and $\text{defined}(h_2 \uplus h_2^F)$.
- We know $v_1^\circ = \langle v_1, v'_1 \rangle$ and $v_2^\circ = \langle v_2, v'_2 \rangle$ with $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\delta\sigma)$.
- Hence $h_1 \uplus h_1^F, v_1^\circ.1 \hookrightarrow h_1 \uplus h_1^F, v_1$ and $h_2 \uplus h_2^F, v_2^\circ.1 \hookrightarrow h_2 \uplus h_2^F, v_2$.
- Since $s \sqsupseteq [s, s]$, we are done. □

Lemma 24 (Compatibility: Inl (Inr analogously)).

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \sigma}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \text{inj}^1 e_1 \sim \text{inj}^1 e_2 : \sigma + \sigma'}$$

Proof.

- By Lemmas 16 and 17, it suffices to show $\forall G, s. \forall \delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s)),$

$$\langle \text{inj}^1 \bullet, \text{inj}^1 \bullet \rangle \in \mathbf{K}_W(G)(s, s)(\delta\sigma, \delta\sigma + \delta\sigma') .$$

- Suppose $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\delta\sigma)$.
- We need to show $(\text{inj}^1 v_1, \text{inj}^1 v_2) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s, s)(\delta\sigma + \delta\sigma')$.
- By Lemma 5 it suffices to show $(\text{inj}^1 v_1, \text{inj}^1 v_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\delta\sigma + \delta\sigma')$.
- This follows from $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\delta\sigma)$. □

Lemma 25 (Compatibility: Case).

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \sigma' + \sigma'' \quad \Delta; \Gamma, x:\sigma' \vdash e'_1 \sim e'_2 : \sigma \quad \Delta; \Gamma, x:\sigma'' \vdash e''_1 \sim e''_2 : \sigma}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \text{case } e_1 \text{ of inj}^1 x \Rightarrow e'_1 \mid \text{inj}^2 x \Rightarrow e''_1 \sim \text{case } e_2 \text{ of inj}^1 x \Rightarrow e'_2 \mid \text{inj}^2 x \Rightarrow e''_2 : \sigma}$$

Proof.

- By Lemmas 16 and 17, it suffices to show $\forall G, s. \forall \delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s)),$
 $(\text{case } \bullet \text{ of inj}^1 x \Rightarrow \gamma_1 e'_1 \mid \text{inj}^2 x \Rightarrow \gamma_1 e''_1, \text{case } \bullet \text{ of inj}^1 x \Rightarrow \gamma_2 e'_2 \mid \text{inj}^2 x \Rightarrow \gamma_2 e''_2) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G)(s, s)(\delta\sigma' + \delta\sigma'', \delta\sigma).$
 assuming $\Delta; \Gamma, x:\sigma' \vdash e'_1 \sim_W e'_2 : \sigma$ and $\Delta; \Gamma, x:\sigma'' \vdash e''_1 \sim_W e''_2 : \sigma.$
- Thus it suffices to show that $\forall (v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\delta\sigma' + \delta\sigma''),$
 $(\text{case } v_1 \text{ of inj}^1 x \Rightarrow \gamma_1 e'_1 \mid \text{inj}^2 x \Rightarrow \gamma_1 e''_1, \text{case } v_2 \text{ of inj}^1 x \Rightarrow \gamma_2 e'_2 \mid \text{inj}^2 x \Rightarrow \gamma_2 e''_2) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s, s)(\delta\sigma)$
- By definition of $\overline{G(s)}(\delta\sigma' + \delta\sigma'')$, we have v'_1, v'_2 such that either
 1. $v_1 = \text{inj}^1 v'_1 \wedge v_2 = \text{inj}^1 v'_2 \wedge (v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\delta\sigma');$ or
 2. $v_1 = \text{inj}^2 v'_1 \wedge v_2 = \text{inj}^2 v'_2 \wedge (v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\delta\sigma'').$
- We show the former case (the latter case can be done analogously).
- Let $\gamma'_1 := \gamma_1, x \mapsto v'_1$ and $\gamma'_2 := \gamma_2, x \mapsto v'_2.$
- Now suppose $(h_1, h_2) \in W.H(s)(G(s))$ and $h_1^F, h_2^F \in \text{Heap}$ with $h_1 \uplus h_1^F, h_2 \uplus h_2^F$ defined.
- We have

$$h_1 \uplus h_1^F, \text{case } v_1 \text{ of inj}^1 x \Rightarrow \gamma_1 e'_1 \mid \text{inj}^2 x \Rightarrow \gamma_1 e''_1 \hookrightarrow h_1 \uplus h_1^F, \gamma'_1 e'_1$$
 and

$$h_2 \uplus h_2^F, \text{case } v_2 \text{ of inj}^1 x \Rightarrow \gamma_2 e'_2 \mid \text{inj}^2 x \Rightarrow \gamma_2 e''_2 \hookrightarrow h_2 \uplus h_2^F, \gamma'_2 e'_2$$
- Thus by Lemma 4, it suffices to show

$$(\delta\sigma', (h_1, h_1^F, \gamma'_1 e'_1), (h_2, h_2^F, \gamma'_2 e'_2)) \in \mathbf{O}_W(\mathbf{E}_W)(G)(s, s).$$
- This follows from the assumption and $(\gamma'_1, \gamma'_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta(\Gamma, x : \sigma'), G(s)).$

□

Lemma 26 (Compatibility: Fix).

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma, f:\sigma' \rightarrow \sigma, x:\sigma' \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \sigma}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \text{fix } f(x). e_1 \sim \text{fix } f(x). e_2 : \sigma' \rightarrow \sigma}$$

Proof.

- For any \mathcal{N} , from the premise we have w such that $w.N \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ and $\Delta; \Gamma, f:\sigma' \rightarrow \sigma, x:\sigma' \vdash e_1 \sim_w e_2 : \sigma.$
- Let $w' = w_{\text{single}}(\lambda R. \{(\delta\sigma' \rightarrow \delta\sigma, \gamma_1 \text{fix } f(x). e_1, \gamma_2 \text{fix } f(x). e_2) \mid$
 $\delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta), (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, R)\}, \lambda R. \{(\emptyset, \emptyset)\})$.
- Since $(w \otimes w').N = w.N \subseteq \mathcal{N}$, it suffices to show $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \text{fix } f(x). e_1 \sim_{w \otimes w'} \text{fix } f(x). e_2 : \sigma' \rightarrow \sigma.$
- To do so, we first prove *inhabited* $((w \otimes w')\uparrow)$ and *consistent* $((w \otimes w')\uparrow)$:

- $\text{inhabited}(w'\uparrow)$ is witnessed by state $(\emptyset, *)$, so $\text{inhabited}((w \otimes w')\uparrow)$ holds by Lemma 12.
- The part of $\text{consistent}((w \otimes w')\uparrow)$ concerning universal types follows from $\text{consistent}(w\uparrow)$ by Lemma 12, because $w'.L$ doesn't relate anything at universal types.
- Regarding the part concerning arrow types, we suppose
 1. $G \in \text{GK}((w \otimes w')\uparrow)$
 2. $(v_1, v_2) \in (w \otimes w')\uparrow.L(s_{\text{ref}}, s, s')(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s, s'))(\tilde{\sigma}' \rightarrow \tilde{\sigma})$
 3. $(v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{ref}}, s, s')}(\tilde{\sigma}')$

and must show:

$$(\text{beta}(v_1 v'_1), \text{beta}(v_2 v'_2)) \in \mathbf{E}_{(w \otimes w')\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}}, s, s'), (s_{\text{ref}}, s, s'))(\tilde{\sigma})$$

- From (2) and the definition of \uparrow and \otimes we know:

$$\begin{aligned} (v_1, v_2) &\in w\uparrow.L(s_{\text{ref}}, s)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s, s'))(\tilde{\sigma}' \rightarrow \tilde{\sigma}) \vee \\ (v_1, v_2) &\in w'.L(s_{\text{ref}})(s')(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s, s'))(\tilde{\sigma}' \rightarrow \tilde{\sigma}) \end{aligned}$$

- If the former is true, the claim follows from $\text{consistent}(w\uparrow)$ with the help of Lemmas 8 and 10.
- So suppose the latter.
- Then $\tilde{\sigma}' \rightarrow \tilde{\sigma} = \delta\sigma' \rightarrow \delta\sigma$ and $v_1 = \gamma_1 \text{fix } f(x).e_1$ and $v_2 = \gamma_2 \text{fix } f(x).e_2$ for $\delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta)$ and $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s_{\text{ref}}, s, s'))$.
- Let $\gamma'_1 = \gamma_1, f \mapsto \gamma_1 \text{fix } f(x).e_1, x \mapsto v'_1$ and $\gamma'_2 = \gamma_2, f \mapsto \gamma_2 \text{fix } f(x).e_2, x \mapsto v'_2$
- It remains to show $(\gamma'_1 e_1, \gamma'_2 e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{(w \otimes w')\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}}, s, s'), (s_{\text{ref}}, s, s'))(\delta\sigma)$.
- By Lemmas 8 and 10 it suffices to show $(\gamma'_1 e_1, \gamma'_2 e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}(G(-, -, s'))((s_{\text{ref}}, s), (s_{\text{ref}}, s))(\delta\sigma)$.
- This follows from the premise if we can show $(\gamma'_1, \gamma'_2) \in \text{Env}((\delta\Gamma, f:\delta\sigma' \rightarrow \delta\sigma, x:\delta\sigma'), G(s_{\text{ref}}, s, s'))$.
- This reduces to showing $(v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{ref}}, s, s')}(\delta\sigma')$ and

$$(\gamma_1 \text{fix } f(x).e_1, \gamma_2 \text{fix } f(x).e_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{ref}}, s, s')}(\delta\sigma' \rightarrow \delta\sigma).$$

- The former is given as (3).
- For the latter, note that by definition of GK it suffices to show

$$(\gamma_1 \text{fix } f(x).e_1, \gamma_2 \text{fix } f(x).e_2) \in (w \otimes w')\uparrow.L(s_{\text{ref}}, s, s')(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s, s'))(\delta\sigma' \rightarrow \delta\sigma).$$

- By definition of \uparrow and \otimes it then suffices to show

$$(\gamma_1 \text{fix } f(x).e_1, \gamma_2 \text{fix } f(x).e_2) \in w'.L(s_{\text{ref}})(s')(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s, s'))(\delta\sigma' \rightarrow \delta\sigma).$$

- Since $\delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta)$ and $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s_{\text{ref}}, s, s'))$, this holds by construction.

- Now suppose $G \in \text{GK}((w \otimes w')\uparrow)$ and $\delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta)$, $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s_{\text{ref}}, s, s'))$.
- We must show $(\gamma_1 \text{fix } f(x).e_1, \gamma_2 \text{fix } f(x).e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{(w \otimes w')\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}}, s, s'), (s_{\text{ref}}, s, s'))(\delta\sigma' \rightarrow \delta\sigma)$.
- By Lemma 5 it suffices to show $(\gamma_1 \text{fix } f(x).e_1, \gamma_2 \text{fix } f(x).e_2) \in G(s_{\text{ref}}, s, s')(\delta\sigma' \rightarrow \delta\sigma)$.
- By definition of GK it suffices to show:

$$(\gamma_1 \text{fix } f(x).e_1, \gamma_2 \text{fix } f(x).e_2) \in (w \otimes w')\uparrow.L(s_{\text{ref}}, s, s')(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s, s'))(\delta\sigma' \rightarrow \delta\sigma)$$

- By definition of \uparrow and \otimes it suffices to show $(\gamma_1 \text{fix } f(x).e_1, \gamma_2 \text{fix } f(x).e_2) \in w'.L(s_{\text{ref}})(s')(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s, s'))(\delta\sigma' \rightarrow \delta\sigma)$.

- Since $\delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta)$, $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s_{\text{ref}}, s, s'))$, this holds by construction of w' .

□

Lemma 27.

1. If $(\tau' \rightarrow \tau, v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s)}$, then $(\tau', \tau, v_1 \bullet, v_2 \bullet) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G)(s, s)$.
2. If $(\tau', e'_1, e'_2) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s_0, s)$, then $(\tau' \rightarrow \tau, \tau, \bullet e'_1, \bullet e'_2) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G)(s_0, s)$.

Proof.

1.
 - Suppose $(v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\tau')$.
 - We need to show $(v_1 v'_1, v_2 v'_2) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s, s)(\tau)$.
 - By definition of \mathbf{E}_W it suffices to show the following:
 - (a) $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\tau' \rightarrow \tau)$
 - (b) $(v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\tau')$
 - (c) $\forall s' \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s. \forall G' \geq G. (\bullet, \bullet) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G')(s, s')(\tau, \tau)$
 - (a) and (b) are already given.
 - (c) follows by Lemmas 6 and 7.
2.
 - Suppose $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\tau' \rightarrow \tau)$.
 - We need to show $(v_1 e'_1, v_2 e'_2) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s_0, s)(\tau)$.
 - By Lemma 13 it suffices to show

$$(v_1 \bullet, v_2 \bullet) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G')(s_0, s')(\tau', \tau)$$

for $s' \sqsupseteq [s_0, s]$ and $G' \geq G$.

- By Lemma 7 it suffices to show $(v_1 \bullet, v_2 \bullet) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G')(s', s')(\tau', \tau)$.
- By part (1) it then suffices to show $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G'(s')}(\tau' \rightarrow \tau)$.
- This follows from $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\tau' \rightarrow \tau)$ by Lemma 1.

□

Lemma 28 (Compatibility: App).

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \sigma' \rightarrow \sigma \quad \Delta; \Gamma \vdash e'_1 \sim e'_2 : \sigma'}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 e'_1 \sim e_2 e'_2 : \sigma}$$

Proof.

- By Lemmas 16 and 17, it suffices to show $\forall G, s. \forall \delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s))$,

$$(\bullet \gamma_1 e'_1, \bullet \gamma_2 e'_2) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G)(s, s)(\delta\sigma' \rightarrow \delta\sigma, \delta\sigma)$$

assuming $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e'_1 \sim_W e'_2 : \sigma'$.

- By Lemma 27 it suffices to show $(\gamma_1 e'_1, \gamma_2 e'_2) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s, s)(\delta\sigma')$, which follows from the assumption.

□

Lemma 29 (Compatibility: Roll).

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \sigma[\mu\alpha. \sigma/\alpha]}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \text{roll } e_1 \sim \text{roll } e_2 : \mu\alpha. \sigma}$$

Proof.

- By Lemmas 16 and 17, it suffices to show $\forall G, s. \forall \delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s)),$
 $(\text{roll } \bullet, \text{roll } \bullet) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G)(s, s)(\delta\sigma[\mu\alpha. \delta\sigma/\alpha], \mu\alpha. \delta\sigma) .$
- Suppose $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\delta\sigma[\mu\alpha. \delta\sigma/\alpha]).$
- We need to show $(\text{roll } v_1, \text{roll } v_2) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s, s)(\mu\alpha. \delta\sigma).$
- By Lemma 5 it suffices to show $(\text{roll } v_1, \text{roll } v_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\mu\alpha. \delta\sigma).$
- This follows from $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\delta\sigma[\mu\alpha. \delta\sigma/\alpha]).$

□

Lemma 30 (Compatibility: Unroll).

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \mu\alpha. \sigma}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \text{unroll } e_1 \sim \text{unroll } e_2 : \sigma[\mu\alpha. \sigma/\alpha]}$$

Proof.

- By Lemmas 16 and 17, it suffices to show $\forall G, s. \forall \delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s)),$
 $(\text{unroll } \bullet, \text{unroll } \bullet) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G)(s, s)(\mu\alpha. \delta\sigma, \delta\sigma[\mu\alpha. \delta\sigma/\alpha]) .$
- Suppose $(v_1^\circ, v_2^\circ) \in \overline{G(s)}(\mu\alpha. \delta\sigma).$
- We need to show $(\text{unroll } v_1^\circ, \text{unroll } v_2^\circ) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s, s)(\delta\sigma[\mu\alpha. \delta\sigma/\alpha]).$
- Suppose $(h_1, h_2) \in W.H(s)(G(s))$ as well as $\text{defined}(h_1 \uplus h_1^F)$ and $\text{defined}(h_2 \uplus h_2^F).$
- We know $v_1^\circ = \text{roll } v_1$ and $v_2^\circ = \text{roll } v_2$ with $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\delta\sigma[\mu\alpha. \delta\sigma/\alpha]).$
- Hence $h_1 \uplus h_1^F, \text{unroll } v_1^\circ \hookrightarrow h_1 \uplus h_1^F, v_1$ and $h_2 \uplus h_2^F, \text{unroll } v_2^\circ \hookrightarrow h_2 \uplus h_2^F, v_2.$
- Since $s \sqsupseteq [s, s],$ we are done.

□

Lemma 31 (Compatibility: Ref).

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \sigma}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \text{ref } e_1 \sim \text{ref } e_2 : \text{ref } \sigma}$$

Proof.

- By Lemmas 15 and 17, it suffices to show $\forall G, s_{\text{ref}}, s. \forall \delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s)),$
 $(\text{ref } \bullet, \text{ref } \bullet) \in \mathbf{K}_{w\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}}, s), (s_{\text{ref}}, s))(\delta\sigma, \text{ref } \delta\sigma) .$
- Suppose $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{ref}}, s)}(\delta\sigma).$
- We need to show $(\text{ref } v_1, \text{ref } v_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}}, s), (s_{\text{ref}}, s))(\text{ref } \delta\sigma).$
- Suppose $(h_1, h_2) \in w\uparrow.H(s_{\text{ref}}, s)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s))$ as well as $\text{defined}(h_1 \uplus h_1^F)$ and $\text{defined}(h_2 \uplus h_2^F).$
- We know $h_1 \uplus h_1^F, \text{ref } v_1 \hookrightarrow h_1 \uplus [l_1 \mapsto v_1] \uplus h_1^F, l_1$ for $l_1 \notin \text{dom}(h_1 \uplus h_1^F).$
- Similarly, $h_2 \uplus h_2^F, \text{ref } v_2 \hookrightarrow h_2 \uplus [l_2 \mapsto v_2] \uplus h_2^F, l_2$ for $l_2 \notin \text{dom}(h_2 \uplus h_2^F).$

- By definition of $\mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}$ it suffices to find $(\widetilde{s_{\text{ref}}}, \widetilde{s}) \sqsupseteq [(s_{\text{ref}}, s), (s_{\text{ref}}, s)]$ such that:
 1. $(h_1 \uplus [\ell_1 \mapsto v_1], h_2 \uplus [\ell_2 \mapsto v_2]) \in w\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(\widetilde{s_{\text{ref}}}, \widetilde{s})(G(\widetilde{s_{\text{ref}}}, \widetilde{s}))$
 2. $(\ell_1, \ell_2) \in \overline{G(\widetilde{s_{\text{ref}}}, \widetilde{s})}(\text{ref } \delta\sigma)$
- From $(h_1, h_2) \in w\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}}, s)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s))$ we know $h_i = h'_i \uplus h''_i$ for some h'_1, h''_1, h'_2, h''_2 with $(h'_1, h'_2) \in W_{\text{ref}}.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}})(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s))$ and $(h''_1, h''_2) \in w.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}})(s)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s))$.
- Since $\ell_1 \notin \text{dom}(h'_1)$ and $\ell_2 \notin \text{dom}(h'_2)$, we therefore know that $s_{\text{ref}} \uplus \{(\delta\sigma, \ell_1, \ell_2)\}$ is well-defined and that $s_{\text{ref}} \uplus \{(\delta\sigma, \ell_1, \ell_2)\} \in W_{\text{ref}}.\mathbf{S}$.
- We choose $\widetilde{s_{\text{ref}}} = s_{\text{ref}} \uplus \{(\delta\sigma, \ell_1, \ell_2)\}$.
- Note that $\widetilde{s_{\text{ref}}} \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s_{\text{ref}}$ and that $(h'_1 \uplus [\ell_1 \mapsto v_1], h'_2 \uplus [\ell_2 \mapsto v_2]) \in W_{\text{ref}}.\mathbf{H}(\widetilde{s_{\text{ref}}})(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s))$.
- By dependent monotonicity we also get $\widetilde{s} \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s$ such that $(h''_1, h''_2) \in w.\mathbf{H}(\widetilde{s_{\text{ref}}})(\widetilde{s})(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s))$.
- Together this yields $(h_1 \uplus [\ell_1 \mapsto v_1], h_2 \uplus [\ell_2 \mapsto v_2]) \in w\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(\widetilde{s_{\text{ref}}}, \widetilde{s})(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s))$ and then (1) by monotonicity.
- To show (2) it suffices, by definition of GK, to show $(\ell_1, \ell_2) \in w\uparrow.\mathbf{L}(\widetilde{s_{\text{ref}}}, \widetilde{s})(G(\widetilde{s_{\text{ref}}}, \widetilde{s}))(\text{ref } \delta\sigma)$.
- By definition of \uparrow and W_{ref} , this in turn reduces to showing $(\delta\sigma, \ell_1, \ell_2) \in \widetilde{s_{\text{ref}}}$, which holds by construction.

□

Lemma 32 (Compatibility: Deref).

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \text{ref } \sigma}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash !e_1 \sim !e_2 : \sigma}$$

Proof.

- By Lemmas 15 and 17, it suffices to show $\forall G, s_{\text{ref}}, s. \forall \delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s)),$

$$(!\bullet, !\bullet) \in \mathbf{K}_{w\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}}, s), (s_{\text{ref}}, s))(\text{ref } \delta\sigma, \delta\sigma) .$$
- Suppose $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{ref}}, s)}(\text{ref } \delta\sigma)$.
- We need to show $(!v_1, !v_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}}, s), (s_{\text{ref}}, s))(\delta\sigma)$.
- Suppose $(h_1, h_2) \in w\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}}, s)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s))$ as well as $\text{defined}(h_1 \uplus h_1^{\text{F}})$ and $\text{defined}(h_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}})$.
- From $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{ref}}, s)}(\text{ref } \delta\sigma)$ we know by definition of GK and W_{ref} that $(\delta\sigma, v_1, v_2) \in s_{\text{ref}}$.
- From $(h_1, h_2) \in w\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}}, s)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s))$ we know $(h'_1, h'_2) \in W_{\text{ref}}.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}})(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s))$ for some $h'_1 \subseteq h_1$ and $h'_2 \subseteq h_2$.
- From the definition of W_{ref} we thus get $(h'_1(v_1), h'_2(v_2)) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{ref}}, s)}(\delta\sigma)$.
- Hence we know $h_1 \uplus h_1^{\text{F}}, !v_1 \leftrightarrow h_1 \uplus h_1^{\text{F}}, h'_1(v_1)$ and $h_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}}, !v_2 \leftrightarrow h_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}}, h'_2(v_2)$.
- By definition of $\mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}$ it suffices to find $(\widetilde{s_{\text{ref}}}, \widetilde{s}) \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} (s_{\text{ref}}, s)$ such that:
 1. $(h_1, h_2) \in w\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(\widetilde{s_{\text{ref}}}, \widetilde{s})(G(\widetilde{s_{\text{ref}}}, \widetilde{s}))$
 2. $(h'_1(v_1), h'_2(v_2)) \in \overline{G(\widetilde{s_{\text{ref}}}, \widetilde{s})}(\delta\sigma)$
- We choose $(\widetilde{s_{\text{ref}}}, \widetilde{s}) = (s_{\text{ref}}, s)$ and are done.

□

Lemma 33.

1. If $(\text{ref } \tau, v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{ref}}, s)}$,
then $(\tau, \text{unit}, v_1 := \bullet, v_2 := \bullet) \in \mathbf{K}_{w\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}}, s), (s_{\text{ref}}, s))$.
2. If $(\tau, e'_1, e'_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}}, s), (s_{\text{ref}}, s))$,
then $(\text{ref } \tau, \text{unit}, \bullet := e'_1, \bullet := e'_2) \in \mathbf{K}_{w\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}}, s), (s_{\text{ref}}, s))$.

Proof.

1.
 - Suppose $(v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{ref}}, s)}(\tau)$.
 - We need to show $(v_1 := v'_1, v_2 := v'_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}}, s), (s_{\text{ref}}, s))(\text{unit})$.
 - Suppose $(h_1, h_2) \in w\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}}, s)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s))$ as well as $\text{defined}(h_1 \uplus h_1^{\text{F}})$ and $\text{defined}(h_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}})$.
 - From $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{ref}}, s)}(\text{ref } \tau)$ we know by definition of GK and W_{ref} that $(\tau, v_1, v_2) \in s_{\text{ref}}$.
 - From $(h_1, h_2) \in w\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}}, s)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s))$ we know $h_i = h'_i \uplus h''_i$ for some h'_1, h''_1, h'_2, h''_2 with $(h'_1, h'_2) \in W_{\text{ref}}.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}})(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s))$ and $(h''_1, h''_2) \in w.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}})(s)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s))$.
 - From the definition of W_{ref} we thus get $v_1 \in \text{dom}(h'_1)$ and $v_2 \in \text{dom}(h'_2)$.
 - Hence $h_1 \uplus h_1^{\text{F}}, v_1 := v'_1 \hookrightarrow h_1[v_1 \mapsto v'_1] \uplus h_1^{\text{F}}, \langle \rangle$ and $h_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}}, v_2 := v'_2 \hookrightarrow h_2[v_2 \mapsto v'_2] \uplus h_2^{\text{F}}, \langle \rangle$.
 - By definition of $\mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}$ it suffices to find $(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s}) \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} (s_{\text{ref}}, s)$ such that:
 - (a) $(h_1[v_1 \mapsto v'_1], h_2[v_2 \mapsto v'_2]) \in w\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s})(G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s}))$
 - (b) $(\langle \rangle, \langle \rangle) \in \overline{G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s})}(\text{unit})$
 - We choose $(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s}) = (s_{\text{ref}}, s)$.
 - Note that (b) is immediate.
 - Showing (a) reduces to showing $(v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s})}(\tau)$, which is given.
2.
 - Suppose $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{ref}}, s)}(\text{ref } \tau)$.
 - We need to show $(v_1 := e'_1, v_2 := e'_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}}, s), (s_{\text{ref}}, s))(\text{unit})$.
 - By Lemma 13 it suffices to show

$$(v_1 := \bullet, v_2 := \bullet) \in \mathbf{K}_{w\uparrow}(G')((s_{\text{ref}}, s), (\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s}))(\tau, \text{unit})$$

for $(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s}) \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} (s_{\text{ref}}, s)$ and $G' \geq G$.

- By Lemma 7 it suffices to show $(v_1 := \bullet, v_2 := \bullet) \in \mathbf{K}_{w\uparrow}(G')((\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s}), (\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s}))(\tau, \text{unit})$.
- By part (1) it then suffices to show $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G'(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s})}(\text{ref } \tau)$.
- This follows from $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{ref}}, s)}(\text{ref } \tau)$ by Lemma 1.

□

Lemma 34 (Compatibility: Assign).

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \text{ref } \sigma \quad \Delta; \Gamma \vdash e'_1 \sim e'_2 : \sigma}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 := e'_1 \sim e_2 := e'_2 : \text{unit}}$$

Proof.

- By Lemmas 15 and 17, it suffices to show $\forall G, s_{\text{ref}}, s. \forall \delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s))$,

$$(\text{ref } \delta\sigma, \text{unit}, \bullet := \gamma_1 e'_1, \bullet := \gamma_2 e'_2) \in \mathbf{K}_{w\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}}, s), (s_{\text{ref}}, s))$$

assuming $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e'_1 \sim_{w\uparrow} e'_2 : \sigma$.

- By Lemma 33 it suffices to show $(\gamma_1 e'_1, \gamma_2 e'_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}}, s), (s_{\text{ref}}, s))(\delta\sigma)$, which follows from the assumption.

□

Lemma 35.

1. If $(\text{ref } \tau, v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{ref}}, s)}$,
then $(\text{ref } \tau, \text{bool}, v_1 == \bullet, v_2 == \bullet) \in \mathbf{K}_{w\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}}, s), (s_{\text{ref}}, s))$.
2. If $(\text{ref } \tau, e'_1, e'_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}}, s), (s_{\text{ref}}, s))$,
then $(\text{ref } \tau, \text{bool}, \bullet == e'_1, \bullet == e'_2) \in \mathbf{K}_{w\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}}, s), (s_{\text{ref}}, s))$.

Proof.

1.
 - Suppose $(v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{ref}}, s)}(\text{ref } \tau)$.
 - We need to show $(v_1 == v'_1, v_2 == v'_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}}, s), (s_{\text{ref}}, s))(\text{bool})$.
 - Suppose $(h_1, h_2) \in w\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}}, s)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s))$ as well as $\text{defined}(h_1 \uplus h_1^{\text{F}})$ and $\text{defined}(h_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}})$.
 - From $(v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{ref}}, s)}(\text{ref } \tau)$ we know by definition of GK and W_{ref} that $(\text{ref } \tau, v'_1, v'_2) \in s_{\text{ref}}$.
 - From $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{ref}}, s)}(\text{ref } \tau)$ we know by definition of GK and W_{ref} that $(\text{ref } \tau, v_1, v_2) \in s_{\text{ref}}$.
 - By definition of $W_{\text{ref}}.\mathbf{S}$ this yields $v_1 = v'_1 \iff v_2 = v'_2$.
 - Hence either $h_1 \uplus h_1^{\text{F}}, v_1 == v'_1 \hookrightarrow h_1 \uplus h_1^{\text{F}}, \text{tt}$ and $h_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}}, v_2 == v'_2 \hookrightarrow h_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}}, \text{tt}$ or $h_1 \uplus h_1^{\text{F}}, v_1 == v'_1 \hookrightarrow h_1 \uplus h_1^{\text{F}}, \text{ff}$ and $h_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}}, v_2 == v'_2 \hookrightarrow h_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}}, \text{ff}$.
 - By definition of $\mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}$ it suffices to find $(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s}) \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} (s_{\text{ref}}, s)$ such that:
 - (a) $(h_1, h_2) \in w\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s})(G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s}))$
 - (b) $(\text{tt}, \text{tt}) \in \overline{G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s})}(\text{bool})$
 - (c) $(\text{ff}, \text{ff}) \in \overline{G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s})}(\text{bool})$
 - We choose $(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s}) = (s_{\text{ref}}, s)$, and are done.
2.
 - Suppose $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{ref}}, s)}(\text{ref } \tau)$.
 - We need to show $(v_1 == e'_1, v_2 == e'_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}}, s), (s_{\text{ref}}, s))(\text{bool})$.
 - By Lemma 13 it suffices to show

$$(v_1 == \bullet, v_2 == \bullet) \in \mathbf{K}_{w\uparrow}(G')((s_{\text{ref}}, s), (\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s}))(\text{ref } \tau, \text{bool})$$

for $(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s}) \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} (s_{\text{ref}}, s)$ and $G' \geq G$.

- By Lemma 7 it suffices to show $(v_1 == \bullet, v_2 == \bullet) \in \mathbf{K}_{w\uparrow}(G')((\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s}), (\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s}))(\text{ref } \tau, \text{bool})$.
- By part (1) it then suffices to show $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G'(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s})}(\text{ref } \tau)$.
- This follows from $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{ref}}, s)}(\text{ref } \tau)$ by Lemma 1.

□

Lemma 36 (Compatibility: Refeq).

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \text{ref } \sigma \quad \Delta; \Gamma \vdash e'_1 \sim e'_2 : \text{ref } \sigma}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 == e'_1 \sim e_2 == e'_2 : \text{bool}}$$

Proof.

- By Lemmas 15 and 17, it suffices to show $\forall G, s_{\text{ref}}, s. \forall \delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s)),$

$$(\text{ref } \delta\sigma, \text{bool}, \bullet == \gamma_1 e'_1, \bullet == \gamma_2 e'_2) \in \mathbf{K}_{w\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}}, s), (s_{\text{ref}}, s))$$

assuming $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e'_1 \sim_{w\uparrow} e'_2 : \text{ref } \sigma.$

- By Lemma 35 it suffices to show $(\gamma_1 e'_1, \gamma_2 e'_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}}, s), (s_{\text{ref}}, s))(\text{ref } \delta\sigma),$ which follows from the assumption.

□

Lemma 37 (Compatibility: Gen).

$$\frac{\Delta, \alpha; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \sigma}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \Lambda. e_1 \sim \Lambda. e_2 : \forall \alpha. \sigma}$$

Proof.

- For any \mathcal{N} , from the premise we have w such that $w.\mathbf{N} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ and $\Delta, \alpha; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim_w e_2 : \sigma.$
- Let $w' = w_{\text{single}}(\lambda R. \{(\forall \alpha. \delta\sigma, \Lambda. \gamma_1 e_1, \Lambda. \gamma_2 e_2) \mid \delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta), (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, R)\}, \lambda R. \{(\emptyset, \emptyset)\}).$
- Since $(w \otimes w').\mathbf{N} = w.\mathbf{N} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$, it suffices to show $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \Lambda. e_1 \sim_{w \otimes w'} \Lambda. e_2 : \forall \alpha. \sigma.$
- To do so, we first prove *inhabited* $((w \otimes w')\uparrow)$ and *consistent* $((w \otimes w')\uparrow)$:
 - *inhabited* $(w'\uparrow)$ is witnessed by state $(\emptyset, *)$, so *inhabited* $((w \otimes w')\uparrow)$ holds by Lemma 12.
 - The part of *consistent* $((w \otimes w')\uparrow)$ concerning arrow types follows from *consistent* $(w\uparrow)$ by Lemma 12, because $w'.\mathbf{L}$ doesn't relate anything at arrow types.
 - Regarding the part concerning universal types, we suppose
 1. $G \in \text{GK}((w \otimes w')\uparrow)$
 2. $(v_1, v_2) \in (w \otimes w')\uparrow.\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{ref}}, s, s')(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s, s'))(\forall \alpha. \tilde{\sigma})$
and must show:

$$\forall \tau \in \text{CType}. (\text{beta}(v_1[]), \text{beta}(v_2[])) \in \mathbf{E}_{(w \otimes w')\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}}, s, s'), (s_{\text{ref}}, s, s'))(\tilde{\sigma}[\tau/\alpha])$$

- From (2) and the definition of \uparrow and \otimes we know:

$$\begin{aligned} (v_1, v_2) &\in w\uparrow.\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{ref}}, s)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s, s'))(\forall \alpha. \tilde{\sigma}) \vee \\ (v_1, v_2) &\in w'\uparrow.\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{ref}})(s')(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s, s'))(\forall \alpha. \tilde{\sigma}) \end{aligned}$$

- If the former is true, the claims follow from *consistent* $(w\uparrow)$ with the help of Lemmas 8 and 10.
- So suppose the latter.
- Then $\forall \alpha. \tilde{\sigma} = \forall \alpha. \delta\sigma$ and $v_1 = \Lambda. \gamma_1 e_1$ and $v_2 = \Lambda. \gamma_2 e_2$ for $\delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta)$ and $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s_{\text{ref}}, s, s')).$
- Let $\delta' := \delta, \alpha \mapsto \tau.$
- It remains to show $(\gamma_1 e_1, \gamma_2 e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{(w \otimes w')\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}}, s, s'), (s_{\text{ref}}, s, s'))(\delta'\sigma)$ since $\tilde{\sigma}[\tau/\alpha] = \delta\sigma[\tau/\alpha] = \delta'\sigma.$
- By Lemmas 8 and 10 it suffices to show $(\gamma_1 e_1, \gamma_2 e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}(G(-, -, s'))((s_{\text{ref}}, s), (s_{\text{ref}}, s))(\delta'\sigma).$
- This follows from the premise since $\delta' \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta, \alpha)$ and $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s_{\text{ref}}, s, s')) = \text{Env}(\delta'\Gamma, G(s_{\text{ref}}, s, s')).$
- Now suppose $G \in \text{GK}((w \otimes w')\uparrow)$ and $\delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta), (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s_{\text{ref}}, s, s')).$

- We must show $(\Lambda. \gamma_1 e_1, \Lambda. \gamma_2 e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{(w \otimes w') \uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}}, s, s'), (s_{\text{ref}}, s, s'))(\forall \alpha. \delta \sigma)$.
- By Lemma 5 it suffices to show $(\Lambda. \gamma_1 e_1, \Lambda. \gamma_2 e_2) \in G(s_{\text{ref}}, s, s')(\forall \alpha. \delta \sigma)$.
- By definition of GK it suffices to show:

$$(\Lambda. \gamma_1 e_1, \Lambda. \gamma_2 e_2) \in (w \otimes w') \uparrow . \mathbf{L}(s_{\text{ref}}, s, s')(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s, s'))(\forall \alpha. \delta \sigma)$$

- By definition of \uparrow and \otimes it suffices to show $(\Lambda. \gamma_1 e_1, \Lambda. \gamma_2 e_2) \in w' . \mathbf{L}(s')(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s, s'))(\forall \alpha. \delta \sigma)$.
- Since $\delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta)$, $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta \Gamma, G(s_{\text{ref}}, s, s'))$, this holds by construction of w' .

□

Lemma 38 (Compatibility: Inst).

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \forall \alpha. \sigma \quad \Delta \vdash \sigma'}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \llbracket \sim e_2 \rrbracket : \sigma[\sigma'/\alpha]}$$

Proof.

- By Lemmas 16 and 17, it suffices to show $\forall G, s. \forall \delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta \Gamma, G(s)),$
 $(\bullet \llbracket \sim \bullet \rrbracket) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G)(s, s)(\forall \alpha. \delta \sigma, \delta \sigma[\delta \sigma'/\alpha]) .$

- Suppose $(v_1^\circ, v_2^\circ) \in \overline{G(s)}(\forall \alpha. \delta \sigma)$.
- We need to show $(v_1^\circ \llbracket \sim v_2^\circ \rrbracket) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s, s)(\delta \sigma[\delta \sigma'/\alpha])$.
- Since $(v_1^\circ, v_2^\circ) \in \overline{G(s)}(\forall \alpha. \delta \sigma)$, by definition of \mathbf{E}_W , it suffices to show

$$\forall s' \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s. \forall G' \geq G. (\bullet, \bullet) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G')(s, s')(\delta \sigma[\delta \sigma'/\alpha], \delta \sigma[\delta \sigma'/\alpha])$$

which holds by Lemma 6.

□

Lemma 39 (Compatibility: Pack).

$$\frac{\Delta \vdash \sigma' \quad \Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \sigma[\sigma'/\alpha]}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \text{pack } e_1 \sim \text{pack } e_2 : \exists \alpha. \sigma}$$

Proof.

- By Lemmas 16 and 17, it suffices to show $\forall G, s. \forall \delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta \Gamma, G(s)),$
 $(\text{pack } \bullet, \text{pack } \bullet) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G)(s, s)(\delta \sigma[\delta \sigma'/\alpha], \exists \alpha. \delta \sigma) .$

- Suppose $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\delta \sigma[\delta \sigma'/\alpha])$.
- We need to show $(\text{pack } v_1, \text{pack } v_2) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s, s)(\exists \alpha. \delta \sigma)$.
- By Lemma 5 it suffices to show $(\text{pack } v_1, \text{pack } v_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\exists \alpha. \delta \sigma)$.
- This follows from $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\delta \sigma[\delta \sigma'/\alpha])$.

□

Lemma 40 (Compatibility: Unpack).

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \exists \alpha. \sigma \quad \Delta, \alpha; \Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash e'_1 \sim e'_2 : \sigma' \quad \Delta \vdash \sigma'}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \text{unpack } e_1 \text{ as } x \text{ in } e'_1 \sim \text{unpack } e_2 \text{ as } x \text{ in } e'_2 : \sigma'}$$

Proof.

- By Lemmas 16 and 17, it suffices to show $\forall G, s. \forall \delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s))$,

$$(\exists \alpha. \delta\sigma, \delta\sigma', \text{unpack } \bullet \text{ as } x \text{ in } \gamma_1 e'_1, \text{unpack } \bullet \text{ as } x \text{ in } \gamma_2 e'_2) \in \mathbf{K}_W(G)(s, s)$$

assuming $\Delta, \alpha; \Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash e'_1 \sim_W e'_2 : \sigma'$.

- Thus it suffices to show that $\forall (v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\exists \alpha. \delta\sigma)$,

$$(\delta\sigma', \text{unpack } v_1 \text{ as } x \text{ in } \gamma_1 e'_1, \text{unpack } v_2 \text{ as } x \text{ in } \gamma_2 e'_2) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s, s)$$

- By definition of $\overline{G(s)}(\exists \alpha. \delta\sigma)$, we have v'_1, v'_2 and $\tau \in \text{CType}$ such that

$$v_1 = \text{pack } v'_1 \wedge v_2 = \text{pack } v'_2 \wedge (v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G(s)}(\delta\sigma[\tau/\alpha])$$

- Let $\delta' := \delta, \alpha \mapsto \tau$ and $\gamma'_1 := \gamma_1, x \mapsto v'_1$ and $\gamma'_2 := \gamma_2, x \mapsto v'_2$.
- Now suppose $(h_1, h_2) \in W.H(s)(G(s))$ and $h_1^F, h_2^F \in \text{Heap}$ with $h_1 \uplus h_1^F, h_2 \uplus h_2^F$ defined.
- We have $h_1 \uplus h_1^F, \text{unpack } v_1 \text{ as } x \text{ in } \gamma_1 e'_1 \hookrightarrow h_1 \uplus h_1^F, \gamma'_1 e'_1$ and $h_2 \uplus h_2^F, \text{unpack } v_2 \text{ as } x \text{ in } \gamma_2 e'_2 \hookrightarrow h_2 \uplus h_2^F, \gamma'_2 e'_2$ and thus by Lemma 4, it suffices to show

$$(\delta\sigma', (h_1, h_1^F, \gamma'_1 e'_1), (h_2, h_2^F, \gamma'_2 e'_2)) \in \mathbf{O}_W(\mathbf{E}_W)(G)(s, s) .$$

- This follows from the assumption and $\delta\sigma' = \delta'\sigma', \delta' \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta, \alpha), (\gamma'_1, \gamma'_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta'(\Gamma, x : \tau), G(s))$.

□

2.4 Soundness

Theorem 41 (Fundamental Property). If $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash p : \sigma$, then $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash |p| \sim |p| : \sigma$.

Proof. By induction on the typing derivation, in each case using the appropriate compatibility lemma. \square

Lemma 42 (Weakening). If $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \sigma$ and $\Delta \subseteq \Delta' \wedge \Gamma \subseteq \Gamma'$, then $\Delta'; \Gamma' \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \sigma$.

Proof. One can easily see that the goal is a direct consequence of the definition from the following observation:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall R. \forall \delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta'). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma', R). \\ [\delta]_{\Delta} \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta) \wedge [\gamma]_{\text{dom}(\Gamma)} \in \text{Env}([\delta]_{\Delta}\Gamma, R) \wedge \\ \gamma_1 e_1 = [\gamma]_{\text{dom}(\Gamma)} e_1 \wedge \gamma_2 e_2 = [\gamma]_{\text{dom}(\Gamma)} e_2 \end{aligned}$$

where $[f]_d$ denotes the restriction of the function f on domain d . \square

Lemma 43 (Congruence). If $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \sigma$ and $\vdash C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \sigma')$, then

$$\Delta'; \Gamma' \vdash |C|[e_1] \sim |C|[e_2] : \sigma'.$$

Proof. By induction on the derivation of the context typing: in each case using the corresponding compatibility lemma. For a context containing subterms we also need Theorem 41. The rule for an empty context requires Lemma 42. \square

Lemma 44 (Adequacy). If $\cdot; \cdot \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \tau$, then

1. $\forall h_1, h_2$. neither h_1, e_1 nor h_2, e_2 gets stuck.
2. $\forall h_1, h_2$. $h_1, e_1 \uparrow \iff h_2, e_2 \uparrow$.

Proof.

- We know $\cdot; \cdot \vdash e_1 \sim_w e_2 : \tau$ for some w with $w.N \subseteq \text{TypeName}$.
- Hence we have *consistent*($w\uparrow$) and *inhabited*($w\uparrow$).
- Thus, using Lemma 2, there is s_0 such that $(\emptyset, \emptyset) \in w\uparrow.H(s_0)([w\uparrow](s_0))$.
- We also have $(e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}([w\uparrow])(s_0, s_0)(\tau)$.
- Since *consistent*($w\uparrow$), $(\emptyset, \emptyset) \in w\uparrow.H(s_0)([w\uparrow](s_0))$ and $\forall s$. $[w\uparrow](s) = w\uparrow.L(s)([w\uparrow](s))$, by Corollary 19 for any heaps h_1, h_2 both h_1, e_1 and h_2, e_2 diverge or both terminate without getting stuck. \square

Theorem 45 (Soundness). If $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash p_1 : \sigma$ and $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash p_2 : \sigma$, then:

$$\Delta; \Gamma \vdash |p_1| \sim |p_2| : \sigma \implies \Delta; \Gamma \vdash p_1 \sim_{\text{ctx}} p_2 : \sigma$$

Proof.

- Suppose $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash |p_1| \sim |p_2| : \sigma$ as well as $\vdash C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\cdot; \cdot; \tau)$.
- By congruence (Lemma 43), we have $\cdot; \cdot \vdash |C[|p_1|]| \sim |C[|p_2|]| : \tau$.
- By adequacy (Lemma 44), we have $h, |C[|p_1|]| \uparrow \iff h, |C[|p_2|]| \uparrow$ for any h , so we are done. \square

2.5 Symmetry

Definition 2. Given $R \in \text{VRel}$ (or VRelF), we define $R^{-1} \in \text{VRel}$ (or VRelF) as follows:

$$R^{-1} := \lambda\tau. R(\tau)^{-1}$$

Lemma 46. $(\overline{R})^{-1} = \overline{R^{-1}}$

Proof. Easy to check by induction. □

Lemma 47. $\mathbf{S}(R_f^{-1}, R_v^{-1}) = (\mathbf{S}(R_f, R_v))^{-1}$

Proof. Easy to check. □

Definition 3. Given $w \in \text{LWorld}$, we define $w^{-1} \in \text{LWorld}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} w^{-1}.\mathbf{N} &:= w.\mathbf{N} \\ w^{-1}.\mathbf{S} &:= w.\mathbf{S} \\ w^{-1}.\sqsupseteq &:= w.\sqsupseteq \\ w^{-1}.\sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} &:= w.\sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} \\ w^{-1}.\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{ref}})(s)(R) &:= (w.\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{ref}}^{-1})(s)(R^{-1}))^{-1} \\ w^{-1}.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}})(s)(R) &:= (w.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}}^{-1})(s)(R^{-1}))^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

where $s_{\text{ref}}^{-1} := \lambda\tau. s_{\text{ref}}(\tau)^{-1}$.

Lemma 48. $w^{-1}\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}}, s)(R) = (w\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}}^{-1}, s)(R^{-1}))^{-1}$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} &w^{-1}\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}}, s)(R) \\ &= W_{\text{ref}}.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}})(R) \otimes w^{-1}.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}})(s)(R) \\ &= \left((W_{\text{ref}}.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}})(R))^{-1} \otimes (w^{-1}.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}})(s)(R))^{-1} \right)^{-1} \\ &= (W_{\text{ref}}.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}}^{-1})(R^{-1}) \otimes w.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}}^{-1})(s)(R^{-1}))^{-1} \\ &= (w\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}}^{-1}, s)(R^{-1}))^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 49. $w^{-1}\uparrow.\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{ref}}, s)(R) = (w\uparrow.\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{ref}}^{-1}, s)(R^{-1}))^{-1}$

Proof. Analogous to Lemma 48. □

Definition 4. If $G \in \text{GK}(w^{-1}\uparrow)$, we define $G^{-1} := \lambda s_{\text{ref}}, s. G(s_{\text{ref}}^{-1}, s)^{-1}$.

Lemma 50. If $G \in \text{GK}(w^{-1}\uparrow)$, then $G^{-1} \in \text{GK}(w\uparrow)$.

Proof.

1. Monotonicity of G^{-1} follows immediately from monotonicity of G .
2. It remains to show $\forall s_{\text{ref}}, s. G^{-1}(s_{\text{ref}}, s) \geq_{\text{ref}}^{w\uparrow.\mathbf{N}} w\uparrow.\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{ref}}, s)(G^{-1}(s_{\text{ref}}, s))$:

$$\begin{aligned} &G^{-1}(s_{\text{ref}}, s) \\ &= G(s_{\text{ref}}^{-1}, s)^{-1} \\ &\geq_{\text{ref}}^{w\uparrow.\mathbf{N}} (w^{-1}\uparrow.\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{ref}}^{-1}, s)(G(s_{\text{ref}}^{-1}, s)))^{-1} \\ &= w\uparrow.\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{ref}}, s)(G(s_{\text{ref}}^{-1}, s)^{-1}) \\ &= w\uparrow.\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{ref}}, s)(G^{-1}(s_{\text{ref}}, s)) \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 51. If $stable(w)$, then $stable(w^{-1})$.

Proof.

- We suppose
 1. $G \in \text{GK}(w^{-1}\uparrow)$
 2. $(h_1, h_2) \in w^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}})(s)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s))$
 3. $s'_{\text{ref}} \sqsupseteq s_{\text{ref}}$
 4. $(h_{\text{ref}}^1, h_{\text{ref}}^2) \in W_{\text{ref}} \cdot \mathbf{H}(s'_{\text{ref}})(G(s'_{\text{ref}}, s))$
 5. $h_{\text{ref}}^1 \uplus h_1$ defined \wedge $h_{\text{ref}}^2 \uplus h_2$ defined

and must show: $\exists s' \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s. (h_1, h_2) \in w^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{H}(s'_{\text{ref}})(s')(G(s'_{\text{ref}}, s'))$

- From (2) we know $(h_2, h_1) \in w \cdot \mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}}^{-1})(s)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s)^{-1}) = w \cdot \mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}}^{-1})(s)(G^{-1}(s_{\text{ref}}^{-1}, s))$.
- From (3) we know $s'_{\text{ref}}{}^{-1} \sqsupseteq s_{\text{ref}}^{-1}$.
- From (4) and Lemma 46 we know $(h_{\text{ref}}^2, h_{\text{ref}}^1) \in W_{\text{ref}} \cdot \mathbf{H}(s'_{\text{ref}}^{-1})(G(s'_{\text{ref}}, s)^{-1}) = W_{\text{ref}} \cdot \mathbf{H}(s'_{\text{ref}}^{-1})(G^{-1}(s'_{\text{ref}}^{-1}, s))$.
- Hence, using Lemma 50, the assumption yields $s' \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s$ such that

$$(h_2, h_1) \in w \cdot \mathbf{H}(s'_{\text{ref}}^{-1})(s')(G^{-1}(s'_{\text{ref}}^{-1}, s')).$$

- This implies $(h_1, h_2) \in w^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{H}(s'_{\text{ref}})(s')(G(s'_{\text{ref}}, s'))$.

□

Lemma 52. If $inhabited(w\uparrow)$, then $inhabited(w^{-1}\uparrow)$.

Proof.

- We suppose $G \in \text{GK}(w^{-1}\uparrow)$ and must show $\exists s_0. (\emptyset, \emptyset) \in w^{-1}\uparrow \cdot \mathbf{H}(s_0)(G(s_0))$.
- Using the assumption and Lemma 50, we get (s_{ref}, s) such that $(\emptyset, \emptyset) \in w\uparrow \cdot \mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}}, s)(G^{-1}(s_{\text{ref}}, s))$.
- Lemma 48 implies $(\emptyset, \emptyset) \in w^{-1}\uparrow \cdot \mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}}^{-1}, s)(G(s_{\text{ref}}^{-1}, s))$.

□

Lemma 53. If $G \in \text{GK}(w^{-1}\uparrow)$, then:

$$(\mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}(G^{-1})((s_{\text{ref}0}^{-1}, s_0), (s_{\text{ref}}^{-1}, s)))^{-1} \subseteq \mathbf{E}_{w^{-1}\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}0}, s_0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s))$$

Proof. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}'_{w^{-1}\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}0}, s_0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s))(\tau) &:= (\mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}(G^{-1})((s_{\text{ref}0}^{-1}, s_0), (s_{\text{ref}}^{-1}, s))(\tau))^{-1}, \\ \mathbf{K}'_{w^{-1}\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}0}, s_0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s))(\tau', \tau) &:= (\mathbf{K}_{w\uparrow}(G^{-1})((s_{\text{ref}0}^{-1}, s_0), (s_{\text{ref}}^{-1}, s))(\tau', \tau))^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

By coinduction, it suffices to show:

1. $\forall e_2, e_1, G, s_{\text{ref}0}, s_0, s_{\text{ref}}, s, \tau.$
 $(e_2, e_1) \in \mathbf{E}'_{w^{-1}\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}0}, s_0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s))(\tau) \implies$
 $\forall (h_2, h_1) \in w^{-1}\uparrow \cdot \mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}}, s)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s)). \forall h_2^{\text{F}}, h_1^{\text{F}}.$
 $((h_2, h_2^{\text{F}}, e_2), (h_1, h_1^{\text{F}}, e_1)) \in \mathbf{O}_{w^{-1}\uparrow}(\mathbf{K}'_{w^{-1}\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}0}, s_0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s))(\tau))$

2. $\forall K_2, K_1, G, s_{\text{ref}0}, s_0, s_{\text{ref}}, s, \tau', \tau.$
 $(K_2, K_1) \in \mathbf{K}'_{w^{-1}\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}0}, s_0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s))(\tau', \tau) \implies$
 $\forall (v_2, v_1) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{ref}}, s)}(\tau').$
 $(K_2[v_2], K_1[v_1]) \in \mathbf{E}'_{w^{-1}\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}0}, s_0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s))(\tau)$

For (1):

- By definition of $\mathbf{E}'_{w^{-1}\uparrow}$ and Lemma 48, suppose $(e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}(G^{-1})((s_{\text{ref}0}^{-1}, s_0), (s_{\text{ref}}^{-1}, s))(\tau)$ and $(h_1, h_2) \in w\uparrow.H(s_{\text{ref}}^{-1}, s)(G^{-1}(s_{\text{ref}}^{-1}, s))$.
- By definition of $\mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}$ we have $((h_1, h_1^F, e_1), (h_2, h_2^F, e_2)) \in \mathbf{O}_{w\uparrow}(\mathbf{K}_{w\uparrow})(G^{-1})((s_{\text{ref}0}^{-1}, s_0), (s_{\text{ref}}^{-1}, s))(\tau)$.
- Using all the lemmas above, it is easy to check that this implies

$$((h_2, h_2^F, e_2), (h_1, h_1^F, e_1)) \in \mathbf{O}_{w^{-1}\uparrow}(\mathbf{K}'_{w^{-1}\uparrow})(G)((s_{\text{ref}0}, s_0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s))(\tau) .$$

For (2):

- By definition of $\mathbf{K}'_{w^{-1}\uparrow}$ and Lemma 46, suppose $(K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}_{w\uparrow}(G^{-1})((s_{\text{ref}0}^{-1}, s_0), (s_{\text{ref}}^{-1}, s))(\tau', \tau)$ and $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G^{-1}(s_{\text{ref}}^{-1}, s)}(\tau')$.
- By definition of $\mathbf{K}_{w\uparrow}$ we have $(K_1[v_1], K_2[v_2]) \in \mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}(G^{-1})((s_{\text{ref}0}^{-1}, s_0), (s_{\text{ref}}^{-1}, s))(\tau)$.
- By definition of $\mathbf{E}'_{w^{-1}\uparrow}$, it implies that $(K_2[v_2], K_1[v_1]) \in \mathbf{E}'_{w^{-1}\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}0}, s_0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s))(\tau)$.

□

Lemma 54. If $\text{consistent}(w\uparrow)$, then $\text{consistent}(w^{-1}\uparrow)$.

Proof.

- We suppose $G \in \text{GK}(w^{-1}\uparrow)$ and $(e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{S}(w^{-1}\uparrow.L(s_{\text{ref}}, s)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s)), G(s_{\text{ref}}, s))(\tau)$, and must show $(\text{beta}(e_1), \text{beta}(e_2)) \in \mathbf{E}_{w^{-1}\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}}, s), (s_{\text{ref}}, s))(\tau)$.
- By Lemma 47 we know $(e_2, e_1) \in \mathbf{S}(w\uparrow.L(s_{\text{ref}}^{-1}, s)(G^{-1}(s_{\text{ref}}^{-1}, s)), G^{-1}(s_{\text{ref}}^{-1}, s))(\tau)$.
- Using the assumption and Lemma 50, we get $(\text{beta}(e_2), \text{beta}(e_1)) \in \mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}(G^{-1})((s_{\text{ref}}^{-1}, s), (s_{\text{ref}}^{-1}, s))(\tau)$.
- We are done by Lemma 53.

□

Theorem 55. If $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \sigma$, then $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_2 \sim e_1 : \sigma$.

Proof. Suppose $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim_w e_2 : \sigma$ with $\text{stable}(w)$. By Lemma 51 it suffices to show $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_2 \sim_{w^{-1}} e_1 : \sigma$. Using Lemmas 52 and 54, this in turn reduces to showing:

$$\forall G \in \text{GK}(w^{-1}\uparrow). \forall s_{\text{ref}}, s. \forall \delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s_{\text{ref}}, s)).$$

$$(\gamma_1 e_2, \gamma_2 e_1) \in \mathbf{E}_{w^{-1}\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}}, s), (s_{\text{ref}}, s))(\delta\sigma)$$

From $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s_{\text{ref}}, s))$ we have $(\gamma_2, \gamma_1) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s_{\text{ref}}, s)^{-1}) = \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G^{-1}(s_{\text{ref}}^{-1}, s))$. Lemma 50 and the assumption thus yield $(\gamma_2 e_1, \gamma_1 e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}(G^{-1})((s_{\text{ref}}^{-1}, s), (s_{\text{ref}}^{-1}, s))(\delta\sigma)$. We are done by Lemma 53. □

3 Examples

3.1 World Generator

$$\text{NLWorld} := \{ \mathcal{W} \in \text{Names} \rightarrow \text{LWorld} \mid \forall \mathcal{N}. \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}).\mathbf{N} \subseteq \mathcal{N} \}$$

Definition 5. We define $\mathbf{G} : \text{NLWorld} \rightarrow \text{NLWorld}$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G}(\mathcal{W})(\mathcal{N}).\mathbf{N} &:= \mathcal{N} \\ \mathbf{G}(\mathcal{W})(\mathcal{N}).\mathbf{S} &:= \{ (s_1, \dots, s_n) \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \wedge \forall i \in \{1 \dots n\}. s_i \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_i).\mathbf{S} \} \\ \mathbf{G}(\mathcal{W})(\mathcal{N}).\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{ref}})(s_1, \dots, s_n)(R) &:= \bigcup_{i \in \{1 \dots n\}} \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_i).\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{ref}})(s_i)(R) \\ \mathbf{G}(\mathcal{W})(\mathcal{N}).\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}})(s_1, \dots, s_n)(R) &:= \otimes_{i \in \{1 \dots n\}} \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_i).\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}})(s_i)(R) \end{aligned}$$

where $\{\mathcal{N}_i\}$ is a countably infinite splitting of \mathcal{N} *i.e.*, $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_1 \uplus \mathcal{N}_2 \uplus \mathcal{N}_3 \uplus \dots$

The transition on $\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{W})(\mathcal{N})$ is generated by the following rule.

$$\begin{aligned} (s_1, \dots, s_k, s_{k+1}) \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} (s_1, \dots, s_k) \\ (s'_1, \dots, s'_k) \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} (s_1, \dots, s_k) &\text{ if } s'_1 \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s_1 \wedge \dots \wedge s'_k \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s_k \\ (s'_1, \dots, s'_k) \sqsupseteq (s_1, \dots, s_k) &\text{ if } s'_1 \sqsupseteq s_1 \wedge \dots \wedge s'_k \sqsupseteq s_k \\ (s'_1, \dots, s'_j) \sqsupseteq (s_1, \dots, s_k) &\text{ if } (s'_1, \dots, s'_j) \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} (s_1, \dots, s_k) \end{aligned}$$

We define the following notation.

$$\begin{aligned} \{n \setminus i\} &:= \{1, \dots, i-1, i+1, \dots, n\} \\ G(\{s_k\}_{k \in \{n \setminus i\}}) &:= G(-, s_1, \dots, s_{i-1}, -, s_{i+1}, \dots, s_n) \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 56.

$$\forall G \in \text{GK}(\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{W})(\mathcal{N})\uparrow). \forall s_1 \dots s_{i-1}, s_{i+1} \dots s_n. G(\{s_k\}_{k \in \{n \setminus i\}}) \in \text{GK}(\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_i)\uparrow)$$

Proof.

- We need to show $G(\{s_k\}_{k \in \{n \setminus i\}})$ is monotone w.r.t. \sqsubseteq , which follows directly from the definition of \sqsubseteq and monotonicity of G .

- We have

$$\begin{aligned} &G(\{s_k\}_{k \in \{n \setminus i\}})(s_{\text{ref}}, s_i) \\ &= G(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1 \dots s_n) \\ &\geq_{\text{ref}}^{\mathcal{N}} \mathbf{G}(\mathcal{W})(\mathcal{N})\uparrow.\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1 \dots s_n)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1 \dots s_n)) \\ &\geq \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_i)\uparrow.\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{ref}}, s_i)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1, \dots, s_n)) \\ &= \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_i)\uparrow.\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{ref}}, s_i)(G(\{s_k\}_{k \in \{n \setminus i\}})(s_{\text{ref}}, s_i)) \end{aligned}$$

- Now it suffices to show that the latter inequality is contained in $\geq_{\text{ref}}^{\mathcal{N}_i}$, which follows from $\forall i. \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_i) \in \text{LWorld}$ and the fact that $\mathcal{N}_1, \dots, \mathcal{N}_n$ are disjoint. □

Lemma 57. If $W = \mathbf{G}(\mathcal{W})(\mathcal{N})\uparrow$ and $\forall \mathcal{N}'. \text{stable}(\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}'))$ and $G \in \text{GK}(W)$, then:

1. $\mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_i)\uparrow}(G(\{s_k\}_{k \in \{n \setminus i\}}))((s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_i^0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s_i)) \leq \mathbf{E}_W(G)((s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_1 \dots s_{i-1}, s_i^0, s_{i+1} \dots s_n), (s_{\text{ref}}, s_1 \dots s_n))$
2. $\mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_i)\uparrow}(G(\{s_k\}_{k \in \{n \setminus i\}}))((s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_i^0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s_i)) \leq \mathbf{K}_W(G)((s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_1 \dots s_{i-1}, s_i^0, s_{i+1} \dots s_n), (s_{\text{ref}}, s_1 \dots s_n))$

Proof. We define \mathbf{E}'_W and \mathbf{K}'_W as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}'_W(G)((s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_1^0 \dots s_{n_0}^0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s_1 \dots s_n)) &= \{ (\tau, e_1, e_2) \mid \\ &(\forall k \in \{n_0 \setminus i\}. s_k \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s_k^0) \wedge (\tau, e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_i)\uparrow}(G(\{s_k\}_{k \in \{n \setminus i\}}))((s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_i^0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s_i)) \} \\ \mathbf{K}'_W(G)((s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_1^0 \dots s_{n_0}^0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s_1 \dots s_n)) &= \{ (\tau', \tau, K_1, K_2) \mid \\ &(\forall k \in \{n_0 \setminus i\}. s_k \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s_k^0) \wedge (\tau', \tau, K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_i)\uparrow}(G(\{s_k\}_{k \in \{n \setminus i\}}))((s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_i^0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s_i)) \} \end{aligned}$$

Then it suffices to show $\mathbf{E}'_W \leq \mathbf{E}_W$ and $\mathbf{K}'_W \leq \mathbf{K}_W$ by coinduction. Concretely, we have to show:

1. $\forall e_1, e_2, G, s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_1^0 \dots s_{n_0}^0, s_{\text{ref}}, s_1 \dots s_n, \tau.$
 $(e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}'_W(G)((s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_1^0 \dots s_{n_0}^0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s_1 \dots s_n))(\tau) \implies$
 $\forall (h_1, h_2) \in W.H(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1 \dots s_n)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1 \dots s_n)). \forall h_1^F, h_2^F.$
 $((h_1, h_1^F, e_1), (h_2, h_2^F, e_2)) \in \mathbf{O}_W(\mathbf{K}'_W(G)((s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_1^0 \dots s_{n_0}^0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s_1 \dots s_n))(\tau)$
2. $\forall K_1, K_2, G, s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_1^0 \dots s_{n_0}^0, s_{\text{ref}}, s_1 \dots s_n, \tau', \tau.$
 $(K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}'_W(G)((s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_1^0 \dots s_{n_0}^0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s_1 \dots s_n))(\tau', \tau) \implies$
 $\forall (v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1 \dots s_n)}(\tau'). (K_1[v_1], K_2[v_2]) \in \mathbf{E}'_W(G)((s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_1^0 \dots s_{n_0}^0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s_1 \dots s_n))(\tau)$

For (1):

- Suppose $(e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}'_W(G)((s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_1^0 \dots s_{n_0}^0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s_1 \dots s_n))(\tau)$ and $(h_1, h_2) \in W.H(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1 \dots s_n)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1 \dots s_n)).$
- By definition of \mathbf{E}'_W we have $(\forall k \in \{n_0 \setminus i\}. s_k \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s_k^0)$ and

$$(\tau, e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_i)\uparrow}(G(\{s_k\}_{k \in \{n \setminus i\}}))((s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_i^0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s_i)) .$$

- We must show $((h_1, h_1^F, e_1), (h_2, h_2^F, e_2)) \in \mathbf{O}_W(\mathbf{K}'_W(G)((s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_1^0 \dots s_{n_0}^0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s_1 \dots s_n))(\tau).$
- So suppose defined $(h_1 \uplus h_1^F)$ and defined $(h_2 \uplus h_2^F).$
- From $(h_1, h_2) \in W.H(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1 \dots s_n)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1 \dots s_n)),$ we have $h_1 = h'_1 \uplus h''_1$ and $h_2 = h'_2 \uplus h''_2$ with $(h'_1, h'_2) \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_i)\uparrow.H(s_{\text{ref}}, s_i)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1 \dots s_n))$ and $(h''_1, h''_2) \in \otimes_{k \in \{n \setminus i\}} \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_k).H(s_{\text{ref}})(s_k)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1 \dots s_n)).$
- Hence $((h'_1, h''_1 \uplus h_1^F, e_1), (h'_2, h''_2 \uplus h_2^F, e_2)) \in \mathbf{O}_{\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_i)\uparrow}(\mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_i)\uparrow})(G(\{s_k\}_{k \in \{n \setminus i\}}))((s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_i^0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s_i))(\tau).$
- Consequently at least one of the following three properties holds:

- A) $h_1 \uplus h_1^F, e_1 \uparrow$ and $h_2 \uplus h_2^F, e_2 \uparrow$
- B) (a) $h_1 \uplus h_1^F, e_1 \hookrightarrow^* \widetilde{h}'_1 \uplus h''_1 \uplus h_1^F, v_1$ and $h_2 \uplus h_2^F, e_2 \hookrightarrow^* \widetilde{h}'_2 \uplus h''_2 \uplus h_2^F, v_2$
(b) $(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s}_i) \sqsupseteq [(s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_i^0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s_i)]$
(c) $(\widetilde{h}'_1, \widetilde{h}'_2) \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_i)\uparrow.H(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s}_i)(G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, s_1 \dots s_{i-1}, \widetilde{s}_i, s_{i+1} \dots s_n))$
(d) $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, s_1 \dots s_{i-1}, \widetilde{s}_i, s_{i+1} \dots s_n)}(\tau)$
- C) (a) $h_1 \uplus h_1^F, e_1 \hookrightarrow^* \widetilde{h}'_1 \uplus h''_1 \uplus h_1^F, v_1$ and $h_2 \uplus h_2^F, e_2 \hookrightarrow^* \widetilde{h}'_2 \uplus h''_2 \uplus h_2^F, v_2$
(b) $(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s}_i) \sqsupseteq (s_{\text{ref}}, s_i)$
(c) $(\widetilde{h}'_1, \widetilde{h}'_2) \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_i)\uparrow.H(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s}_i)(G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, s_1 \dots s_{i-1}, \widetilde{s}_i, s_{i+1} \dots s_n))$
(d) $(e'_1, e'_2) \in \mathbf{S}(G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, s_1 \dots s_{i-1}, \widetilde{s}_i, s_{i+1} \dots s_n), G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, s_1 \dots s_{i-1}, \widetilde{s}_i, s_{i+1} \dots s_n))(\widetilde{\tau})$
(e) $\forall (\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s}_i) \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} (s_{\text{ref}}, s_i). \forall G' \geq G(\{s_k\}_{k \in \{n \setminus i\}}). (K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_i)\uparrow}(G')((s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_i^0), (\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s}_i))(\widetilde{\tau}, \tau)$

• If (A) holds, then we are done.

• If (B) holds:

- For all $k \in \{n \setminus i\},$ iteratively applying $\text{stable}(\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_k))$ and using monotonicity gets us $\widetilde{s}_k \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s_k$ such that:

$$(h''_1, h''_2) \in \otimes_{k \in \{n \setminus i\}} \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_k).H(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}})(\widetilde{s}_k)(G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s}_1 \dots \widetilde{s}_n))$$

- Thus from (Bc), monotonicity, and the definition of W we get

$$(\widetilde{h}'_1 \uplus h''_1, \widetilde{h}'_2 \uplus h''_2) \in W.H(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s}_1 \dots \widetilde{s}_n)(G(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s}_1 \dots \widetilde{s}_n))$$

- From (Bb) we get $(\widetilde{s}_{\text{ref}}, \widetilde{s}_1 \dots \widetilde{s}_n) \sqsupseteq [(s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_1^0 \dots s_{n_0}^0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s_1 \dots s_n)].$

- Together with (Ba), (Bd), and monotonicity we are done.

- If (C) holds:

- For all $k \in \{n \setminus i\}$, iteratively applying $stable(\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_k))$ and using monotonicity gets us $\tilde{s}_k \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s_k$ such that:

$$(h''_1, h''_2) \in \otimes_{k \in \{n \setminus i\}} \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_k). \mathbf{H}(\widetilde{s_{\text{ref}}})(\tilde{s}_k)(G(\widetilde{s_{\text{ref}}}, \tilde{s}_1 \dots \tilde{s}_n))$$

- Thus from (Cc), monotonicity, and the definition of W we get

$$(\widetilde{h}'_1 \uplus h''_1, \widetilde{h}'_2 \uplus h''_2) \in W. \mathbf{H}(\widetilde{s_{\text{ref}}}, \tilde{s}_1 \dots \tilde{s}_n)(G(\widetilde{s_{\text{ref}}}, \tilde{s}_1 \dots \tilde{s}_n))$$

- From (Cb) we get $(\widetilde{s_{\text{ref}}}, \tilde{s}_1 \dots \tilde{s}_n) \sqsupseteq [(s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_1^0 \dots s_{n_0}^0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s_1 \dots s_n)]$.

- After applying monotonicity to (Cd), it remains to show:

$$\forall (\widehat{s_{\text{ref}}}, \widehat{s}_1 \dots \widehat{s}_m) \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} (\widetilde{s_{\text{ref}}}, \tilde{s}_1 \dots \tilde{s}_n). \forall G' \geq G. \\ (K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}'_W(G')((s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_1^0 \dots s_{n_0}^0), (\widehat{s_{\text{ref}}}, \widehat{s}_1 \dots \widehat{s}_m))(\tilde{\tau}, \tau)$$

- So suppose $(\widehat{s_{\text{ref}}}, \widehat{s}_1 \dots \widehat{s}_m) \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} (\widetilde{s_{\text{ref}}}, \tilde{s}_1 \dots \tilde{s}_n)$ and $G' \geq G$.

- By monotonicity we have $G'(\{\widehat{s}_k\}_{k \in \{m \setminus i\}}) \geq G(\{s_k\}_{k \in \{n \setminus i\}})$.

- From (Ce) we therefore get $(K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_i)\uparrow}(G'(\{\widehat{s}_k\}_{k \in \{m \setminus i\}}))((s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_i^0), (\widehat{s_{\text{ref}}}, \widehat{s}_i))(\tilde{\tau}, \tau)$.

- By definition of \mathbf{K}'_W this implies $(K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}'_W(G')((s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_1^0 \dots s_{n_0}^0), (\widehat{s_{\text{ref}}}, \widehat{s}_1 \dots \widehat{s}_m))(\tilde{\tau}, \tau)$.

For (2):

- Suppose $(K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}'_W(G)((s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_1^0 \dots s_{n_0}^0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s_1 \dots s_n))(\tau', \tau)$ and $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1 \dots s_n)}(\tau')$.

- By definition of \mathbf{K}'_W we have $(\forall k \in \{n_0 \setminus i\}. s_k \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s_k^0)$ and

$$(\tau', \tau, K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_i)\uparrow}(G(\{s_k\}_{k \in \{n \setminus i\}}))((s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_i^0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s_i)) .p$$

- We must show $(\tau, K_1[v_1], K_2[v_2]) \in \mathbf{E}'_W(G)((s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_1^0 \dots s_{n_0}^0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s_1 \dots s_n))$.

- By definition of \mathbf{E}'_W it suffices to show

$$(\tau, K_1[v_1], K_2[v_2]) \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_i)\uparrow}(G(\{s_k\}_{k \in \{n \setminus i\}}))((s_{\text{ref}}^0, s_i^0), (s_{\text{ref}}, s_i)) .$$

- Since $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1 \dots s_n)}(\tau')$, we are done. □

Lemma 58. Suppose $\forall \mathcal{N}. stable(\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}))$.

1. $\forall \mathcal{N}. stable(\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{W})(\mathcal{N}))$

2. If $\forall \mathcal{N}. consistent(\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N})\uparrow)$, then $\forall \mathcal{N}. consistent(\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{W})(\mathcal{N})\uparrow)$.

Proof.

- We suppose

(a) $G \in \mathbf{GK}(\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{W})(\mathcal{N})\uparrow)$

(b) $(\tau, e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{W})(\mathcal{N})\uparrow). \mathbf{L}(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1 \dots s_n)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1 \dots s_n), G(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1 \dots s_n))$

and must show $(\tau, beta(e_1), beta(e_2)) \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{W})(\mathcal{N})\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}}, s_1 \dots s_n), (s_{\text{ref}}, s_1 \dots s_n))$.

- From (b) and the definition of \mathbf{S} we know: for some i ,

$$(\tau, e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{S}(\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_i)\uparrow). \mathbf{L}(s_{\text{ref}}, s_i)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1 \dots s_n), G(s_{\text{ref}}, s_1 \dots s_n))$$

- The claim follows from $consistent(\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_i)\uparrow)$ with the help of Lemmas 56 and 57. □

Lemma 59. $inhabited(\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{W})(\mathcal{N})\uparrow)$

Proof. It is easy to check that $(\emptyset, \emptyset) \in \mathbf{G}(\mathcal{W})(\mathcal{N})\uparrow. \mathbf{H}(\emptyset, ())(R)$ for any R . □

3.2 Substitutivity

Theorem 60.

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma, x:\sigma' \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \sigma \quad \Delta; \Gamma \vdash v_1 \sim v_2 : \sigma'}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1[v_1/x] \sim e_2[v_2/x] : \sigma}$$

Proof. By Lemma 16 it suffices to show:

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma, x:\sigma' \vdash e_1 \sim_W e_2 : \sigma \quad \Delta; \Gamma \vdash v_1 \sim_W v_2 : \sigma'}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1[v_1/x] \sim_W e_2[v_2/x] : \sigma}$$

This boils down to showing

$$(\delta\sigma, \gamma_1(e_1[v_1/x]), \gamma_2(e_2[v_2/x])) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s, s)$$

for $\delta \in \text{TyEnv}(\Delta)$ and $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\delta\Gamma, G(s))$.

- So suppose $(h_1, h_2) \in W.H(s)(G(s))$ and $h_1^F, h_2^F \in \text{Heap}$.
- We must show $(\delta\sigma, (h_1, h_1^F, \gamma_1(e_1[v_1/x])), (h_2, h_2^F, \gamma_2(e_2[v_2/x]))) \in \mathbf{O}_W(\mathbf{K}_W)(G)(s, s)$.
- From the second premise we get $(\delta\sigma', \gamma_1 v_1, \gamma_2 v_2) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s, s)$.
- As a consequence of this, there is $s' \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s$ such that:
 1. $(\delta\sigma', \gamma_1 v_1, \gamma_2 v_2) \in \overline{G(s')}$
 2. $(h_1, h_2) \in W.H(s')(G(s'))$
- Let $\gamma'_1 := \gamma_1, x \mapsto \gamma_1 v_1$ and $\gamma'_2 := \gamma_2, x \mapsto \gamma_2 v_2$.
- By monotonicity and (1) we have $\gamma' \in \text{Env}(\delta(\Gamma, x:\sigma'), G(s'))$.
- The first premise then yields $(\delta\sigma, \gamma'_1 e_1, \gamma'_2 e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s', s')$.
- By Lemma 7 we get $(\delta\sigma, \gamma'_1 e_1, \gamma'_2 e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_W(G)(s, s')$.
- This implies $(\delta\sigma, (h_1, h_1^F, \gamma_1(e_1[v_1/x])), (h_2, h_2^F, \gamma_2(e_2[v_2/x]))) \in \mathbf{O}_W(\mathbf{K}_W)(G)(s, s')$.
- We are done by Lemma 4 and (2).

□

3.3 Expansion

Theorem 61.

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e'_1 \sim e'_2 : \sigma \quad \forall h, \gamma. h, \gamma e_1 \hookrightarrow^* h, \gamma e'_1 \quad \forall h, \gamma. h, \gamma e_2 \hookrightarrow^* h, \gamma e'_2}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \sigma}$$

Proof. By Lemma 16 it suffices to show:

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e'_1 \sim_W e'_2 : \sigma \quad \forall h, \gamma. h, \gamma e_1 \hookrightarrow^* h, \gamma e'_1 \quad \forall h, \gamma. h, \gamma e_2 \hookrightarrow^* h, \gamma e'_2}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim_W e_2 : \sigma}$$

This boils down to showing

$$(\delta\sigma, (h_1, h_1^F, \gamma_1 e_1), (h_2, h_2^F, \gamma_2 e_2)) \in \mathbf{O}_W(\mathbf{K}_W)(G)(s, s)$$

in a context where the premise provides

$$(\delta\sigma, (h_1, h_1^F, \gamma_1 e'_1), (h_2, h_2^F, \gamma_2 e'_2)) \in \mathbf{O}_W(\mathbf{K}_W)(G)(s, s).$$

Using the side condition, we are done by Lemma 4.

□

3.4 Beta Law

Theorem 62.

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma, x:\sigma' \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \sigma \quad \Delta; \Gamma \vdash v_1 \sim v_2 : \sigma'}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash (\lambda x. e_1) v_1 \sim e_2[v_2/x] : \sigma}$$

Proof. From the premises and Theorem 60 we know $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1[v_1/x] \sim e_2[v_2/x] : \sigma$. Thus the conclusion holds by Theorem 61. \square

3.5 Awkward Example

$$\begin{aligned} \tau &:= (\text{unit} \rightarrow \text{unit}) \rightarrow \text{int} \\ v_1 &:= \lambda f. f \langle \rangle; 1 \\ e_2 &:= \text{let } x = \text{ref } 0 \text{ in} \\ &\quad \lambda f. x := 1; f \langle \rangle; !x \end{aligned}$$

We show $\cdot; \cdot \vdash v_1 \sim e_2 : \tau$. So let \mathcal{N} be given. The proof splits conceptually into three parts:

1. Constructing a local world \hat{w} with $\hat{w}.\mathbf{N} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$, $\text{stable}(\hat{w})$, and $\text{inhabited}(\hat{w}\uparrow)$.
2. Showing $\text{consistent}(\hat{w}\uparrow)$. This is the meat of the proof.
3. Showing that v_1 and e_2 are related by $\mathbf{E}_{\hat{w}\uparrow}$.

Constructing the world. First, we define $w \in \text{LWorld}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} w.\mathbf{N} &:= \emptyset \\ w.\mathbf{S} &:= \text{Loc} \times \{0, 1\} \\ w.\sqsupseteq &:= w.\sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} \\ w.\sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} &:= \{((\ell, 1), (\ell, 0)) \mid \ell \in \text{Loc}\}^* \\ w.\mathbf{L} &:= \lambda s_{\text{ref}}, (\ell, n), R. \{((\text{unit} \rightarrow \text{unit}) \rightarrow \text{int}, v_1, (\lambda f. \ell := 1; f \langle \rangle; !\ell))\} \\ w.\mathbf{H} &:= \lambda s_{\text{ref}}, (\ell, n), R. \{(\emptyset, [\ell \mapsto n])\} \end{aligned}$$

Now let $\hat{w} = \mathbf{G}(\lambda \mathcal{N}. w)(\mathcal{N})$. By definition of \mathbf{G} we have $\hat{w}.\mathbf{N} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$. Furthermore, by Lemmas 58 and 59 we know $\text{stable}(\hat{w})$ and $\text{inhabited}(\hat{w}\uparrow)$.

Showing consistency. In order to show $\text{consistent}(\hat{w}\uparrow)$, it suffices by Lemma 58 to just show $\text{consistent}(w\uparrow)$.

- So suppose $(s_{\text{ref}}, (\ell, n)) \in w\uparrow.\mathbf{S}$ and $(v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{ref}}, (\ell, n))}(\text{unit} \rightarrow \text{unit})$.
- We need to show:

$$((v'_1 \langle \rangle; 1), (\ell := 1; v'_2 \langle \rangle; !\ell)) \in \mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}}, (\ell, n)), (s_{\text{ref}}, (\ell, n)))(\text{int})$$

- So suppose $\text{defined}(h_1 \uplus h_1^{\text{F}})$ and $\text{defined}(h_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}})$ as well as

$$(h_1, h_2) \in w\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}}, (\ell, n))(G(s_{\text{ref}}, (\ell, n))).$$

- Then there are $(h_1^{\text{ref}}, h_2^{\text{ref}}) \in W_{\text{ref}}.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}})(G(s_{\text{ref}}, (\ell, n)))$ such that $h_1 = h_1^{\text{ref}}$ and $h_2 = h_2^{\text{ref}} \uplus [\ell \mapsto n]$.
- Therefore we know:

$$h_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}}, (\ell := 1; v'_2 \langle \rangle; !\ell) \leftrightarrow h_2^{\text{ref}} \uplus [\ell \mapsto 1] \uplus h_2^{\text{F}}, (v'_2 \langle \rangle; !\ell)$$

- By definition of $\mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}$ it suffices to find $s' \sqsupseteq (\ell, n)$ such that:

1. $(h_1^{\text{ref}}, h_2^{\text{ref}} \uplus [\ell \mapsto 1]) \in w\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}}, s')(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s'))$
 2. $(v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{ref}}, s')}(\text{unit} \rightarrow \text{unit})$
 3. $(\langle \rangle, \langle \rangle) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{ref}}, s')}(\text{unit})$
 4. $\forall (s'_{\text{ref}}, s'') \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} (s_{\text{ref}}, s'). \forall G' \geq G. ((\bullet; 1), (\bullet; !\ell)) \in \mathbf{K}_{w\uparrow}(G')((s_{\text{ref}}, (\ell, n)), (s'_{\text{ref}}, s''))(\text{unit}, \text{int})$
- We pick $s' = (\ell, 1) \sqsupseteq (\ell, n)$.
 - (1) follows from monotonicity and $(\emptyset, [\ell \mapsto 1]) \in w.\mathbf{H}(s')(G(s'))$, which holds by construction.
 - As (2) holds by monotonicity, and (3) is immediate, it remains to show (4).
 - So suppose $(s'_{\text{ref}}, s'') \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} (s_{\text{ref}}, s')$ and $G' \geq G$.
 - Then necessarily $s'' = s'$.
 - We must show $(\langle \rangle; 1), (\langle \rangle; !\ell) \in \mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}(G')((s_{\text{ref}}, (\ell, n)), (s'_{\text{ref}}, s''))(\text{int})$.
 - So suppose defined $(h'_1 \uplus h_1^{\text{F}'})$ and defined $(h'_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}'})$ as well as $(h'_1, h'_2) \in w\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(s'_{\text{ref}}, s'')(G'(s'_{\text{ref}}, s''))$.
 - Then there are $h_1^{\text{ref}}, h_2^{\text{ref}}$ such that $h'_1 = h_1^{\text{ref}}$ and $h'_2 = h_2^{\text{ref}} \uplus [\ell \mapsto 1]$.
 - Therefore we know:
$$h'_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}'}, (\langle \rangle; !\ell) \hookrightarrow^* h'_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}'}, 1$$
 - Of course we also know:
$$h'_1 \uplus h_1^{\text{F}'}, (\langle \rangle; 1) \hookrightarrow^* h'_1 \uplus h_1^{\text{F}'}, 1$$
 - Since $(s'_{\text{ref}}, s'') \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} (s_{\text{ref}}, (\ell, n))$, it suffices by definition of $\mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}$ to show $(1, 1) \in \overline{G'(s'_{\text{ref}}, s'')}(\text{int})$, which is immediate.

Proving the programs related. It remains to show $(v_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{\widehat{w}\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}}, s), (s_{\text{ref}}, s))(\tau)$ for any G, s_{ref}, s .

- So suppose $(h_1, h_2) \in \widehat{w}\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}}, s)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s))$ as well as defined $(h_1 \uplus h_1^{\text{F}})$ and defined $(h_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}})$.
- Then there are
$$(h_1^{\text{ref}}, h_2^{\text{ref}}) \in W_{\text{ref}}.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}})(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s)) \text{ and } (\widehat{h}_1, \widehat{h}_2) \in \widehat{w}.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}})(s)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s))$$
with $h_1 = h_1^{\text{ref}} \uplus \widehat{h}_1$ and $h_2 = h_2^{\text{ref}} \uplus \widehat{h}_2$.
- Hence we have $h_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}}, e_2 \hookrightarrow h_2^{\text{ref}} \uplus \widehat{h}_2 \uplus [\ell \mapsto 0] \uplus h_2^{\text{F}}, v_2$, where $v_2 = \lambda f. \ell := 1; f \langle \rangle; !\ell$ and ℓ is fresh.
- We are done if we can find $s' \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s$ such that:
 1. $(h_1^{\text{ref}} \uplus \widehat{h}_1, h_2^{\text{ref}} \uplus \widehat{h}_2 \uplus [\ell \mapsto 0]) \in \widehat{w}\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}}, s')(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s'))$
 2. $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{ref}}, s')}(\tau)$
- We pick $s' = (s, (\ell, 0)) \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s$.
- To show (1), it suffices by monotonicity to show $(\widehat{h}_1, \widehat{h}_2 \uplus [\ell \mapsto 0]) \in \widehat{w}.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}})(s')(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s'))$.
- By monotonicity and construction of \widehat{w} it then suffices to show $(\emptyset, [\ell \mapsto 0]) \in w.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}})(\ell, 0)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s'))$, which holds by construction of w .
- To show (2) it suffices by definition of GK to show $(v_1, v_2) \in \widehat{w}\uparrow.\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{ref}}, s')(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s'))(\tau)$.
- By construction of \widehat{w} it then suffices to show $(v_1, v_2) \in w.\mathbf{L}(\ell, 0)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s'))(\tau)$, which also holds by construction of w .

3.6 Well-Bracketed State Change

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau &:= (\mathbf{unit} \rightarrow \mathbf{unit}) \rightarrow \mathbf{int} \\
v_1 &:= \lambda f. f \langle \rangle; f \langle \rangle; 1 \\
e_2 &:= \text{let } x = \text{ref } 0 \text{ in} \\
&\quad \lambda f. x := 0; f \langle \rangle; x := 1; f \langle \rangle; !x
\end{aligned}$$

We show $\cdot; \cdot \vdash v_1 \sim e_2 : \tau$. So let \mathcal{N} be given. The proof splits conceptually into three parts:

1. Constructing a local world \hat{w} with $\hat{w}.\mathbf{N} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$, $\text{stable}(\hat{w})$, and $\text{inhabited}(\hat{w}\uparrow)$.
2. Showing $\text{consistent}(\hat{w}\uparrow)$. This is the meat of the proof.
3. Showing that v_1 and e_2 are related by $\mathbf{E}_{\hat{w}\uparrow}$.

Constructing the world. First, we define $w \in \text{LWorld}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
w.\mathbf{N} &:= \emptyset \\
w.\mathbf{S} &:= \text{Loc} \times \{0, 1\} \\
w.\sqsupseteq &:= w.\sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} \cup \{((\ell, 0), (\ell, 1)) \mid \ell \in \text{Loc}\} \\
w.\sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} &:= \{((\ell, 1), (\ell, 0)) \mid \ell \in \text{Loc}\}^* \\
w.\mathbf{L} &:= \lambda s_{\text{ref}}, (\ell, n), R. \{((\mathbf{unit} \rightarrow \mathbf{unit}) \rightarrow \mathbf{int}, v_1, (\lambda f. \ell := 0; f \langle \rangle; \ell := 1; f \langle \rangle; !\ell))\} \\
w.\mathbf{H} &:= \lambda s_{\text{ref}}, (\ell, n), R. \{(\emptyset, [\ell \mapsto n])\}
\end{aligned}$$

Now let $\hat{w} = \mathbf{G}(\lambda \mathcal{N}. w)(\mathcal{N})$. By definition of \mathbf{G} we have $\hat{w}.\mathbf{N} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$. Furthermore, by Lemmas 58 and 59 we know $\text{stable}(\hat{w})$ and $\text{inhabited}(\hat{w}\uparrow)$.

Showing consistency. In order to show $\text{consistent}(\hat{w}\uparrow)$, it suffices by Lemma 58 to just show $\text{consistent}(w\uparrow)$.

- So suppose $(s_{\text{ref}}, (\ell, n)) \in w\uparrow.\mathbf{S}$ and $(v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{ref}}, (\ell, n))}(\mathbf{unit} \rightarrow \mathbf{unit})$.
- We need to show:

$$((v'_1 \langle \rangle; v'_1 \langle \rangle; 1), (\ell := 0; v'_2 \langle \rangle; \ell := 1; v'_2 \langle \rangle; !\ell)) \in \mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}}, (\ell, n)), (s_{\text{ref}}, (\ell, n)))(\mathbf{int})$$

- So suppose defined $(h_1 \uplus h_1^{\text{F}})$ and defined $(h_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}})$ as well as

$$(h_1, h_2) \in w\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}}, (\ell, n))(G(s_{\text{ref}}, (\ell, n))).$$

- Then there are $(h_1^{\text{ref}}, h_2^{\text{ref}}) \in W_{\text{ref}}.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}})(G(s_{\text{ref}}, (\ell, n)))$ such that $h_1 = h_1^{\text{ref}}$ and $h_2 = h_2^{\text{ref}} \uplus [\ell \mapsto n]$.
- Therefore we know:

$$h_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}}, (\ell := 0; v'_2 \langle \rangle; \ell := 1; v'_2 \langle \rangle; !\ell) \hookrightarrow h_2^{\text{ref}} \uplus [\ell \mapsto 0] \uplus h_2^{\text{F}}, (v'_2 \langle \rangle; \ell := 1; v'_2 \langle \rangle; !\ell)$$

- By definition of $\mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}$ it suffices to find $s' \sqsupseteq (\ell, n)$ such that:

1. $(h_1^{\text{ref}}, h_2^{\text{ref}} \uplus [\ell \mapsto 0]) \in w\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}}, s')(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s'))$
2. $(v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{ref}}, s')}(\mathbf{unit} \rightarrow \mathbf{unit})$
3. $(\langle \rangle, \langle \rangle) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{ref}}, s')}(\mathbf{unit})$
4. $\forall (s'_{\text{ref}}, \tilde{s}') \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} (s_{\text{ref}}, s'). \forall G' \geq G.$
 $((\bullet; v'_1 \langle \rangle; 1), (\bullet; \ell := 1; v'_2 \langle \rangle; !\ell)) \in \mathbf{K}_{w\uparrow}(G')((s_{\text{ref}}, (\ell, n)), (s'_{\text{ref}}, \tilde{s}'))(\mathbf{unit}, \mathbf{int})$

- We pick $s' = (\ell, 0) \sqsupseteq (\ell, n)$.

- (1) follows from monotonicity and $(\emptyset, [\ell \mapsto 1]) \in w.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}})(s')(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s'))$, which holds by construction.
- As (2) holds by monotonicity, and (3) is immediate, it remains to show (4).
- So suppose $(s'_{\text{ref}}, \tilde{s}') \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} (s_{\text{ref}}, s')$ and $G' \geq G$.
- We need to show:

$$((\langle \rangle; v'_1 \langle \rangle; 1), (\langle \rangle; \ell := 1; v'_2 \langle \rangle; !\ell)) \in \mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}(G')((s_{\text{ref}}, (\ell, n)), (s'_{\text{ref}}, \tilde{s}'))(\text{int})$$

- So suppose defined $(h'_1 \uplus h_1^{F'})$ and defined $(h'_2 \uplus h_2^{F'})$ as well as

$$(h'_1, h'_2) \in w\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(s'_{\text{ref}}, \tilde{s}')(G'(s'_{\text{ref}}, \tilde{s}')).$$

- Then there are $(h_1^{\text{ref}}, h_2^{\text{ref}}) \in W_{\text{ref}}.\mathbf{H}(s'_{\text{ref}})(G'(s'_{\text{ref}}, \tilde{s}'))$ such that $h'_1 = h_1^{\text{ref}}$ and $h'_2 = h_2^{\text{ref}} \uplus [\ell \mapsto n']$.
- Therefore we know:

$$\begin{aligned} h'_1 \uplus h_1^{F'}, (\langle \rangle; v'_1 \langle \rangle; 1) &\hookrightarrow h_1^{\text{ref}} \uplus h_1^{F'}, (v'_1 \langle \rangle; 1) \\ h'_2 \uplus h_2^{F'}, (\langle \rangle; \ell := 1; v'_2 \langle \rangle; !\ell) &\hookrightarrow h_2^{\text{ref}} \uplus [\ell \mapsto 1] \uplus h_2^{F'}, (v'_2 \langle \rangle; !\ell) \end{aligned}$$

- By definition of $\mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}$ it suffices to find $s'' \sqsupseteq \tilde{s}'$ such that:

1. $(h_1^{\text{ref}}, h_2^{\text{ref}} \uplus [\ell \mapsto 1]) \in w\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(s'_{\text{ref}}, s'')(G'(s'_{\text{ref}}, s''))$
2. $(v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G'(s'_{\text{ref}}, s'')(\text{unit} \rightarrow \text{unit})}$
3. $(\langle \rangle, \langle \rangle) \in \overline{G'(s'_{\text{ref}}, s'')(\text{unit})}$
4. $\forall (s''_{\text{ref}}, \tilde{s}'') \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} (s'_{\text{ref}}, s''). \forall G'' \geq G'. ((\bullet; 1), (\bullet; !\ell)) \in \mathbf{K}_{w\uparrow}(G'')((s_{\text{ref}}, (\ell, n)), (s''_{\text{ref}}, \tilde{s}''))(\text{unit}, \text{int})$

- We pick $s'' = (\ell, 1) \sqsupseteq \tilde{s}'$.
- (1) follows from monotonicity and $(\emptyset, [\ell \mapsto 1]) \in w.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}})(s')(G'(s'))$, which holds by construction.
- As (2) holds by monotonicity, and (3) is immediate, it remains to show (4).
- So suppose $(s''_{\text{ref}}, \tilde{s}'') \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} (s'_{\text{ref}}, s'')$ and $G'' \geq G'$.
- Then necessarily $\tilde{s}'' = s''$.
- We must show $((\langle \rangle; 1), (\langle \rangle; !\ell)) \in \mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}(G'')((s_{\text{ref}}, (\ell, n)), (s''_{\text{ref}}, s''))(\text{int})$.
- So suppose defined $(h''_1 \uplus h_1^{F''})$ and defined $(h''_2 \uplus h_2^{F''})$ as well as $(h''_1, h''_2) \in w\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(s''_{\text{ref}}, s'')(G''(s''_{\text{ref}}, s''))$.
- Then there are $h_1^{\text{ref}}, h_2^{\text{ref}}$ such that $h''_1 = h_1^{\text{ref}}$ and $h''_2 = h_2^{\text{ref}} \uplus [\ell \mapsto 1]$.
- Therefore we know:

$$h''_2 \uplus h_2^{F''}, (\langle \rangle; !\ell) \hookrightarrow^* h_2^{\text{ref}} \uplus h_2^{F''}, 1$$

- Of course we also know:

$$h''_1 \uplus h_1^{F''}, (\langle \rangle; 1) \hookrightarrow^* h_1^{\text{ref}} \uplus h_1^{F''}, 1$$

- Since $(s''_{\text{ref}}, s'') \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} (s_{\text{ref}}, (\ell, n))$, it suffices by definition of $\mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}$ to show $(1, 1) \in \overline{G''(s''_{\text{ref}}, s'')(\text{int})}$, which is immediate.

Proving the programs related. It remains to show $(v_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{\widehat{w}\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}}, s), (s_{\text{ref}}, s))(\tau)$ for any G, s_{ref}, s .

- So suppose $(h_1, h_2) \in \widehat{w}\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}}, s)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s))$ as well as $\text{defined}(h_1 \uplus h_1^{\text{F}})$ and $\text{defined}(h_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}})$.
- Then there are

$$(h_1^{\text{ref}}, h_2^{\text{ref}}) \in W_{\text{ref}}.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}})(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s)) \text{ and } (\widehat{h}_1, \widehat{h}_2) \in \widehat{w}.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}})(s)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s))$$

with $h_1 = h_1^{\text{ref}} \uplus \widehat{h}_1$ and $h_2 = h_2^{\text{ref}} \uplus \widehat{h}_2$.

- Hence we have $h_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}}, e_2 \hookrightarrow h_2^{\text{ref}} \uplus \widehat{h}_2 \uplus [\ell \mapsto 0] \uplus h_2^{\text{F}}, v_2$, where $v_2 = \lambda f. \ell := 0; f \langle \rangle; \ell := 1; f \langle \rangle; !\ell$ and ℓ is fresh.
- We are done if we can find $s' \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s$ such that:

1. $(h_1^{\text{ref}} \uplus \widehat{h}_1, h_2^{\text{ref}} \uplus \widehat{h}_2 \uplus [\ell \mapsto 0]) \in \widehat{w}\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}}, s')(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s'))$
2. $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}(s_{\text{ref}}, s')(\tau)$

- We pick $s' = (s, (\ell, 0)) \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s$.
- To show (1), it suffices by monotonicity to show $(\widehat{h}_1, \widehat{h}_2 \uplus [\ell \mapsto 0]) \in \widehat{w}.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}})(s')(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s'))$.
- By monotonicity and construction of \widehat{w} it then suffices to show $(\emptyset, [\ell \mapsto 0]) \in w.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}})(\ell, 0)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s'))$, which holds by construction of w .
- To show (2) it suffices by definition of GK to show $(v_1, v_2) \in \widehat{w}\uparrow.\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{ref}}, s')(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s'))(\tau)$.
- By construction of \widehat{w} it then suffices to show $(v_1, v_2) \in w.\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{ref}})(\ell, 0)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s'))(\tau)$, which also holds by construction of w .

3.7 Twin Abstraction

$$\begin{aligned} \tau &:= \exists \alpha. \exists \beta. (\text{unit} \rightarrow \alpha) \times (\text{unit} \rightarrow \beta) \times (\alpha \times \beta \rightarrow \text{bool}) \\ e_1 &:= \text{let } x = \text{ref } 0 \text{ in pack } \langle \text{int, pack } \langle \text{int, } \lambda_. x := !x + 1; !x, \\ &\quad \lambda_. x := !x + 1; !x, \\ &\quad \lambda p. p.1 = p.2 \rangle \rangle \\ e_2 &:= \text{let } x = \text{ref } 0 \text{ in pack } \langle \text{int, pack } \langle \text{int, } \lambda_. x := !x + 1; !x, \\ &\quad \lambda_. x := !x + 1; !x, \\ &\quad \lambda p. \text{ff} \rangle \rangle \end{aligned}$$

We show $\cdot; \cdot \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \tau$. So let \mathcal{N} be given. The proof splits conceptually into three parts:

1. Constructing a world w with $w.\mathbf{N} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$, $\text{stable}(w)$, and $\text{inhabited}(w\uparrow)$.
2. Showing $\text{consistent}(w\uparrow)$. This is the meat of the proof.
3. Showing that e_1 and e_2 are related by $\mathbf{E}_{w\uparrow}$.

Constructing the world. First, we define $\mathcal{W} \in \text{NLWorld}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}').\mathbf{N} &:= \{\mathcal{N}'(1), \mathcal{N}'(2)\} \\
\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}').\mathbf{S} &:= \{(\ell_1, \ell_2, S_1, S_2) \in \text{Loc} \times \text{Loc} \times \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{N}_{>0}) \times \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{N}_{>0}) \mid S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset\} \\
\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}').\sqsupseteq &:= \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}').\sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} \\
\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}').\sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} &:= \{((\ell'_1, \ell'_2, S'_1, S'_2), (\ell_1, \ell_2, S_1, S_2) \mid \ell_1 = \ell'_1 \wedge \ell_2 = \ell'_2 \wedge S_1 \subseteq S'_1 \wedge S_2 \subseteq S'_2)\} \\
\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}').\mathbf{L} &:= \lambda(\ell_1, \ell_2, S_1, S_2), R. \{(\mathcal{N}'(1), n, n) \mid n \in S_1\} \uplus \{(\mathcal{N}'(2), n, n) \mid n \in S_2\} \uplus \\
&\quad \{((\text{unit} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}'(1)), (\lambda_{\cdot} \ell_1 := !\ell_1 + 1; !\ell_1), (\lambda_{\cdot} \ell_1 := !\ell_1 + 1; !\ell_1))\} \uplus \\
&\quad \{((\text{unit} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}'(2)), (\lambda_{\cdot} \ell_2 := !\ell_2 + 1; !\ell_2), (\lambda_{\cdot} \ell_2 := !\ell_2 + 1; !\ell_2))\} \uplus \\
&\quad \{((\mathcal{N}'(1) \times \mathcal{N}'(2) \rightarrow \text{bool}), (\lambda p. p.1 = p.2), (\lambda p. \text{ff}))\} \\
\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}').\mathbf{H} &:= \lambda(\ell_1, \ell_2, S_1, S_2), R. \{([\ell_1 \mapsto n], [\ell_2 \mapsto n]) \mid n = \max(\{0\} \uplus S_1 \uplus S_2)\}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{N}'(1)$ and $\mathcal{N}'(2)$ denote two distinct elements of \mathcal{N}' .

Now let $w = \mathbf{G}(\mathcal{W})(\mathcal{N}')$. By definition of \mathbf{G} we have $w.\mathbf{N} \subseteq \mathcal{N}'$. Furthermore, by Lemmas 58 and 59 we know $\text{stable}(w)$ and $\text{inhabited}(w\uparrow)$.

Showing consistency. In order to show $\text{consistent}(w\uparrow)$, it suffices by Lemma 58 to just show $\text{consistent}(\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}')\uparrow)$ for any \mathcal{N}' . This decomposes into the following subgoals (for any $G, s_{\text{ref}}, s = (\ell_1, \ell_2, S_1, S_2)$):

1. $((\ell_1 := !\ell_1 + 1; !\ell_1), (\ell_1 := !\ell_1 + 1; !\ell_1)) \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}')\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}}, s), (s_{\text{ref}}, s))(\mathcal{N}'(1))$
2. $((\ell_2 := !\ell_2 + 1; !\ell_2), (\ell_2 := !\ell_2 + 1; !\ell_2)) \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}')\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}}, s), (s_{\text{ref}}, s))(\mathcal{N}'(2))$
3. $\forall (v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{ref}}, s)}(\mathcal{N}'(1) \times \mathcal{N}'(2)). (v'_1.1 = v'_1.2, \text{ff}) \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}')\uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}}, s), (s_{\text{ref}}, s))(\text{bool})$

For (1) (part (2) is analogously):

- Suppose $(h_1, h_2) \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}')\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}}, s)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s))$ as well as $\text{defined}(h_1 \uplus h_1^{\text{F}})$ and $\text{defined}(h_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}})$.
- Then there are

$$(h_1^{\text{ref}}, h_2^{\text{ref}}) \in W_{\text{ref}}.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}})(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s)) \text{ and } (h_1^{\circ}, h_2^{\circ}) \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}').\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}})(s)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s))$$

with $h_1 = h_1^{\text{ref}} \uplus h_1^{\circ}$ and $h_2 = h_2^{\text{ref}} \uplus h_2^{\circ}$.

- By construction of $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}')$ we know $h_1^{\circ} = [\ell_1 \mapsto n]$ and $h_2^{\circ} = [\ell_2 \mapsto n]$ where $n = \max(\{0\} \uplus S_1 \uplus S_2)$.
- Hence $h_1 \uplus h_1^{\text{F}}, (\ell_1 := !\ell_1 + 1; !\ell_1) \hookrightarrow^* h_1^{\text{ref}} \uplus [\ell_1 \mapsto n + 1] \uplus h_1^{\text{F}}, n + 1$
and $h_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}}, (\ell_2 := !\ell_2 + 1; !\ell_2) \hookrightarrow^* h_2^{\text{ref}} \uplus [\ell_2 \mapsto n + 1] \uplus h_2^{\text{F}}, n + 1$.
- By definition of $\mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}')\uparrow}$ it suffices to find $s' \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s$ such that:

- a) $(h_1^{\text{ref}} \uplus [\ell_1 \mapsto n + 1], h_1^{\text{ref}} \uplus [\ell_2 \mapsto n + 1]) \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}')\uparrow.\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}}, s')(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s'))$
- b) $(n + 1, n + 1) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{ref}}, s')}(\mathcal{N}'(1))$

- We pick $s' = (\ell_1, \ell_2, S_1 \uplus \{n + 1\}, S_2)$.
- Note that $n + 1 \notin S_1 \cup S_2$ and thus $(S_1 \uplus \{n + 1\}) \cap S_2 = \emptyset$, so s' is well-formed.
- Since $n + 1 = \max(\{0\} \uplus S_1 \uplus \{n + 1\} \uplus S_2)$, (a) follows from $([\ell_1 \mapsto n + 1], [\ell_2 \mapsto n + 1]) \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}').\mathbf{H}(s_{\text{ref}})(s')(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s'))$ by construction of $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}')$.
- To show (b) it suffices, by definition of GK, to show

$$(n + 1, n + 1) \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}')\uparrow.\mathbf{L}(s_{\text{ref}}, s')(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s'))(\mathcal{N}'(1)).$$

- This follows from

$$(n + 1, n + 1) \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}'). \mathbf{L}_{(s_{\text{ref}})}(s')(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s'))(\mathcal{N}'(1)),$$

which in turns holds by construction of $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}')$.

For (3):

- Suppose $(v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{ref}}, s)}(\mathcal{N}'(1) \times \mathcal{N}'(2))$.
- Then $v'_1 = \langle \widehat{v}_1, \widetilde{v}_1 \rangle$ and $v'_2 = \langle \widehat{v}_2, \widetilde{v}_2 \rangle$ with $(\widehat{v}_1, \widehat{v}_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{ref}}, s)}(\mathcal{N}'(1))$ and $(\widetilde{v}_1, \widetilde{v}_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{ref}}, s)}(\mathcal{N}'(2))$.
- By definition of GK and construction of $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}')$ we know $\widehat{v}_1 = \widehat{v}_2 \in S_1$ and $\widetilde{v}_1 = \widetilde{v}_2 \in S_2$.
- Now suppose $(h_1, h_2) \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}') \uparrow \mathbf{H}_{(s_{\text{ref}}, s)}(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s))$ as well as defined($h_1 \uplus h_1^{\text{F}}$) and defined($h_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}}$).
- Since $S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$, we get $h_1 \uplus h_1^{\text{F}}, v'_1.1 = v'_1.2 \hookrightarrow^* h_1 \uplus h_1^{\text{F}}, \text{ff}$ and $h_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}}, \text{ff} \hookrightarrow^* h_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}}, \text{ff}$.
- Since $(\text{ff}, \text{ff}) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{ref}}, s)}(\text{bool})$, we are done.

Proving the programs related. It remains to show $(e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{w \uparrow}(G)((s_{\text{ref}}, s), (s_{\text{ref}}, s))(\tau)$ for any G, s_{ref}, s .

- So suppose $(h_1, h_2) \in w \uparrow \mathbf{H}_{(s_{\text{ref}}, s)}(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s))$ as well as defined($h_1 \uplus h_1^{\text{F}}$) and defined($h_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}}$).
- Then there are

$$(h_1^{\text{ref}}, h_2^{\text{ref}}) \in W_{\text{ref}} \mathbf{H}_{(s_{\text{ref}})}(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s)) \text{ and } (\widehat{h}_1, \widehat{h}_2) \in w \mathbf{H}_{(s_{\text{ref}})}(s)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s))$$

with $h_1 = h_1^{\text{ref}} \uplus \widehat{h}_1$ and $h_2 = h_2^{\text{ref}} \uplus \widehat{h}_2$.

- Hence we have

$$h_1 \uplus h_1^{\text{F}}, e_1 \hookrightarrow h_1^{\text{ref}} \uplus \widehat{h}_1 \uplus [\ell_1 \mapsto 0] \uplus h_1^{\text{F}}, \text{pack pack } v_1 \text{ and } h_2 \uplus h_2^{\text{F}}, e_2 \hookrightarrow h_2^{\text{ref}} \uplus \widehat{h}_2 \uplus [\ell_2 \mapsto 0] \uplus h_2^{\text{F}}, \text{pack pack } v_2$$

where ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 are fresh and v_1, v_2 are what you think they are.

- We are done if we can find $s' \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s$ such that:

1. $(h_1^{\text{ref}} \uplus \widehat{h}_1 \uplus [\ell_1 \mapsto 0], h_2^{\text{ref}} \uplus \widehat{h}_2 \uplus [\ell_2 \mapsto 0]) \in w \uparrow \mathbf{H}_{(s_{\text{ref}}, s')}(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s'))$
2. $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{ref}}, s')}(\tau)$

- We pick $s' = (s, (\ell_1, \ell_2, \emptyset, \emptyset)) \sqsupseteq_{\text{pub}} s$.

- To show (1), it suffices by monotonicity to show $(\widehat{h}_1 \uplus [\ell_1 \mapsto 0], \widehat{h}_2 \uplus [\ell_2 \mapsto 0]) \in w \mathbf{H}_{(s_{\text{ref}})}(s')(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s'))$.

- By monotonicity and construction of w it then suffices to show

$$([\ell_1 \mapsto 0], [\ell_2 \mapsto 0]) \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}') \mathbf{H}_{(s_{\text{ref}})}(\ell_1, \ell_2, \emptyset, \emptyset)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s')) \text{ (for any } \mathcal{N}'\text{), which holds by construction of } \mathcal{W}.$$

- To show (2), we pick the witness types $\mathcal{N}_n(1)$ and $\mathcal{N}_n(2)$, where $n := |s'|$.

- It thus suffices to show:

$$(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{ref}}, s')}((\text{unit} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_n(1)) \times (\text{unit} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_n(2)) \times (\mathcal{N}_n(1) \times \mathcal{N}_n(2) \rightarrow \text{bool}))$$

- This in turn reduces to showing the following:

$$- ((\text{unit} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_n(1)), (\lambda _. \ell_1 := !\ell_1 + 1; !\ell_1), (\lambda _. \ell_1 := !\ell_1 + 1; !\ell_1)) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{ref}}, s')}$$

- $((\mathbf{unit} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_n(2)), (\lambda_. \ell_2 := !\ell_2 + 1; !\ell_2), (\lambda_. \ell_2 := !\ell_2 + 1; !\ell_2)) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{ref}}, s')}$
- $((\mathcal{N}_n(1) \times \mathcal{N}_n(2) \rightarrow \mathbf{bool}), (\lambda p. p.1 = p.2), (\lambda p. \mathbf{ff})) \in \overline{G(s_{\text{ref}}, s')}$
- By definition of GK and construction of w , it suffices to show that these triples are in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}_n).L(\ell_1, \ell_2, \emptyset, \emptyset)(G(s_{\text{ref}}, s'))$.
- This is true by construction of \mathcal{W} .

Part II

A Relational Model for a Pure Sub-Language

4 Language

We consider the sub-language λ^μ of F^μ including only the following types.

$$\sigma \in \text{Type} ::= \alpha \mid \text{unit} \mid \text{int} \mid \text{bool} \mid \sigma_1 \times \sigma_2 \mid \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 \mid \mu\alpha. \sigma \mid \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2$$

5 Model

5.1 Definitions

$$\begin{aligned} \text{CType} &:= \{ \tau \in \text{Type} \mid \text{ftv}(\tau) = \emptyset \} \\ \text{CTypeF} &:= \{ (\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2) \in \text{CType} \} \\ \text{VRelF} &:= \text{CTypeF} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\text{CVal} \times \text{CVal}) \\ \text{VRel} &:= \text{CType} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\text{CVal} \times \text{CVal}) \\ \text{ERel} &:= \text{CType} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\text{CExp} \times \text{CExp}) \\ \text{KRel} &:= \text{CType} \times \text{CType} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\text{CCont} \times \text{CCont}) \end{aligned}$$

We define local knowledges as follows.

$$\text{LK} := \{ L \in \text{VRelF} \rightarrow \text{VRelF} \mid L \text{ is monotone w.r.t. } \leq \wedge \forall R. \forall (f_1, f_2) \in L(R)(\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2). f_1, f_2 \in \text{FunVal} \}$$

Note that in the absence of state, the knowledge does not need to change over time.

We define the closure $\overline{R} \in \text{VRel}$ for $R \in \text{VRelF}$ as the least fixpoint of the following equation.

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{R}(\tau_{\text{base}}) &:= \text{ID}_{\tau_{\text{base}}} \\ \overline{R}(\tau_1 \times \tau_2) &:= \{ ((v_1, v'_1), (v_2, v'_2)) \mid (v_1, v_2) \in \overline{R}(\tau_1) \wedge (v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{R}(\tau_2) \} \\ \overline{R}(\tau_1 + \tau_2) &:= \{ (\text{inj}^1 v_1, \text{inj}^1 v_2) \mid (v_1, v_2) \in \overline{R}(\tau_1) \} \cup \{ (\text{inj}^2 v_1, \text{inj}^2 v_2) \mid (v_1, v_2) \in \overline{R}(\tau_2) \} \\ \overline{R}(\mu\alpha. \tau) &:= \{ (\text{roll } v_1, \text{roll } v_2) \mid (v_1, v_2) \in \overline{R}(\tau[\mu\alpha. \tau/\alpha]) \} \\ \overline{R}(\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2) &:= R(\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2) \end{aligned}$$

We define $\text{GK}(L)$ for a local knowledge L as follows.

$$\text{GK}(L) := \{ G \in \text{VRelF} \mid G \geq L(G) \}$$

For $L \in \text{LK}$, we coinductively define $\mathbf{E} \in \text{VRelF} \rightarrow \text{ERel}$ and $\mathbf{K} \in \text{VRelF} \rightarrow \text{KRel}$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}(G)(\tau) &:= \{ (e_1, e_2) \mid (e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{O}(\mathbf{K})(G)(\tau) \} \\ \mathbf{K}(G)(\tau_1, \tau_2) &:= \{ (K_1, K_2) \mid \forall (v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}(\tau_1). (K_1[v_1], K_2[v_2]) \in \mathbf{E}(G)(\tau_2) \} \\ \mathbf{O}(R^{\mathbf{K}})(G)(\tau) &:= \{ (e_1, e_2) \mid \\ &\quad (e_1 \uparrow \wedge e_2 \uparrow) \\ &\quad \vee (\exists v_1, v_2. e_1 \hookrightarrow^* v_1 \wedge e_2 \hookrightarrow^* v_2 \wedge (v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}(\tau)) \\ &\quad \vee (\exists \tau', K_1, K_2, e'_1, e'_2. e_1 \hookrightarrow^* K_1[e'_1] \wedge e_2 \hookrightarrow^* K_2[e'_2] \wedge (\tau', e'_1, e'_2) \in \mathbf{S}(G, G) \wedge \\ &\quad (K_1, K_2) \in R^{\mathbf{K}}(G)(\tau', \tau)) \} \\ \mathbf{S}(R_f, R_v) &:= \{ (\tau, f_1 v_1, f_2 v_2) \mid \exists \tau'. (f_1, f_2) \in R_f(\tau' \rightarrow \tau) \wedge (v_1, v_2) \in \overline{R}_v(\tau') \} \end{aligned}$$

We define the following predicate on local knowledges.

$$\text{consistent}(L) \quad \text{iff} \quad \forall G \in \text{GK}(L). \forall (\tau, e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{S}(L(G), G). \\ (\tau, \text{beta}(e_1), \text{beta}(e_2)) \in \mathbf{E}(G)$$

We define program equivalence $\Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \tau$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Env}(\Gamma, R) &:= \{ (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \mid \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \text{dom}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \text{CVal} \wedge \forall x. (\Gamma(x), \gamma_1(x), \gamma_2(x)) \in \overline{R} \} \\ \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim_L e_2 : \tau &:= \text{consistent}(L) \wedge \\ &\quad \forall G \in \text{GK}(L). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\Gamma, G). (\tau, \gamma_1 e_1, \gamma_2 e_2) \in \mathbf{E}(G) \\ \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \tau &:= \exists L. \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim_L e_2 : \tau \end{aligned}$$

5.2 Basic Properties

Notation. For a monotone function $F \in \text{VRelF} \rightarrow \text{VRelF}$ and $R \in \text{VRelF}$, we define $R^{[F]}$ as the least fixpoint of the monotone function $F(-) \cup R$:

$$R^{[F]} := \mu X. F(X) \cup R.$$

For $L \in \text{LK}$, we define $[L] \in \text{VRelF}$ as follows:

$$[L] := \emptyset^{[L]}.$$

Lemma 63. $\forall L \in \text{LK}. [L] \in \text{GK}(L)$

Proof. Immediate. □

Lemma 64. If

- $e_1 \hookrightarrow^* e'_1$,
- $e_2 \hookrightarrow^* e'_2$,
- $(\tau, e'_1, e'_2) \in \mathbf{O}(R^{\mathbf{K}})$,

then $(\tau, e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{O}(R^{\mathbf{K}})$.

Proof. Follows easily from the definition of \mathbf{O} . □

Lemma 65. $G \leq \overline{G} \leq \mathbf{E}(G)$

Proof. Both inclusions hold immediately by definition. □

Lemma 66. $(\tau, \tau, \bullet, \bullet) \in \mathbf{K}(G)$

Proof. We need to show $(\tau, v_1, v_2) \in \mathbf{E}(G)$ for $(\tau, v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}$, which holds by Lemma 65. □

Lemma 67. If $L_1, L_2 \in \text{LK}$ and $G \in \text{GK}(L_1 \cup L_2)$, then $G \in \text{GK}(L_1) \cap \text{GK}(L_2)$.

Proof. We must show $G \geq L_1(G)$ and $G \geq L_2(G)$. Both follow from $G \geq (L_1 \cup L_2)(G)$. □

Lemma 68. If $\text{consistent}(L_1)$ and $\text{consistent}(L_2)$, then $\text{consistent}(L_1 \cup L_2)$.

Proof.

- We suppose (1) $G \in \text{GK}(L_1 \cup L_2)$ and (2) $(\tau, e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{S}((L_1 \cup L_2)(G), G)$.
- We must show $(\tau, \text{beta}(e_1), \text{beta}(e_2)) \in \mathbf{E}(G)$.
- From (2) we know $(\tau, e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{S}(L_1(G), G) \vee (\tau, e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{S}(L_2(G), G)$.
- If the former is true, the goal follows from $\text{consistent}(L_1)$ with the help of Lemma 67.
- If the latter is true, the goal follows from $\text{consistent}(L_2)$ with the help of Lemma 67.

□

Lemma 69. If $(\tau', \tau, K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}(G)$, then:

1. $(\tau', e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}(G)$ implies $(\tau, K_1[e_1], K_2[e_2]) \in \mathbf{E}(G)$.
2. $(\tau'', \tau', K'_1, K'_2) \in \mathbf{K}(G)$ implies $(\tau'', \tau, K_1[K'_1], K_2[K'_2]) \in \mathbf{K}(G)$.

Proof. We define \mathbf{E}'_L and \mathbf{K}'_L as follows:

$$\mathbf{E}'_L(G) = \{ (\tau, K_1[e_1], K_2[e_2]) \mid \exists \tau'. (\tau', e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}(G) \wedge (\tau', \tau, K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}(G) \}$$

$$\mathbf{K}'_L(G) = \{ (\tau'', \tau, K_1[K'_1], K_2[K'_2]) \mid \exists \tau'. (\tau'', \tau', K'_1, K'_2) \in \mathbf{K}(G) \wedge (\tau', \tau, K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}(G) \}$$

It suffices to show $\mathbf{E}' \leq \mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{K}' \leq \mathbf{K}$, which we do by coinduction. Concretely, we have to show:

1. $\forall K_1, K_2, e_1, e_2, G, \tau.$
 $(K_1[e_1], K_2[e_2]) \in \mathbf{E}'(G)(\tau) \implies (K_1[e_1], K_2[e_2]) \in \mathbf{O}(\mathbf{K}')(G)(\tau)$
2. $\forall K_1, K_2, K'_1, K'_2, G, \tau'', \tau.$
 $(K_1[K'_1], K_2[K'_2]) \in \mathbf{K}'(G)(\tau'', \tau) \implies \forall (v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}(\tau''). (K_1[K'_1][v_1], K_2[K'_2][v_2]) \in \mathbf{E}'(G)(\tau)$

For (1):

- Suppose $(K_1[e_1], K_2[e_2]) \in \mathbf{E}'(G)(\tau)$.
- By definition of \mathbf{E}' we know $(e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}(G)(\tau')$ and

$$(K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}(G)(\tau', \tau)$$

for some τ' .

- We must show $(K_1[e_1], K_2[e_2]) \in \mathbf{O}(\mathbf{K}')(G)(\tau)$.
- We know $(e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{O}(\mathbf{K})(G)(\tau')$.
- Hence at least one of the following three properties holds:

- A) $e_1 \uparrow$ and $e_2 \uparrow$
- B) (a) $e_1 \hookrightarrow^* v_1$ and $e_2 \hookrightarrow^* v_2$
(b) $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}(\tau')$
- C) (a) $e_1 \hookrightarrow^* K'_1[e'_1]$ and $e_2 \hookrightarrow^* K'_2[e'_2]$
(b) $(e'_1, e'_2) \in \mathbf{S}(G, G)(\tilde{\tau})$
(c) $(K'_1, K'_2) \in \mathbf{K}(G)(\tilde{\tau}, \tau')$

- If (A) holds:

– Then $K_1[e_1] \uparrow$ and $K_2[e_2] \uparrow$, so we are done.

- If (B) holds:

– Then $K_1[e_1] \hookrightarrow^* K_1[v_1]$ and $K_2[e_2] \hookrightarrow^* K_2[v_2]$ from (Ba).

– Since $(K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}(G)(\tau', \tau)$, we get $(K_1[v_1], K_2[v_2]) \in \mathbf{E}(G)(\tau)$ from (Bb).

– We show $\mathbf{O}(\mathbf{K})(G)(\tau) \subseteq \mathbf{O}(\mathbf{K}')(G)(\tau)$:

- * It suffices to show $\mathbf{K} \leq \mathbf{K}'$.
- * By definition of the latter, this follows from Lemma 66.

- Consequently, $(K_1[v_1], K_2[v_2]) \in \mathbf{O}(\mathbf{K}')(G)(\tau)$.
- We are done by Lemma 64.

• If (C) holds:

- Then $e_1 \hookrightarrow^* K_1[K'_1][e'_1]$ and $e_2 \hookrightarrow^* K_2[K'_2][e'_2]$ from (Ca).
- Due to (Cb) it remains to show:

$$(K_1[K'_1], K_2[K'_2]) \in \mathbf{K}'_L(G)(\tilde{\tau}, \tau)$$

- By definition of \mathbf{K}' it suffices to show $(K'_1, K'_2) \in \mathbf{K}(G)(\tilde{\tau}, \tau')$ and $(K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}(G)(\tau', \tau)$, which hold by (Cc) and the premise.

For (2):

- Suppose $(K_1[K'_1], K_2[K'_2]) \in \mathbf{K}'(G)(\tau'', \tau)$ and $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}(\tau'')$.
- By definition of \mathbf{K}' we know $(K'_1, K'_2) \in \mathbf{K}(G)(\tau'', \tau')$ and

$$(K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}(G)(\tau', \tau)$$

for some τ' .

- We must show $(K_1[K'_1][v_1], K_2[K'_2][v_2]) \in \mathbf{E}'(G)(\tau)$.
- By definition of \mathbf{E}' it suffices to show $(K'_1[v_1], K'_2[v_2]) \in \mathbf{E}(G)(\tau')$ and $(K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}(G)(\tau', \tau)$.
- The latter is given and the former follows from $(K'_1, K'_2) \in \mathbf{K}(G)(\tau'', \tau')$ and $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}(\tau'')$.

□

Lemma 70. If *consistent*(L_2), then:

$$\Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim_{L_1} e_2 : \tau \implies \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim_{L_1 \cup L_2} e_2 : \tau$$

Proof.

- Using the assumptions and Lemma 68, we get *consistent*($L_1 \cup L_2$).
- Now suppose $G \in \text{GK}(L_1 \cup L_2)$ and $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\Gamma, G)$.
- We must show $(\gamma_1 e_1, \gamma_2 e_2) \in \mathbf{E}(G)(\tau)$.
- This follows from $\Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim_{L_1} e_2 : \tau$ and Lemma 67.

□

Lemma 71. If $\forall L \in \text{LK}$. $(\forall i \in \{1 \dots n\}. \Gamma_i \vdash e_i \sim_L e'_i : \tau_i) \implies \Gamma \vdash e \sim_L e' : \tau$, then:

$$(\forall i \in \{1 \dots n\}. \Gamma_i \vdash e_i \sim e'_i : \tau_i) \implies \Gamma \vdash e \sim e' : \tau$$

Proof.

- Suppose $\forall L \in \text{LK}$. $(\forall i \in \{1 \dots n\}. \Gamma_i \vdash e_i \sim_L e'_i : \tau_i) \implies \Gamma \vdash e \sim_L e' : \tau$ and $\forall i \in \{1 \dots n\}. \Gamma_i \vdash e_i \sim e'_i : \tau_i$.
- From the latter we have L_i 's such that for all i , $\Gamma_i \vdash e_i \sim_{L_i} e'_i : \tau_i$.
- By applying Lemma 70 repeatedly we get $\Gamma_i \vdash e_i \sim_{L_1 \cup \dots \cup L_n} e'_i : \tau_i$ for all i .

- By the assumption we thus have $\Gamma \vdash e \sim_{L_1 \cup \dots \cup L_n} e' : \tau$ and thus $\Gamma \vdash e \sim e' : \tau$.

□

Lemma 72. If $\forall G \in \text{GK}(L)$. $\forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\Gamma, G)$. $(\gamma_1 K_1, \gamma_2 K_2) \in \mathbf{K}(G)(\tau', \tau)$ then

$$\Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim_L e_2 : \tau' \implies \Gamma \vdash K_1[e_1] \sim_L K_2[e_2] : \tau$$

Proof.

- Suppose $G \in \text{GK}(L)$ and $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\Gamma, G)$.
- We must show $((\gamma_1 K_1)[\gamma_1 e_1], (\gamma_2 K_2)[\gamma_2 e_2]) \in \mathbf{E}(G)(\tau)$.
- From the premise we get $(\gamma_1 e_1, \gamma_2 e_2) \in \mathbf{E}(G)(\tau')$.
- By Lemma 69 it suffices to show $(\gamma_1 K_1, \gamma_2 K_2) \in \mathbf{K}(G)(\tau', \tau)$.
- This follows from the assumption.

□

Lemma 73 (External call). For $G \in \text{GK}(L)$, if $\text{consistent}(L) \wedge G = L(G) \cup R$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall (\tau, e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}(G). \\ & (e_1 \uparrow \wedge e_2 \uparrow) \\ & \vee (\exists v_1, v_2. e_1 \hookrightarrow^* v_1 \wedge e_2 \hookrightarrow^* v_2 \wedge (v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}(\tau)) \\ & \vee (\exists \tau', K_1, K_2, e'_1, e'_2. e_1 \hookrightarrow^* K_1[e'_1] \wedge e_2 \hookrightarrow^* K_2[e'_2] \wedge (\tau', e'_1, e'_2) \in \mathbf{S}(R, G) \wedge \\ & (K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}(G)(\tau', \tau)) \end{aligned}$$

Proof.

- We prove the following proposition by induction on n .

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall (\tau, e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}(G). \\ & (e_1 \uparrow^n \wedge e_2 \uparrow^n) \\ & \vee (\exists v_1, v_2. e_1 \hookrightarrow^* v_1 \wedge e_2 \hookrightarrow^* v_2 \wedge (v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}(\tau)) \\ & \vee (\exists \tau', K_1, K_2, e'_1, e'_2. e_1 \hookrightarrow^* K_1[e'_1] \wedge e_2 \hookrightarrow^* K_2[e'_2] \wedge (\tau', e'_1, e'_2) \in \mathbf{S}(R, G) \wedge \\ & (K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}(G)(\tau', \tau)) \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

- When $n = 0$, the first case holds vacuously.
- When $n > 0$, we assume that the goal (2) holds for $n - 1$. Then we need to show that the goal (2) holds for n .
- By definition of $\mathbf{E}(G)$, we have three cases.
- In the first two cases, the goal (2) is trivially satisfied.
- In the third case, we have

$$\exists \tau', K_1, K_2, e'_1, e'_2. e_1 \hookrightarrow^* K_1[e'_1] \wedge e_2 \hookrightarrow^* K_2[e'_2] \wedge (\tau', e'_1, e'_2) \in \mathbf{S}(G, G) \wedge (K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}(G)(\tau', \tau)$$

- As $G = L(G) \cup R$, by definition of \mathbf{S} , we have

$$(\tau', e'_1, e'_2) \in \mathbf{S}(G, G) = \mathbf{S}(L(G), G) \cup \mathbf{S}(R, G) .$$

- If $(\tau', e'_1, e'_2) \in \mathbf{S}(R, G)$, then the goal (2) is satisfied.
- If $(\tau', e'_1, e'_2) \in \mathbf{S}(L(G), G)$, then by *consistent(L)*, we have that $K_1[e'_1] \hookrightarrow^1 K_1[\text{beta}(e'_1)]$ and $K_2[e'_2] \hookrightarrow^1 K_2[\text{beta}(e'_2)]$ and $(\tau', \text{beta}(e'_1), \text{beta}(e'_2)) \in \mathbf{E}(G)$.
- By Lemma 69, we have $(\tau, K_1[\text{beta}(e'_1)], K_2[\text{beta}(e'_2)]) \in \mathbf{E}(G)$.
- By induction hypothesis we have that $K_1[\text{beta}(e'_1)]$ and $K_2[\text{beta}(e'_2)]$ satisfy the goal (2) for $n - 1$.
- As $e_1 \hookrightarrow^+ K_1[\text{beta}(e'_1)]$ and $e_2 \hookrightarrow^+ K_2[\text{beta}(e'_2)]$, we have that e_1 and e_2 satisfy the goal (2) for n , so we are done.
- The original goal is obtained from the sub-goal (2) by pushing the quantification over n inside the first case and then observing that $\forall n. e \uparrow^n$ is equivalent to $e \uparrow$.

□

Corollary 74. If

- *consistent(L)*
- $G = L(G)$
- $(\tau, e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}(G)$

then one of the following holds:

1. $e_1 \uparrow \wedge e_2 \uparrow$
2. $\exists v_1, v_2. e_1 \hookrightarrow^* v_1 \wedge e_2 \hookrightarrow^* v_2 \wedge (\tau, v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}$

Proof. Follows from Lemma 73 for $R = \emptyset$.

□

5.3 Compatibility

Lemma 75 (Compatibility: Var).

$$\frac{\Gamma \text{ well-formed} \quad x:\tau \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x \sim x : \tau}$$

Proof.

- Let $L := \lambda R. \emptyset$.
- We are done if we can show $\Gamma \vdash x \sim_L x : \tau$.
- It is obvious that *consistent(L)*.
- Now suppose $G \in \text{GK}(L)$ and $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\Gamma, G)$.
- We must show $(\gamma_1(x), \gamma_2(x)) \in \mathbf{E}(G)(\tau)$.
- From $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\Gamma, G)$ we know $(\gamma_1(x), \gamma_2(x)) \in \overline{G}(\tau)$.
- We are done by Lemma 65.

□

Lemma 76.

1. If $(\tau, v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}$, then $(\tau', \tau \times \tau', \langle v_1, \bullet \rangle, \langle v_2, \bullet \rangle) \in \mathbf{K}(G)$.

2. If $(\tau', e'_1, e'_2) \in \mathbf{E}(G)$, then $(\tau, \tau \times \tau', \langle \bullet, e'_1 \rangle, \langle \bullet, e'_2 \rangle) \in \mathbf{K}(G)$.

Proof.

1.
 - Suppose $(v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G}(\tau')$.
 - We need to show $(\langle v_1, v'_1 \rangle, \langle v_2, v'_2 \rangle) \in \mathbf{E}(G)(\tau \times \tau')$.
 - By Lemma 65 it suffices to show $(\langle v_1, v'_1 \rangle, \langle v_2, v'_2 \rangle) \in \overline{G}(\tau \times \tau')$.
 - Hence it suffices to show $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}(\tau)$ and $(v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G}(\tau')$, which we both already have.
2.
 - Suppose $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}(\tau)$.
 - We need to show $(\langle v_1, e'_1 \rangle, \langle v_2, e'_2 \rangle) \in \mathbf{E}(G)(\tau \times \tau')$.
 - By Lemma 69 it suffices to show $(\langle v_1, \bullet \rangle, \langle v_2, \bullet \rangle) \in \mathbf{K}(G)(\tau', \tau \times \tau')$.
 - By part (1) it then suffices to show $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}(\tau)$, which we have.

□

Lemma 77 (Compatibility: Pair).

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash e'_1 \sim e'_2 : \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash \langle e_1, e'_1 \rangle \sim \langle e_2, e'_2 \rangle : \tau \times \tau'}$$

Proof.

- By Lemmas 71 and 72 it suffices to show

$$\forall G \in \text{GK}(L). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\Gamma, G). (\langle \bullet, \gamma_1 e'_1 \rangle, \langle \bullet, \gamma_2 e'_2 \rangle) \in \mathbf{K}(G)(\tau, \tau \times \tau')$$

under the assumption $\Gamma \vdash e'_1 \sim_L e'_2 : \tau'$.

- By Lemma 76 it then suffices to show $(\gamma_1 e'_1, \gamma_2 e'_2) \in \mathbf{E}(G)(\tau')$, which follows from the assumption.

□

Lemma 78 (Compatibility: Fst (Snd analogously)).

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \tau \times \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash e_1.1 \sim e_2.1 : \tau}$$

Proof.

- By Lemmas 71 and 72 it suffices to show

$$\forall L \in \text{LK}. \forall G \in \text{GK}(L). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\Gamma, G). (\bullet.1, \bullet.1) \in \mathbf{K}(G)(\tau \times \tau', \tau)$$

- Suppose $(v_1^\circ, v_2^\circ) \in \overline{G}(\tau \times \tau')$.
- We need to show $(v_1^\circ.1, v_2^\circ.1) \in \mathbf{E}(G)(\tau)$.
- We know $v_1^\circ = \langle v_1, v'_1 \rangle$ and $v_2^\circ = \langle v_2, v'_2 \rangle$ with $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}(\tau)$.
- Hence $v_1^\circ.1 \hookrightarrow v_1$ and $v_2^\circ.1 \hookrightarrow v_2$, so we are done.

□

Lemma 79 (Compatibility: Inl (Inr analogously)).

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{inj}^1 e_1 \sim \text{inj}^1 e_2 : \tau + \tau'}$$

Proof.

- By Lemmas 71 and 72 it suffices to show

$$\forall L \in \text{LK}. \forall G \in \text{GK}(L). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\Gamma, G). (\text{inj}^1 \bullet, \text{inj}^1 \bullet) \in \mathbf{K}(G)(\tau, \tau + \tau')$$

- Suppose $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}(\tau)$.
- We need to show $(\text{inj}^1 v_1, \text{inj}^1 v_2) \in \mathbf{E}(G)(\tau + \tau')$.
- By Lemma 65 it suffices to show $(\text{inj}^1 v_1, \text{inj}^1 v_2) \in \overline{G}(\tau + \tau')$.
- This follows from $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}(\tau)$.

□

Lemma 80 (Compatibility: Case).

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \tau' + \tau'' \quad \Gamma, x:\tau' \vdash e'_1 \sim e'_2 : \tau \quad \Gamma, x:\tau'' \vdash e''_1 \sim e''_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{case } e_1 \text{ of } \text{inj}^1 x \Rightarrow e'_1 \mid \text{inj}^2 x \Rightarrow e''_1 \sim \text{case } e_2 \text{ of } \text{inj}^1 x \Rightarrow e'_2 \mid \text{inj}^2 x \Rightarrow e''_2 : \tau}$$

Proof.

- By Lemmas 71 and 72 it suffices to show

$$\forall G \in \text{GK}(L). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\Gamma, G).$$

$$(\text{case } \bullet \text{ of } \text{inj}^1 x \Rightarrow \gamma_1 e'_1 \mid \text{inj}^2 x \Rightarrow \gamma_1 e''_1, \text{case } \bullet \text{ of } \text{inj}^1 x \Rightarrow \gamma_2 e'_2 \mid \text{inj}^2 x \Rightarrow \gamma_2 e''_2) \in \mathbf{K}(G)(\tau' + \tau'', \tau)$$

assuming $\Gamma, x:\tau' \vdash e'_1 \sim_L e'_2 : \tau$ and $\Gamma, x:\tau'' \vdash e''_1 \sim_L e''_2 : \tau$.

- Thus it suffices to show

$$(\text{case } v_1 \text{ of } \text{inj}^1 x \Rightarrow \gamma_1 e'_1 \mid \text{inj}^2 x \Rightarrow \gamma_1 e''_1, \text{case } v_2 \text{ of } \text{inj}^1 x \Rightarrow \gamma_2 e'_2 \mid \text{inj}^2 x \Rightarrow \gamma_2 e''_2) \in \mathbf{E}(G)(\tau)$$

for $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}(\tau' + \tau'')$.

- By definition of $\overline{G}(\tau' + \tau'')$, we have v'_1, v'_2 such that either

1. $v_1 = \text{inj}^1 v'_1 \wedge v_2 = \text{inj}^1 v'_2 \wedge (v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G}(\tau')$; or
2. $v_1 = \text{inj}^2 v'_1 \wedge v_2 = \text{inj}^2 v'_2 \wedge (v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G}(\tau'')$.

- We continue for the former case (the latter is analogous).

- Let $\gamma'_1 := \gamma_1, x \mapsto v'_1$ and $\gamma'_2 := \gamma_2, x \mapsto v'_2$.

- We have

$$\text{case } v_1 \text{ of } \text{inj}^1 x \Rightarrow \gamma_1 e'_1 \mid \text{inj}^2 x \Rightarrow \gamma_1 e''_1 \hookrightarrow \gamma'_1 e'_1$$

and

$$\text{case } v_2 \text{ of } \text{inj}^1 x \Rightarrow \gamma_2 e'_2 \mid \text{inj}^2 x \Rightarrow \gamma_2 e''_2 \hookrightarrow \gamma'_2 e'_2$$

- Thus by Lemma 64 it suffices to show

$$(\tau', \gamma'_1 e'_1, \gamma'_2 e'_2) \in \mathbf{O}(\mathbf{E})(G)$$

- This follows from the assumption and $(\gamma'_1, \gamma'_2) \in \text{Env}((\Gamma, x : \tau'), G)$.

□

Lemma 81 (Compatibility: Fix).

$$\frac{\Gamma, f:\tau' \rightarrow \tau, x:\tau' \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{fix } f(x). e_1 \sim \text{fix } f(x). e_2 : \tau' \rightarrow \tau}$$

Proof.

- From the premise we have L such that $\Gamma, f:\tau' \rightarrow \tau, x:\tau' \vdash e_1 \sim_L e_2 : \tau$.
- Let $L' := \lambda R. \{(\tau' \rightarrow \tau, \gamma_1 \text{fix } f(x). e_1, \gamma_2 \text{fix } f(x). e_2) \mid (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\Gamma, R)\}$.
- It suffices to show $\Gamma \vdash \text{fix } f(x). e_1 \sim_{L \cup L'} \text{fix } f(x). e_2 : \tau' \rightarrow \tau$.
- To do so, we first prove *consistent*($w \cup w'$):

– We suppose

1. $G \in \text{GK}(L \cup L')$
2. $(v_1, v_2) \in (L \cup L')(G)(\tilde{\tau}' \rightarrow \tilde{\tau})$
3. $(v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G}(\tilde{\tau}')$

and must show:

$$(\text{beta}(v_1 v'_1), \text{beta}(v_2 v'_2)) \in \mathbf{E}(G)(\tilde{\tau})$$

– From (2) we know:

$$(v_1, v_2) \in L(G)(\tilde{\tau}' \rightarrow \tilde{\tau}) \vee (v_1, v_2) \in L'(G)(\tilde{\tau}' \rightarrow \tilde{\tau})$$

- If the former is true, the claim follows from *consistent*(L) with the help of Lemma 67.
- So suppose the latter.
- Then $\tilde{\tau}' \rightarrow \tilde{\tau} = \tau' \rightarrow \tau$ and $v_1 = \gamma_1 \text{fix } f(x). e_1$ and $v_2 = \gamma_2 \text{fix } f(x). e_2$ for $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\Gamma, G)$.
- Let $\gamma'_1 = \gamma_1, f \mapsto \gamma_1 \text{fix } f(x). e_1, x \mapsto v'_1$ and $\gamma'_2 = \gamma_2, f \mapsto \gamma_2 \text{fix } f(x). e_2, x \mapsto v'_2$
- It remains to show $(\gamma'_1 e_1, \gamma'_2 e_2) \in \mathbf{E}(G)(\tau)$.
- This follows from the premise if we can show $(\gamma'_1, \gamma'_2) \in \text{Env}((\Gamma, f:\tau' \rightarrow \tau, x:\tau'), G)$.
- This reduces to showing $(v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G}(\tau')$ and $(\gamma_1 \text{fix } f(x). e_1, \gamma_2 \text{fix } f(x). e_2) \in \overline{G}(\tau' \rightarrow \tau)$.
- The former is given as (3).
- For the latter, note that by (1) it suffices to show

$$(\gamma_1 \text{fix } f(x). e_1, \gamma_2 \text{fix } f(x). e_2) \in (L \cup L')(G)(\tau' \rightarrow \tau).$$

- For this, it suffices to show $(\gamma_1 \text{fix } f(x). e_1, \gamma_2 \text{fix } f(x). e_2) \in L'(G)(\tau' \rightarrow \tau)$.
- Since $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\Gamma, G)$, this holds by construction.

- Now suppose $G \in \text{GK}(L \cup L')$ and $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\Gamma, G)$.
- We must show $(\gamma_1 \text{fix } f(x). e_1, \gamma_2 \text{fix } f(x). e_2) \in \mathbf{E}(G)(\tau' \rightarrow \tau)$.
- By Lemma 65 it suffices to show $(\gamma_1 \text{fix } f(x). e_1, \gamma_2 \text{fix } f(x). e_2) \in G(\tau' \rightarrow \tau)$.
- By definition of GK it suffices to show:

$$(\gamma_1 \text{fix } f(x). e_1, \gamma_2 \text{fix } f(x). e_2) \in (L \cup L')(G)(\tau' \rightarrow \tau)$$

- For this, it suffices to show $(\gamma_1 \text{fix } f(x). e_1, \gamma_2 \text{fix } f(x). e_2) \in L'(G)(\tau' \rightarrow \tau)$.

- Since $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\Gamma, G)$, this holds by construction of L' .

□

Lemma 82.

1. If $(\tau' \rightarrow \tau, v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}$, then $(\tau', \tau, v_1 \bullet, v_2 \bullet) \in \mathbf{K}(G)$.
2. If $(\tau', e'_1, e'_2) \in \mathbf{E}(G)$, then $(\tau' \rightarrow \tau, \tau, \bullet e'_1, \bullet e'_2) \in \mathbf{K}(G)$.

Proof.

1.
 - Suppose $(v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G}(\tau')$.
 - We need to show $(v_1 v'_1, v_2 v'_2) \in \mathbf{E}(G)(\tau)$.
 - By definition of \mathbf{E} it suffices to show the following:
 - (a) $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}(\tau' \rightarrow \tau)$
 - (b) $(v'_1, v'_2) \in \overline{G}(\tau')$
 - (c) $(\bullet, \bullet) \in \mathbf{K}(G)(\tau, \tau)$
 - (a) and (b) are already given.
 - (c) holds by Lemma 66.
2.
 - Suppose $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}(\tau' \rightarrow \tau)$.
 - We need to show $(v_1 e'_1, v_2 e'_2) \in \mathbf{E}(G)(\tau)$.
 - By Lemma 69 it suffices to show $(v_1 \bullet, v_2 \bullet) \in \mathbf{K}(G)(\tau', \tau)$.
 - By part (1) it then suffices to show $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}(\tau' \rightarrow \tau)$, which we have.

□

Lemma 83 (Compatibility: App).

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \tau' \rightarrow \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash e'_1 \sim e'_2 : \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 e'_1 \sim e_2 e'_2 : \tau}$$

Proof.

- By Lemmas 71 and 72 it suffices to show

$$\forall G \in \text{GK}(L). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\Gamma, G). (\bullet \gamma_1 e'_1, \bullet \gamma_2 e'_2) \in \mathbf{K}(G)(\tau' \rightarrow \tau, \tau)$$

assuming $\Gamma \vdash e'_1 \sim_L e'_2 : \tau'$.

- By Lemma 82 it suffices to show $(\gamma_1 e'_1, \gamma_2 e'_2) \in \mathbf{E}(G)(\tau')$, which follows from the assumption.

□

Lemma 84 (Compatibility: Roll).

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \sigma[\mu\alpha. \sigma/\alpha]}{\Gamma \vdash \text{roll } e_1 \sim \text{roll } e_2 : \mu\alpha. \sigma}$$

Proof.

- By Lemmas 71 and 72 it suffices to show

$$\forall L \in \text{LK}. \forall G \in \text{GK}(L). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\Gamma, G). (\text{roll } \bullet, \text{roll } \bullet) \in \mathbf{K}(G)(\sigma[\mu\alpha. \sigma/\alpha], \mu\alpha. \sigma)$$

- Suppose $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}(\sigma[\mu\alpha. \sigma/\alpha])$.
- We need to show $(\text{roll } v_1, \text{roll } v_2) \in \mathbf{E}(G)(\mu\alpha. \sigma)$.
- By Lemma 65 it suffices to show $(\text{roll } v_1, \text{roll } v_2) \in \overline{G}(\mu\alpha. \sigma)$.
- This follows from $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}(\sigma[\mu\alpha. \sigma/\alpha])$.

□

Lemma 85 (Compatibility: Unroll).

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \mu\alpha. \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash \text{unroll } e_1 \sim \text{unroll } e_2 : \sigma[\mu\alpha. \sigma/\alpha]}$$

Proof.

- By Lemmas 71 and 72 it suffices to show

$$\forall L \in \text{LK}. \forall G \in \text{GK}(L). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\Gamma, G). (\text{unroll } \bullet, \text{unroll } \bullet) \in \mathbf{K}(G)(\mu\alpha. \sigma, \sigma[\mu\alpha. \sigma/\alpha])$$

- Suppose $(v_1^\circ, v_2^\circ) \in \overline{G}(\mu\alpha. \sigma)$.
- We need to show $(\text{unroll } v_1^\circ, \text{unroll } v_2^\circ) \in \mathbf{E}(G)(\sigma[\mu\alpha. \sigma/\alpha])$.
- We know $v_1^\circ = \text{roll } v_1$ and $v_2^\circ = \text{roll } v_2$ with $(v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G}(\sigma[\mu\alpha. \sigma/\alpha])$.
- Hence $\text{unroll } v_1^\circ \hookrightarrow v_1$ and $\text{unroll } v_2^\circ \hookrightarrow v_2$ and we are done.

□

5.4 Soundness

Theorem 86 (Fundamental Property). If $\Gamma \vdash p : \tau$, then $\Gamma \vdash |p| \sim |p| : \tau$.

Proof. By induction on the typing derivation, in each case using the appropriate compatibility lemma. □

Lemma 87 (Weakening). If $\Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \tau$ and $\Gamma \subseteq \Gamma'$, then $\Gamma' \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \tau$.

Proof. One can easily see that the goal is a direct consequence of the definition from the following observation:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall R. \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\Gamma', R). \\ \lfloor \gamma \rfloor_{\text{dom}(\Gamma)} \in \text{Env}(\Gamma, R) \wedge \\ \gamma_1 e_1 = \lfloor \gamma \rfloor_{\text{dom}(\Gamma)(1)} e_1 \wedge \gamma_2 e_2 = \lfloor \gamma \rfloor_{\text{dom}(\Gamma)(2)} e_2 \end{aligned}$$

where $\lfloor f \rfloor_d$ denotes the restriction of the function f on domain d . □

Lemma 88 (Congruence). If $\Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \tau$ and $\vdash C : (\Gamma; \tau) \rightsquigarrow (\Gamma'; \tau')$, then

$$\Gamma' \vdash |C|[e_1] \sim |C|[e_2] : \tau' .$$

Proof. By induction on the derivation of the context typing: in each case using the corresponding compatibility lemma. For a context containing subterms we also need Theorem 86. The rule for an empty context requires Lemma 87. □

Lemma 89 (Adequacy). If $\cdot \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \tau$, then

1. neither e_1 nor e_2 gets stuck.

2. $e_1 \uparrow \iff e_2 \uparrow$.

Proof.

- We know $\cdot \vdash e_1 \sim_L e_2 : \tau$ for some L .
- Hence we have $\text{consistent}(L)$ and, using Lemma 63, $(e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}([L])(\tau)$.
- Since $[L] = L([L])$, by Corollary 74 either e_1 and e_2 diverge or both terminate without getting stuck. □

Theorem 90 (Soundness). If $\Gamma \vdash p_1 : \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash p_2 : \tau$, then:

$$\Gamma \vdash |p_1| \sim |p_2| : \tau \implies \Gamma \vdash p_1 \sim_{\text{ctx}} p_2 : \tau$$

Proof.

- Suppose $\Gamma \vdash |p_1| \sim |p_2| : \tau$ as well as $\vdash C : (\Gamma; \tau) \rightsquigarrow (\cdot; \tau)$.
- By congruence (Lemma 88), we have $\cdot \vdash |C[p_1]| \sim |C[p_2]| : \tau$.
- By adequacy (Lemma 89), we have $|C[p_1]| \uparrow \iff |C[p_2]| \uparrow$, so we are done. □

5.5 Symmetry

Definition 6. Given $R \in \text{VRel}$ (or VRelF), we define $R^{-1} \in \text{VRel}$ (or VRelF) as follows:

$$R^{-1} := \lambda\tau. R(\tau)^{-1}$$

Lemma 91. $(\overline{R})^{-1} = \overline{R^{-1}}$

Proof. Easy to check by induction. □

Lemma 92. $\mathbf{S}(R_f^{-1}, R_v^{-1}) = (\mathbf{S}(R_f, R_v))^{-1}$

Proof. Easy to check. □

Definition 7. Given $L \in \text{LK}$, we define $L^{-1} \in \text{LK}$ as follows:

$$L^{-1}(R) := (L(R^{-1}))^{-1}.$$

Lemma 93. If $G \in \text{GK}(L^{-1})$, then $G^{-1} \in \text{GK}(L)$.

Proof. It holds vacuously by definition. □

Lemma 94.

$$(\mathbf{E}(G^{-1}))^{-1} \subseteq \mathbf{E}(G)$$

Proof. By definition with the help of Lemmas 91 and 92. □

Lemma 95. If $\text{consistent}(L)$, then $\text{consistent}(L^{-1})$.

Proof. By definition with the help of Lemmas 92, 93, and 94. □

Theorem 96. If $\Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \tau$, then $\Gamma \vdash e_2 \sim e_1 : \tau$.

Proof. Suppose $\Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim_L e_2 : \tau$. It suffices to show $\Gamma \vdash e_2 \sim_{L^{-1}} e_1 : \tau$. Using Lemma 95, this in turn reduces to showing:

$$\forall G \in \text{GK}(L^{-1}). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\Gamma, G). (\gamma_1 e_2, \gamma_2 e_1) \in \mathbf{E}(G)(\tau)$$

From $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\Gamma, G)$ we have $(\gamma_2, \gamma_1) \in \text{Env}(\Gamma, G^{-1})$. Lemma 93 and the assumption thus yield $(\gamma_2 e_1, \gamma_1 e_2) \in \mathbf{E}(G^{-1})(\tau)$. We are done by Lemma 94. □

6 Transitivity

Definition 8. For $R_1, R_2 \in \text{VRelF}$, we define the composition as follows.

$$(R_1 \circ R_2)(\tau) := \{ (v_1, v_3) \mid \exists v_2. (\tau, v_1, v_2) \in R_1 \wedge (\tau, v_2, v_3) \in R_2 \}$$

Since CType and CVal are countable sets, there exists an injective function $\mathbf{I} \in \text{CType} \times \text{CType} \times \text{CVal} \times \text{CVal} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 9. Using the function \mathbf{I} , we decompose $R \in \text{VRelF}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{(1)}(\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2) &:= \{ (f_1, \mathbf{I}(\tau_1, \tau_2, f_1, f_3)) \mid (f_1, f_3) \in R(\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2) \} \\ R_{(2)}(\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2) &:= \{ (\mathbf{I}(\tau_1, \tau_2, f_1, f_3), f_3) \mid (f_1, f_3) \in R(\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2) \} \end{aligned}$$

Definition 10. For a monotone function $F \in \text{VRelF} \rightarrow \text{VRelF}$ and $R \in \text{VRelF}$, we define $R^{[F]}$ as the least fixpoint of the monotone function $F(-) \cup R$.

Definition 11. For any local knowledges L_1, L_2 , we define $L_1 \circ L_2$ as follows.

$$(L_1 \circ L_2)(R) := L_1(R_{(1)}^{[L_1]}) \circ L_2(R_{(2)}^{[L_2]})$$

Note that

$$R_{(1)}^{[L_1]} \in \text{GK}(L_1) \quad \wedge \quad R_{(2)}^{[L_2]} \in \text{GK}(L_2)$$

because $R_{(1)}^{[L_1]} = L_1(R_{(1)}^{[L_1]}) \cup R_{(1)}$; and $R_{(2)}^{[L_2]} = L_2(R_{(2)}^{[L_2]}) \cup R_{(2)}$.

Lemma 97. For any $R, R' \in \text{VRelF}$, we have

$$(L(R) \circ R')(\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2) = (R'_{(1)} \circ L(R))(\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2) = \emptyset$$

Proof. The claim holds vacuously since we have $f_1, f_2 \in \text{FunVal}$ for any $(f_1, f_2) \in L(R)(\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2)$ and $\mathbf{I}(\tau_1, \tau_2, v_1, v_2) \notin \text{FunVal}$ for any τ_1, τ_2, v_1, v_2 . \square

Lemma 98. $\forall R_1, R_2 \in \text{VRelF}. \overline{R_1 \circ R_2} = \overline{R_1} \circ \overline{R_2}$

Proof. Recall that \overline{R} is the least fixpoint of the monotone function F_R given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} F_R(X)(\tau_{\text{base}}) &:= \text{ID}_{\tau_{\text{base}}} \\ F_R(X)(\tau_1 \times \tau_2) &:= \{ ((v_1, v'_1), (v_2, v'_2)) \mid (v_1, v_2) \in X(\tau_1) \wedge (v'_1, v'_2) \in X(\tau_2) \} \\ F_R(X)(\tau_1 + \tau_2) &:= \{ (\text{inj}^1 v_1, \text{inj}^1 v_2) \mid (v_1, v_2) \in X(\tau_1) \} \cup \{ (\text{inj}^2 v_1, \text{inj}^2 v_2) \mid (v_1, v_2) \in X(\tau_2) \} \\ F_R(X)(\mu\alpha. \tau) &:= \{ (\text{roll } v_1, \text{roll } v_2) \mid (v_1, v_2) \in X(\tau[\mu\alpha. \tau/\alpha]) \} \\ F_R(X)(\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2) &:= R(\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2) \end{aligned}$$

By case analysis on types, one can easily check that the following equality holds.

$$\forall X, Y. F_{R_1}(X) \circ F_{R_2}(Y) = F_{R_1 \circ R_2}(X \circ Y)$$

(Part 1: $\overline{R_1} \circ \overline{R_2} \subseteq \overline{R_1 \circ R_2}$)

- We define the set S_1 as $\{ (\tau, v_1, v_2) \mid \forall v_3. (\tau, v_2, v_3) \in \overline{R_2} \implies (\tau, v_1, v_3) \in \overline{R_1 \circ R_2} \}$.
- Then we have the property that $\forall X. X \subseteq S_1 \iff X \circ \overline{R_2} \subseteq \overline{R_1 \circ R_2}$.
- Thus it suffices to show that $\overline{R_1} \subseteq S_1$, which is equivalent to show that $F_{R_1}(S_1) \subseteq S_1$ (since $\overline{R_1}$ is the least fixpoint of F_{R_1}), which is again equivalent to show that $F_{R_1}(S_1) \circ \overline{R_2} \subseteq \overline{R_1 \circ R_2}$:

$$F_{R_1}(S_1) \circ \overline{R_2} = F_{R_1}(S_1) \circ F_{R_2}(\overline{R_2}) = F_{R_1 \circ R_2}(S_1 \circ \overline{R_2}) \subseteq F_{R_1 \circ R_2}(\overline{R_1 \circ R_2}) = \overline{R_1 \circ R_2} .$$

(Part 2: $\overline{R_1 \circ R_2} \subseteq \overline{R_1} \circ \overline{R_2}$)

- Since $\overline{R_1 \circ R_2}$ is the least fixpoint of $F_{R_1 \circ R_2}$, it suffices to show that $F_{R_1 \circ R_2}(\overline{R_1} \circ \overline{R_2}) \subseteq \overline{R_1} \circ \overline{R_2}$:

$$F_{R_1 \circ R_2}(\overline{R_1} \circ \overline{R_2}) = F_{R_1}(\overline{R_1}) \circ F_{R_2}(\overline{R_2}) = \overline{R_1} \circ \overline{R_2} .$$

□

Lemma 99. For any $G \in \text{GK}(L_1 \circ L_2)$, we have

$$G_{(1)}^{[L_1]} \circ G_{(2)}^{[L_2]} = G .$$

Proof. Let $L := L_1 \circ L_2$ and $\tau := \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$. Then the goal follows from

$$\begin{aligned} & G_{(1)}^{[L_1]}(\tau) \circ G_{(2)}^{[L_2]}(\tau) \\ &= (L_1(G_{(1)}^{[L_1]})(\tau) \cup G_{(1)}(\tau)) \circ (L_2(G_{(2)}^{[L_2]})(\tau) \cup G_{(2)}(\tau)) \\ &= (L_1(G_{(1)}^{[L_1]})(\tau) \circ L_2(G_{(2)}^{[L_2]})(\tau)) \cup (G_{(1)}(\tau) \circ G_{(2)}(\tau)) \quad (\text{by Lemma 97}) \\ &= L(G)(\tau) \cup G(\tau) \\ &= G(\tau) . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{by } G \geq L(G))$$

□

Lemma 100. For any L_1, L_2 with $\text{consistent}(L_1)$, $\text{consistent}(L_2)$, let $L := L_1 \circ L_2$. Then for any $G \in \text{GK}(L)$, we have

1. $(\exists e_2. (\tau, e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}(G_{(1)}^{[L_1]}) \wedge (\tau, e_2, e_3) \in \mathbf{E}(G_{(2)}^{[L_2]}))$
 $\implies (\tau, e_1, e_3) \in \mathbf{E}(G)$
2. $(\exists K_2. (\tau_1, \tau_2, K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}(G_{(1)}^{[L_1]}) \wedge (\tau_1, \tau_2, K_2, K_3) \in \mathbf{K}(G_{(2)}^{[L_2]}))$
 $\implies (\tau_1, \tau_2, K_1, K_3) \in \mathbf{K}(G)$

Proof.

- Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}'(G) &= \{ (\tau, e_1, e_3) \mid \exists e_2. (\tau, e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}(G_{(1)}^{[L_1]}) \wedge (\tau, e_2, e_3) \in \mathbf{E}(G_{(2)}^{[L_2]}) \} \\ \mathbf{K}'(G) &= \{ (\tau_1, \tau_2, K_1, K_3) \mid \exists K_2. (\tau_1, \tau_2, K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}(G_{(1)}^{[L_1]}) \wedge \\ & \quad (\tau_1, \tau_2, K_2, K_3) \in \mathbf{K}(G_{(2)}^{[L_2]}) \} \end{aligned}$$

- Now it suffices to show that \mathbf{E}' , \mathbf{K}' forms a post-fixpoint.
- From $(\tau, e_1, e_2) \in \mathbf{E}(G_{(1)}^{[L_1]})$ and $G_{(1)}^{[L_1]} = L_1(G_{(1)}^{[L_1]}) \cup G_{(1)}$, by Lemma 73, we have three cases.
- When $e_1 \uparrow$ and $e_2 \uparrow$:
From $(\tau, e_2, e_3) \in \mathbf{E}(G_{(2)}^{[L_2]})$ and $G_{(2)}^{[L_2]} = L_2(G_{(2)}^{[L_2]}) \cup G_{(2)}$, by Lemma 73, we have three cases.
 - When $e_2 \uparrow$ and $e_3 \uparrow$:
We are done because $e_1 \uparrow$ and $e_3 \uparrow$.
 - When $e_2 \hookrightarrow^* v_2 \wedge e_3 \hookrightarrow^* v_3$ with $(\tau, v_2, v_3) \in \overline{G_{(2)}^{[L_2]}}$:
It is a contradiction.
 - When $e_2 \hookrightarrow^* K_2[e'_2] \wedge e_3 \hookrightarrow^* K_3[e'_3]$ with
 - $(\tau', e'_2, e'_3) \in \mathbf{S}(G_{(2)}, G_{(2)}^{[L_2]})$ and
 - $(\tau', \tau, K_2, K_3) \in \mathbf{K}(G_{(2)}^{[L_2]})$:
Since $e'_2 = \mathbf{I}(\tilde{\tau}, \tau', f_1, f_3) v_2$ for some $\tilde{\tau}, f_1, f_3, v_2$, we know e'_2 and thus e_2 gets stuck. Contradiction.

- When $e_1 \hookrightarrow^* v_1 \wedge e_2 \hookrightarrow^* v_2$ with $(\tau, v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G_{(1)}^{[L_1]}}$:
 From $(\tau, e_2, e_3) \in \mathbf{E}(G_{(2)}^{[L_2]})$ and $G_{(2)}^{[L_2]} = L_2(G_{(2)}^{[L_2]}) \cup G_{(2)}$, by Lemma 73, we have three cases.
 - When $e_2 \uparrow$ and $e_3 \uparrow$:
 It is a contradiction.
 - When $e_2 \hookrightarrow^* v'_2 \wedge e_3 \hookrightarrow^* v_3$ with $(\tau, v'_2, v_3) \in \overline{G_{(2)}^{[L_2]}}$:
 We are done because we have $v_2 = v'_2$ and thus $(\tau, v_1, v_3) \in \overline{G}$ by Lemmas 98 and 99 since $(\tau, v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G_{(1)}^{[L_1]}}$ and $(\tau, v_2, v_3) \in \overline{G_{(2)}^{[L_2]}}$.
 - When $e_2 \hookrightarrow^* K_2[e'_2] \wedge e_3 \hookrightarrow^* K_3[e'_3]$ with
 - $(\tau', e'_2, e'_3) \in \mathbf{S}(G_{(2)}, G_{(2)}^{[L_2]})$ and
 - $(\tau', \tau, K_2, K_3) \in \mathbf{K}(G_{(2)}^{[L_2]})$:
 Since $e'_2 = \mathbf{I}(\tilde{\tau}, \tau', f_1, f_3) v_2$ for some $\tilde{\tau}, f_1, f_3, v_2$, we know e'_2 and thus e_2 gets stuck. Contradiction.
- When $e_1 \hookrightarrow^* K_1[e'_1] \wedge e_2 \hookrightarrow^n K_2[e'_2]$ with
 - $(\tau', e'_1, e'_2) \in \mathbf{S}(G_{(1)}, G_{(1)}^{[L_1]})$ and
 - $(\tau', \tau, K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}(G_{(1)}^{[L_1]})$:
 From $(\tau, e_2, e_3) \in \mathbf{E}(G_{(2)}^{[L_2]})$ and $G_{(2)}^{[L_2]} = L_2(G_{(2)}^{[L_2]}) \cup G_{(2)}$, by Lemma 73, we have three cases.
 - When $e_2 \uparrow$ and $e_3 \uparrow$:
 Since $e'_2 = \mathbf{I}(\tilde{\tau}, \tau', f_1, f_3) v_2$ for some $\tilde{\tau}, f_1, f_3, v_2$, we know e'_2 and thus e_2 gets stuck. Contradiction.
 - When $e_2 \hookrightarrow^* v_2 \wedge e_3 \hookrightarrow^* v_3$ with $(\tau, v_2, v_3) \in \overline{G_{(2)}^{[L_2]}}$:
 Since $e'_2 = \mathbf{I}(\tilde{\tau}, \tau', f_1, f_3) v_2$ for some $\tilde{\tau}, f_1, f_3, v_2$, we know e'_2 and thus e_2 gets stuck. Contradiction.
 - When $e_2 \hookrightarrow^m K'_2[e''_2] \wedge e_3 \hookrightarrow^* K_3[e'_3]$ with
 - $(\tau'', e''_2, e'_3) \in \mathbf{S}(G_{(2)}, G_{(2)}^{[L_2]})$ and
 - $(\tau'', \tau, K_2, K_3) \in \mathbf{K}(G_{(2)}^{[L_2]})$:
 By definition of \mathbf{S} and $G_{(1)}$, we have for some $\tilde{\tau}, f_1, f_3, v_1, v_2$,
 - $e'_1 = f_1 v_1$;
 - $e'_2 = \mathbf{I}(\tilde{\tau}, \tau', f_1, f_3) v_2$;
 - $(\tilde{\tau} \rightarrow \tau', f_1, f_3) \in G$;
 - $(\tilde{\tau}, v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G_{(1)}^{[L_1]}}$;
 By definition of \mathbf{S} and $G_{(2)}$, we have for some $\tilde{\tau}', f'_1, f'_3, v'_2, v_3$,
 - $e''_2 = \mathbf{I}(\tilde{\tau}', \tau'', f'_1, f'_3) v'_2$;
 - $e'_3 = f'_3 v_3$;
 - $(\tilde{\tau}' \rightarrow \tau'', f'_1, f'_3) \in G$;
 - $(\tilde{\tau}', v'_2, v_3) \in \overline{G_{(2)}^{[L_2]}}$.
 Since both e'_2 and e''_2 get stuck, we have $n = m$ and thus
 - $K_2 = K'_2$;
 - $\mathbf{I}(\tilde{\tau}, \tau', f_1, f_3) = \mathbf{I}(\tilde{\tau}', \tau'', f'_1, f'_3)$;
 - $v_2 = v'_2$.
 Since \mathbf{I} is injective, we have
 - $\tilde{\tau} = \tilde{\tau}'$;
 - $\tau' = \tau''$;
 - $f_1 = f'_1$;
 - $f_3 = f'_3$.
 Thus we have
 - $e'_1 = f_1 v_1$;

- $e'_3 = f_3 v_3$;
 - $(f_1, f_3) \in G(\tilde{\tau} \rightarrow \tau') = \overline{G}(\tilde{\tau} \rightarrow \tau')$;
 - $(v_1, v_3) \in \overline{G}(\tilde{\tau})$ by Lemmas 98 and 99 since $(\tilde{\tau}, v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G_{(1)}^{[L_1]}}$, $(\tilde{\tau}, v_2, v_3) \in \overline{G_{(2)}^{[L_2]}}$.
- Thus it remains to show that $(\tau', \tau, K_1, K_3) \in \mathbf{K}'(G)$. By definition of \mathbf{K}' , this follows from
- $(\tau', \tau, K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}(G_{(1)}^{[L_1]})$; and
 - $(\tau', \tau, K_2, K_3) \in \mathbf{K}(G_{(2)}^{[L_2]})$.

- Now we need to show that

$$(\tau_2, K_1[v_1], K_3[v_3]) \in \mathbf{E}'(G)$$

for $(\tau_1, \tau_2, K_1, K_2) \in \mathbf{K}(G_{(1)}^{[L_1]})$, $(\tau_1, \tau_2, K_2, K_3) \in \mathbf{K}(G_{(2)}^{[L_2]})$ and $(\tau_1, v_1, v_3) \in \overline{G}$.

- By Lemmas 98 and 99, there exists v_2 such that $(\tau_1, v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G_{(1)}^{[L_1]}}$ and $(\tau_1, v_2, v_3) \in \overline{G_{(2)}^{[L_2]}}$.
- Thus we have that $(\tau_2, K_1[v_1], K_2[v_2]) \in \mathbf{E}(G_{(1)}^{[L_1]})$ and $(\tau_2, K_2[v_2], K_3[v_3]) \in \mathbf{E}(G_{(2)}^{[L_2]})$.
- Thus, by definition of \mathbf{E}' , we have $(\tau_2, K_1[v_1], K_3[v_3]) \in \mathbf{E}'(G)$.

□

Theorem 101.

$$\Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \tau \wedge \Gamma \vdash e_2 \sim e_3 : \tau \implies \Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_3 : \tau$$

Proof.

Assume $\Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim_{L_1} e_2 : \tau \wedge \Gamma \vdash e_2 \sim_{L_2} e_3 : \tau$ and let $L := L_1 \circ L_2$. We show *consistent*(L) as follows.

- Let $G \in \text{GK}(L)$, $(\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2, f_1, f_3) \in L(G)$, $(v_1, v_3) \in \overline{G}(\tau_1)$.
- Then we need to show $(\tau_2, \text{beta}(f_1 v_1), \text{beta}(f_3 v_3)) \in \mathbf{E}(G)$.
- By definition of L , we have f_2 such that $(\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2, f_1, f_2) \in L_1(G_{(1)}^{[L_1]})$ and $(\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2, f_2, f_3) \in L_2(G_{(2)}^{[L_2]})$.
- By Lemmas 98 and 99, we have v_2 such that $(\tau_1, v_1, v_2) \in \overline{G_{(1)}^{[L_1]}}$ and $(\tau_1, v_2, v_3) \in \overline{G_{(2)}^{[L_2]}}$.
- By Lemma 100, it suffices to show

$$(\tau_2, \text{beta}(f_1 v_1), \text{beta}(f_2 v_2)) \in \mathbf{E}(G_{(1)}^{[L_1]}) \wedge (\tau_2, \text{beta}(f_2 v_2), \text{beta}(f_3 v_3)) \in \mathbf{E}(G_{(2)}^{[L_2]})$$

which directly follows from the assumptions.

Now we show $\forall G \in \text{GK}(L). \forall (\gamma_1, \gamma_3) \in \text{Env}(\Gamma, G). (\tau, \gamma_1 e_1, \gamma_3 e_3) \in \mathbf{E}(G)$.

- Let $G \in \text{GK}(L)$ and $(\gamma_1, \gamma_3) \in \text{Env}(\Gamma, G)$.
- By Lemmas 98 and 99 there exists γ_2 such that

$$(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \text{Env}(\Gamma, G_{(1)}^{[L_1]}) \wedge (\gamma_2, \gamma_3) \in \text{Env}(\Gamma, G_{(2)}^{[L_2]}) .$$

- Thus by assumption, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau, \gamma_1 e_1, \gamma_2 e_2) &\in \mathbf{E}(G_{(1)}^{[L_1]}) \\ (\tau, \gamma_2 e_2, \gamma_3 e_3) &\in \mathbf{E}(G_{(2)}^{[L_2]}) \end{aligned}$$

- Thus, by Lemma 100, we have $(\tau, \gamma_1 e_1, \gamma_3 e_3) \in \mathbf{E}(G)$.

□